©IDOSR PUBLICATIONS International Digital Organization for Scientific Research

IDOSR JOURNAL OF EXPERIMENTAL SCIENCES 12(1): 52-58, 2026 ISSN: 2579-0781

https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSR/JES/06/1215258 IDOSRJES12106

Pandemic Preparedness Lessons from COVID-19

Mugisha Emmanuel K.

Faculty of Science and Technology Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

The COVID-19 pandemic exposed profound weaknesses in global, national, and local preparedness systems, underscoring the urgent need for stronger surveillance capacity, coordinated public health interventions, resilient health systems, and equitable global cooperation. This narrative review synthesizes evidence on how COVID-19 unfolded and examines critical lessons that can strengthen future pandemic preparedness. Key thematic areas include global surveillance and early warning systems, non-pharmaceutical interventions, vaccination strategies, health system capacity and rural–urban disparities, supply chain resilience, risk communication, data infrastructure, and ethical and equity considerations. Analysis reveals that although several countries implemented aggressive containment strategies, gaps in data integration, diagnostic capacity, and timely public communication compromised response effectiveness. The pandemic further intensified socio-economic vulnerabilities, disproportionately affecting marginalized communities and widening disparities in health outcomes, education access, and livelihood security. A major finding is that preparedness must extend beyond biomedical responses and incorporate multi-sector collaboration, social protection policies, and transparent risk governance. Strengthening international coordination, ensuring equitable allocation of vaccines and therapeutics, and reforming global institutions such as the WHO remain imperative. Ultimately, COVID-19 offers actionable insights for building a comprehensive and resilient preparedness framework rooted in prevention, early detection, community engagement, and global solidarity.

Keywords: Pandemic Preparedness, COVID-19 Lessons, Global Health Security, Surveillance and Early Warning Systems, and Public Health Resilience

INTRODUCTION

All countries face pandemic threats, but the risk is greater for lower-income and developing nations. The COVID-19 pandemic has had devastating health, social, and economic impacts worldwide, while varying tremendously from nation to nation [1]. Papers assessing pandemic preparedness have been published before and during the COVID-19 pandemic, but significant questions remain concerning the influence of past pandemics, the COVID-19 experience, and the most effective and feasible actions to increase pandemic preparedness in the future[3]. The COVID-19 pandemic has challenged and tested efforts to prepare for epidemic and pandemic threats. Substantial morbidity and mortality have been caused by other epidemic-prone diseases [2]. Annual vaccination against seasonal influenza remains very low in low-income countries despite influenza surveillance since the 1970s. Access to a range of data and health-related materials may still be less than optimal. Communicable diseases still account for a significant proportion of the burden of disease. Aggressive measures taken against COVID-19 to control pandemics and maintain human health were advocated before, during, and following the pandemic [1].

Overview of the COVID-19 Pandemic

The pandemic caused by Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) emerged in December 2019 in Wuhan, China, and rapidly escalated into a global catastrophe with profound consequences for human life, public health, economies, and social well-being[6]. The WHO declared COVID-19 a public health emergency of international concern on January 30, 2020, and a pandemic on March 11, 2020. The first cases were outside of China reported in Thailand, Japan, and South Korea on January 13, 17, and 20, 2020, respectively, followed by

