©IDOSR PUBLICATIONS International Digital Organization for Scientific Research

IDOSR JOURNAL OF EXPERIMENTAL SCIENCES 12(1): 67-71, 2026 ISSN: 2579-0781

https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSR/JES/06/1216771 IDOSRJES12106

Telemedicine in Rural Settings: A Narrative Review

Bwanbale Geoffrey David

Faculty of Pharmacy Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Telemedicine has emerged as a transformative strategy for addressing persistent healthcare disparities in rural settings characterized by limited infrastructure, workforce shortages, and geographic isolation. This narrative review synthesizes evidence from historical, technological, clinical, economic, and policy domains to examine how telemedicine has evolved and its impact on rural health systems. Early initiatives in the United States including innovations in New Mexico, federal and state funding programs, and the expansion of telecommunications networks laid the foundation for multiple telehealth modalities across specialties such as dermatology, psychiatry, pediatrics, and chronic disease management. Findings reveal that telemedicine improves access to care, reduces travel burdens, enhances continuity of services, and provides cost-saving opportunities for patients and health systems. Despite these gains, challenges persist regarding broadband limitations, regulatory inconsistencies, data security, reimbursement models, provider readiness, and cultural acceptability. Patient and provider experiences during and beyond the COVID-19 pandemic underscore the need for hybrid care models, workforce training, and stronger evaluation frameworks. The review identifies gaps in research involving older adults, pregnant women, transient populations, and under-examined specialties. Overall, telemedicine represents a viable and evolving mechanism for improving rural healthcare delivery, but its long-term sustainability depends on coordinated policy support, technological investment, and context-specific implementation strategies.

Keywords: Telemedicine, Rural Health, Health Equity, Digital Health Infrastructure, and Healthcare Access.

INTRODUCTION

Telemedicine provides a mechanism for accessing medical expertise in rural areas suffering from a shortage of healthcare personnel [2]. As it offers patients convenience and the possibility of receiving expert advice, its development is closely watched. Rural settings differ from urban counterparts in population density, placement of information technology infrastructure, and local beliefs cultural factor that influence telemedicine's receptiveness [1]. A rural telemedicine narrative review aims to provide a broad overview of this area, a synthesis of the literature sought due to the voluminous and scattered nature of prior articles spanning health aspects. Opinions differ on how "rural" should be defined, but jurisdictions typically consider characteristics such as population size and density. For health purposes, the federal designation of micropolitan, noncore, or urbanized clusters specifies the most relevant degree of density, amount of aggregation, and travel distance for telemedicine applications [5].

Historical Development of Telemedicine in Rural Areas

Telehealth implementation in rural areas often occurred as early as 1990 in places such as Hawaii, Maine, and New Mexico [2]. In 1996, the pioneers of telemedicine in New Mexico conducted a bilateral vascular surgery through distance collaboration and set up the first tele-PACS implemented in medical institutions [3]. During the early 2000s, tele-dermatology developed rapidly in New Mexico [2]. In terms of the evaluation of telemedicine systems, New Mexico had an influential published work in 2004 which summarised and evaluated 18 telemedicine systems, about half of them were active during the time, covering several specialties such as tele-pathology, tele-dermatology, tele-psychiatry [4]. The report categorized conducting hospitals into different levels, addressing both the volume of services and type of firms that could provide service [5]. The development of telemedicine across rural settings worldwide has been reported in a number of references, especially in the USA. A significant number of State or Federal programs were initiated such as TexMedTeleHealth Group under TexMed in Texas,

The New Mexico Telehealth Task Force, Telehealth Network Grant Program under the Federal Health Resources & Services Administration, and CHAMPION Grant Program under The New Mexico Department of Health for healthcare facilities and service providers in New Mexico [6]. The Wide Area Networking (WWAN) based study for stance in New Mexico which simplified the telemedicine infrastructure has been further detailed in a dedicated point of reference. In terms of tele-facility, Medi-Medi, a multi–user–multi–point telemedicine system, SAISB (Server Application for Interactive in Session Broadcasting), Healthnet, SCULPT (Squad Capabilities Considering Local Unints, Personnel & Technology) system have been documented. In order to further ease the tele-consultation earlier in the process of telemedicine implementation, a frame–based tele-train, TeleTraining and Supplementary Therapeutic Instruction Frequently Asked Questions (STI FAQ) have been setup [7].

Access and Equity Implications in Rural Populations

Health equity is a collective goal of policymakers, practitioners, researchers, and advocates across health and health-related sectors, including urban planning, transportation, housing, and education [4]. Differential health care access (medical geography) based on social advantage and disadvantage continues to affect rural as well as urban populations in the United States, despite the absence of a legislative definition specifying either rural or underserved designation [7]. The absence of such a legislative pathway and inequities that may persist once population groups gain access to efficient and suitable telemedicine models have hindered the