multiple countries in Europe, North America, Latin America, and Africa in subsequent weeks. By December 31, 2020, 18 months after the initial emergence of the virus, over 85 million confirmed cases and more than 1.8 million deaths had been reported worldwide [6]. The dynamic of the pandemic has been influenced by a succession of variants, notably the Alpha variant first detected in the United Kingdom in September 2020, the Delta variant detected in India in late 2020, and the Omicron variant detected in southern Africa in November 2021[7]. The initial Alpha variant and its sublineages were more transmissible than earlier strains, and Delta was associated with more severe disease and led to a record number of reported cases [5]. The Omicron variant was able to partly evade the immune responses stimulated by vaccination or prior infection, and the adaptation growth rate of Omicron exceeded that of any previous variant [3]. The clinical spectrum of COVID-19 infection rarely included the asymptomatic phase, and symptomatology emerged after an incubation period of 2–14 days [8]. In the early phase of the pandemic, the severity of a symptomatic case was established and subsequently used as a rudimentary estimate of severity. Reinfection became a recognized event relatively early, but the risk of severe disease upon reinfection was believed for a long time to be lower than that from first infection [9]. Uncertainty surrounding the immunological outcomes of infection and vaccination persisted through the first two years of the pandemic [3]. The pandemic has been an unprecedented event and demonstrated the serious deficiencies in global preparedness both before and throughout the pandemic. A global call for preparedness, resilience, and vaccination has already been articulated. The COVID-19 pandemic provides fundamental and practical opportunities to catalyze the anticipated advancement of surveillance and early warning systems [4].

Surveillance and Early Warning Systems

Effective surveillance and early warning systems are critical components of infectious disease preparedness. COVID-19 has reaffirmed the importance of monitoring and response systems that can detect transmission and inform decision-making within and across jurisdictions [2]. A well-functioning system of regular sentinel surveillance, event-based reporting via media and community, indicator-based wastewater monitoring, and (4) data timeliness and innovation could enhance pandemic preparedness and will be essential for monitoring pathogens with potential pandemic capability [3]. Surveillance and early warning systems detect and assess the emergence and spread of pathogens with pandemic potential. Such systems gather data about pathogens, their health effects, and human behaviors affecting propagation [2]. A wide array of input sources laboratory results, clinical syndromes, species distribution, search queries, social media, political instability, and climatic events, can provide useful information on disease risk. An effective system is sufficiently sensitive to detect important changes and specific enough to avoid distractions from false alarms [3]. Data collection is only a first step; interpretation and the integration of data into assessments of risk that drive public health action constitute the principal challenge of surveillance and early warning systems [5]. Current systems in many locations provide insufficient estimates of pathogen arrival and establishment risks and rarely connect data collection to public health actions [5].

Public Health Interventions and Non-pharmaceutical Measures

Nonpharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) are effective in controlling COVID-19, especially when combined. Early implementation of strategies such as quarantine, social distancing, suspension of public transportation, early case detection, and home isolation of mild cases significantly reduces disease transmission and mortality [3]. Without NPIs, COVID-19 cases could increase dramatically, with some studies estimating a 51-125 fold rise. Combined NPIs may halve or two-thirds reduce healthcare demand and deaths, preventing health system collapse [2]. Simulations suggest that lifting measures prematurely leads to resurgence; prolonged social distancing, contact tracing, case isolation, and work-at-home strategies are therefore recommended until a vaccine becomes available, which may take 18 months or more [4]. The COVID-19 pandemic severely impacted hospitals and healthcare providers worldwide, overwhelming capacity, causing shortages of oxygen, ventilators, and PPE, and leading to a surge in cases beyond the health system's ability to respond [8]. Essential health services were disrupted, decreasing life expectancy and resulting in approximately 19 million excess deaths globally [6]. The pandemic imposed massive economic costs, with billions expended on healthcare response and trillions in fiscal support. Investing solely in hospital-centric responses is insufficient; strong multilayered prevention and early detection are crucial [2]. The outbreak demonstrated how risk factors and sociocultural elements can enable highly contagious pandemics. Preventive investment in health security systems is vital to avoid future crises [4]. A three-layered approach focusing on prevention, detection, and response at various levels is proposed to improve health security and pandemic preparedness, emphasizing investments in pre-epidemic interventions [3]. Non-pharmaceutical interventions implemented by US cities during the 1918-1919 influenza pandemic and measures applied during the COVID-19 epidemic in China underscore the importance of transmission control strategies aimed at containing the spread of infectious diseases [5].

Vaccination Strategy and Immunization Programs

The immediate availability of vaccines against COVID-19, almost a year after the virus was first reported, was unprecedented [6]. Once vaccines were authorized for use, implementation across countries and populations was undertaken based on various prioritization frameworks. The cold chain has been a critical logistical component of vaccine distribution and administration [7]. Community acceptance, evidenced by vaccine uptake and ongoing booster policies, varies considerably by region and population group and remains an active issue in many jurisdictions [5].

Health System Capacity and Rural-Urban Disparities

The COVID-19 pandemic put unprecedented strain on the capacity of global health systems to deliver timely, high-quality medical care [6]. Within the United States, the availability of emergency beds, intensive care units (ICUs), and specialized workforce personnel varied widely from state to state and within states by rural vs. urban location [8]. Rural areas, already disadvantaged prior to the pandemic, faced substantial barriers to access and equity due in part to a lack of integrated medical centers, steady workforce shortages, reliance on small and often underfunded facilities with limited scope of capability, and insufficient development of primary care and preventative care infrastructure [9]. Conditions appeared worse still in New York State, where a number of health systems, already prior to COVID-19 occupancy rates above the national average, few alternative facilities located within a reasonable distance, and no remaining assets to redeploy or scale [10]. A spectrum exists between pandemic preparedness and resilience, with numerous potential leverage points to ensure greater health system equity for vulnerable regions, communities, and populations [5]. High-focus areas include telehealth infrastructure and capacity, critical-care supply resilience, effective outreach for testing and vaccination, and tools and practices easing patient-to-provider safe interaction. Political uncertainty diminishes resources and deterrence capacity [7]. A limited information base further increases uncertainty for policymakers and the public regarding pre-existing burdens and high-risk individuals within local jurisdiction, durability and transmissibility of novel strains against existing security measures, and anticipated attendance levels for various settings [13].

Supply Chains, Manufacturing, and Global Cooperation

The COVID-19 pandemic exposed serious weaknesses within supply chains of critical commodities such as personal protective equipment (PPE) and vaccines [10]. Low production capacity, limited suppliers, and regulatory complexity constrained rapid scale-up [12]. The global supply chain for surgical masks, for example, produced only 30 million units in 2019; the daily demand peaked at 240 million in April 2020 [11]. Less than 20% of suppliers could pivot to manufacture vaccine vials [12]. Stockpiling, supply-chain diversification, and international collaboration can mitigate bottlenecks. Ongoing production consolidation around a handful of companies makes disruptions likely. Building redundant capacity to enable a diverse supply base, both domestically and abroad, could sustain the long-term production needed for future emergencies [9].

Risk Communication and Community Engagement

The introduction of COVID-19 communication campaigns at the end of 2019 provided an opportunity to learn how to prepare for, mitigate, and recover from a pandemic [10]. The provision of timely, accurate, appropriate, and actionable updates through multi-channel communication established public trust and resources before misinformation spread [11]. Furthermore, acknowledging community fears and perceptions guided the development of effective vaccine campaigns to counteract vaccine hesitancy. Consequently, systemic failures regarding risk communication should continue to be studied [13]. Those initial efforts focused on data-sharing protocols, collaborative proposals to safeguard social equity, and support for vulnerable populations struggling before, during, and after the pandemic [13]. Integrating health, agriculture, transportation, water, security, energy, information technology, and socio-economic strategies proved essential to adapt to the change [14]. In varying humanitarian crises in different countries, diversifying communication channels and identifying trusted risk communicators helped national and local authorities sustain continuous engagement with households most affected, too. Regular formal engagements with sectors, stakeholders, and societal groups further enhanced understanding and expanded feedback channels. Both deliberation and action proved critical to maintaining communities' resilience and public trust [15].

Data Infrastructure, Analytics, and Information Sharing

Commodity data must be made available about all stages of the pandemic, from epidemiology to community impact [16]. Timely and actionable data must be shared for real-time dashboards [17]. Health records and public health data must be harmonized across organizational boundaries to permit regionally orchestrated public health responses. Data transmission must conform to common schemata that support straightforward and fast clientele reprogramming and flexibility in back-end engineering [13]. Automated, continuous data transmission, preferably only at the non-identifiable level, must be pursued to circumvent lengthy and complicated data sharing considerations. An analytic brigade located in the trusted broker mode must aggregate community data to produce

reports that routinely appear in multiple streams and for numerous viewer types, thereby circumventing amplification of disparities in community-specific analytical manpower [12].

Equity, Ethics, and Vulnerable Populations

Pandemic preparedness requires proactive strategies to prevent or mitigate outbreaks that could impact large populations globally [11]. COVID-19 serves as a lens to frame the experience of a pandemic, and to identify lessons that can enhance preparedness for future pandemics. Not all lessons gleaned during the pandemic can or should be generalized, so the focus is on those that span multiple diseases and pathogen types [15]. The specific questions addressed are: What lessons related to pandemic preparedness can be derived from the experience of COVID-19? Were the issues of equity and ethics prioritized appropriately during the pandemic, and what different approaches to equity and ethics could have been implemented to fulfill moral responsibility toward vulnerable communities? [13]. The pandemic exposed a range of disparities that affected the experience of vulnerable populations across the globe [18]. Inequities associated with geographic location, race, ethnicity, occupation, income, disability, and other factors were pronounced. The differential impact of COVID-19 on various demographic groups indicated that the expectations surrounding health equity had not been adequately satisfied [19]. The COVID-19 vaccines also served as a lens to highlight the differences in treatment experienced by various groups of people. Ethical frameworks were deployed at a range of levels to guide the governmental response to COVID-19. Several important ethical lessons were identified that transcended the specific mandate to manage COVID-19 itself and extended more broadly to pandemic preparedness at a systems level [18, 19].

Economic and Social Impacts and Mitigation

The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in substantial socio-economic impacts on global society [13]. The global economic contraction experienced in 2020 due to COVID-19 lockdown measures was unprecedented and the largest experienced since the Great Depression [14]. In the second quarter of 2020, global GDP fell 6%, with GDP falling 7% in advanced economies and 7.4% in emerging markets and developing economies. The downturn was uneven across countries, with declines ranging from 29% to 2% [18]. To manage the economic devastation resulting from lockdowns, many countries announced unprecedented levels of social protection to mitigate the impact on vulnerable populations [17]. This included direct cash payments, wage subsidies, tax deferrals and exemptions, loans and grants to businesses, food assistance, and social-security contributions exemptions. In many countries, support was made available to people without formal jobs for the first time [12]. Global measures were concentrated in the second quarter, with $9 trillion made available and implemented immediately, to be used not just for health measures but also sustained for a longer period for education and preventive measures [15]. The COVID-19 pandemic has also disrupted education systems due to the impact of lockdown and confinement measures. At least 1.6 billion students were affected globally in 2020 to a greater or lesser degree, representing 80% of the school-age population [15]. Emerging and developing economies were more severely affected than advanced economies. The average proportion of students affected in these countries was about 10 times higher than in advanced economies. Education interruptions were more severe overall for pre-school and primary education, with children aged 3 to 12 more likely to be excluded from online learning [14]. The closure of schools had significant impacts on children's learning, acquisition of socio-emotional skills, mental health, and nutritional quality of meals. Children that were already disadvantaged suffered more severe consequences and were at risk of dropping out permanently [16]. The disruption triggered by COVID-19 measures posed the greatest threat to families and vulnerable households [3]. Countries adopted various approaches to help people cope with these difficult circumstances, including providing cash or in-kind transfers, food assistance, access to shelter or hygiene, payment deferrals, and low-interest loans. These measures, if effective and adequately sustained, could alleviate extreme poverty, maintain well-being for less-disadvantaged sections of society, and support people crossing the poverty line during recovery [1].

International Coordination and Lessons for Global Health Security

The lessons learned from the COVID-19 pandemic regarding international coordination aim to prepare for future health emergencies and improve global health security [20]. A joint data and risk assessment platform should monitor infectious diseases and event reports in real time. Frameworks for equitable allocation of vaccines, therapeutics, and diagnostics should be established [16]. To enhance governance and increase resources for pandemic preparedness and response, far-reaching reforms to the World Health Organization and the United Nations System must be considered [1]. The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the need for improved international coordination capacity to prepare for future health emergencies and strengthen global health security [17]. The need for such capacity has been widely recognized. One of the main gaps in COVID-19 preparedness identified early in the response was the lack of a joint data and risk assessment platform to monitor infectious disease transmission and timely event reporting across countries [15]. Timely analysis of risk factors, disease severity, transmission routes, and population vulnerabilities is critical for countries to make informed, evidence-based policy decisions [12]. An additional gap is the absence of an equitable allocation framework for vaccines,

therapeutics, and diagnostics to meet the global challenges of disease emergence, re-emergence, or escalation. Such a framework is essential to facilitate rapid, timely, and trusted allocation decisions among countries and facilitate equitable access, especially for low-income or lower-middle-income countries. To further enhance international governance arrangements and increase the predictability, flexibility, and amount of domestic and international financing available for pandemic preparedness and response, consideration should be given to far-reaching reforms to the World Health Organization and the United Nations System [18].

Policy Implications for Preparedness and Resilience

Pandemic preparedness equals the ability to anticipate, prevent, and mitigate pandemic impact through surveillance, funding, workforce, supply chains, communication, equity, and international cooperation. Policymakers and practitioners now have a clear, evidence-informed opportunity to strengthen global readiness and resilience based on COVID-19 experience. Key recommendations include building the global information network for early warning, funding endemic respiratory diseases, supporting health workers and vaccines for all, maintaining multipurpose supplies, preventing misinformation, and strengthening international cooperation [10]. A comprehensive and timely framework to achieve global preparedness and resilience is needed [12]. By embracing this strategic agenda, all high-stakes investments with recognized payoffs that must nevertheless compete with pressing domestic policies, governments, and other global partners can bolster society's capacity to withstand inevitable future shocks from pandemics or their equivalents [19]. Progress should be monitored through sustainable cooperation that mobilizes finance, technology transfer, and other resources in support of vulnerable populations and across the rural-urban nexus, focused on international public goods for preventing and mitigating the consequences of the next pandemic [20-25].

CONCLUSION

The COVID-19 pandemic has provided an unprecedented opportunity to evaluate global readiness for large-scale health emergencies and to identify the systemic transformations needed to prevent similar devastation in the future. Evidence from the review demonstrates that no country, irrespective of economic status, was fully prepared for the magnitude and speed at which SARS-CoV-2 spread. While rapid scientific innovations, including the development of vaccines within a year, showcased the potential of global collaboration, the pandemic also revealed critical vulnerabilities in surveillance capacity, health workforce readiness, supply chains, and political coordination. A central conclusion is that pandemic preparedness must be approached as a holistic, multi-layered system rather than a crisis-driven reaction. Strengthening early warning mechanisms, integrating data across sectors, and developing flexible, interoperable reporting frameworks are essential to improving detection and risk assessment. Public health interventions, particularly non-pharmaceutical measures, proved indispensable in the absence of vaccines, affirming the value of timely implementation, community trust, and adaptive policy design. Health system resilience, especially in rural and underserved regions, emerged as a major determinant of survival. Investments in primary care, tele-health infrastructure, critical-care capacity, and equitable distribution of resources must therefore be prioritized. The pandemic also underscored that preparedness is inseparable from equity and ethics. Marginalized populations bore the brunt of the crisis due to structural disparities, inadequate access to services, and socio-economic instability. Future preparedness strategies must embed fairness in all response mechanisms, including vaccine allocation, risk communication, social protection, and economic recovery measures. Global supply chain disruptions further proved that national self-sufficiency alone is insufficient; international cooperation, diversified manufacturing, and robust stockpiling systems are indispensable for ensuring access to critical commodities during emergencies. Finally, COVID-19 reaffirmed the necessity of reforming global health governance to support coordinated responses, transparent information sharing, and equitable distribution of public health resources. Strengthening the World Health Organization's authority, improving financing mechanisms for global health security, and operationalizing fair allocation frameworks are essential steps. Pandemic preparedness must be viewed as a continuous, long-term investment rather than a temporary response to crises. In conclusion, the lessons drawn from COVID-19 provide a clear roadmap for building resilient, adaptive, and equitable health systems worldwide. By embracing a comprehensive preparedness agenda rooted in data-driven surveillance, inclusive governance, and global solidarity, countries can better safeguard populations, protect vulnerable groups, and enhance the world's collective capacity to withstand future pandemics.

REFERENCES

1. Sharp A, Jain V, Alimi Y, Bausch DG. Policy and planning for large epidemics and pandemics–challenges and lessons learned from COVID-19. Current opinion in infectious diseases. 2021 Oct 1;34(5):393-400.
2. Lipsitch M, Bassett MT, Brownstein JS, Elliott P, Eyre D, Grabowski MK, Hay JA, Johansson MA, Kissler SM, Larremore DB, Layden JE. Infectious disease surveillance needs for the United States: lessons from Covid-19. Frontiers in public health. 2024 Jul 15;12:1408193.

3. Paul-Chima UO, Nnaemeka UM, Nneoma UC. Could dysbiosis of urban air microbiota be an overlooked contributor to pediatric asthma and neurodevelopmental disorders?. Medical Hypotheses. 2025 Sep 12:111758.
4. Zhao F, Bali S, Kovacevic R, Weintraub J. A three-layer system to win the war against COVID-19 and invest in health systems of the future. BMJ global health. 2021 Dec 1;6(12):e007365.
5. Patiño-Lugo DF, Vélez M, Velásquez Salazar P, Vera-Giraldo CY, Vélez V, Marín IC, Ramírez PA, Quintero SP, Castrillón Martínez E, Pineda Higuita DA, Henandez G. Non-pharmaceutical interventions for containment, mitigation and suppression of COVID-19 infection. Colombia Medica. 2020 Jun;51(2).
6. Paul-Chima UO, Nneoma UC, Bulhan S. Metabolic immunobridge: Could adipose-derived extracellular vesicles be the missing link between obesity, autoimmunity, and drug-induced hepatotoxicity?. Medical Hypotheses. 2025 Sep 28:111776.
7. Tsai SC, Lu CC, Bau DT, Chiu YJ, Yen YT, Hsu YM, Fu CW, Kuo SC, Lo YS, Chiu HY, Juan YN. Approaches towards fighting the COVID-19 pandemic. International journal of molecular medicine. 2021 Jan 1;47(1):3-22.
8. Ota MO, Badur S, Romano-Mazzotti L, Friedland LR. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on routine immunization. Annals of Medicine. 2021 Jan 1;53(1):2286-97.
9. MacDonald NE, Comeau JL, Dubé È, Bucci LM. COVID-19 and missed routine immunizations: designing for effective catch-up in Canada. Canadian Journal of Public Health. 2020 Aug;111(4):469-72.
10. Chillag KL, Lee LM. Synergistic disparities and public health mitigation of COVID-19 in the rural United States. Journal of Bioethical Inquiry. 2020 Dec;17(4):649-56.
11. Melvin SC, Wiggins C, Burse N, Thompson E, Monger M. The role of public health in COVID-19 emergency response efforts from a rural health perspective. Preventing Chronic Disease. 2020 Jul 23;17:E70.
12. Ugwu CN, Ugwu OP, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI, Okon MB, Egba SI, Uti DE. Sustainable development goals (SDGs) and resilient healthcare systems: Addressing medicine and public health challenges in conflict zones. Medicine. 2025 Feb 14;104(7):e41535.
13. Aron JA, Bulteel AJ, Clayman KA, Cornett JA, Filtz K, Heneghan L, Hubbell KT, Huff R, Richter AJ, Yu K, Weil H. Strategies for responding to the COVID-19 pandemic in a rural health system in New York state. InHealthcare 2021 Jun 1 (Vol. 9, No. 2, p. 100508). Elsevier.
14. Kumar P, Singh RK, Shahgholian A. Learnings from COVID-19 for managing humanitarian supply chains: systematic literature review and future research directions. Annals of Operations Research. 2024 Apr;335(3):899-935.
15. Bhaskar S, Tan J, Bogers ML, Minssen T, Badaruddin H, Israeli-Korn S, Chesbrough H. At the epicenter of COVID-19–the tragic failure of the global supply chain for medical supplies. Frontiers in public health. 2020 Nov 24;8:562882.
16. Ugwu CN, Ugwu OP, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI, Okon MB, Egba SI, Uti DE. Medical preparedness for bioterrorism and chemical warfare: A public health integration review. Medicine. 2025 May 2;104(18):e42289.
17. Tambo E, Djuikoue IC, Tazemda GK, Fotsing MF, Zhou XN. Early stage risk communication and community engagement (RCCE) strategies and measures against the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic crisis. Global Health Journal. 2021 Mar 1;5(1):44-50.
18. Wieland ML, Asiedu GB, Lantz K, Abbenyi A, Njeru JW, Osman A, Goodson M, Ahmed Y, Molina LE, Doubeni CA, Sia IG. Leveraging community engaged research partnerships for crisis and emergency risk communication to vulnerable populations in the COVID-19 pandemic. Journal of clinical and translational science. 2021 Jan;5(1):e6.
19. Fakhruddin B, Clark H, Robinson L, Hieber-Girardet L. Should I stay or should I go now? Why risk communication is the critical component in disaster risk reduction. Progress in disaster science. 2020 Dec 1;8:100139.
20. Paul-Chima UO, Ugwu CN, Alum EU. Integrated approaches in nutraceutical delivery systems: optimizing ADME dynamics for enhanced therapeutic potency and clinical impact. RPS Pharmacy and Pharmacology Reports. 2024 Oct;3(4):rqae024.
21. Kannampallil TG, Foraker RE, Lai AM, Woeltje KF, Payne PR. When past is not a prologue: Adapting informatics practice during a pandemic. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association. 2020 Jul;27(7):1142-6.
22. Foraker RE, Lai AM, Kannampallil TG, Woeltje KF, Trolard AM, Payne PR. Transmission dynamics: data sharing in the COVID-19 era. Learning Health Systems. 2021 Jan;5(1):e10235.

23. Selembo TD, Talbot EA, Courtine CT, Daly ER, Hull TW, Durzy KJ. Ensuring equitable COVID-19 vaccine allocation in New Hampshire: the first eight months toward a new era. Vaccines. 2022 Aug 29;10(9):1421.
24. Smith MJ, Ahmad A, Arawi T, Dawson A, Emanuel EJ, Garani-Papadatos T, Ghimire P, Iliyasu Z, Lei R, Mastroleo I, Mathur R. Top five ethical lessons of COVID-19 that the world must learn. Wellcome Open Research. 2021 Jan 29;6:17.
25. Collins T, Akselrod S, Bloomfield A, Gamkrelidze A, Jakab Z, Placella E. Rethinking the COVID-19 pandemic: back to public health. Annals of global health. 2020 Oct 8;86(1):133.

CITE AS: Mugisha Emmanuel K. (2026). Pandemic Preparedness Lessons from COVID-19. IDOSR JOURNAL OF EXPERIMENTAL SCIENCES 12(1): 52-58.
https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSR/JES/06/1215258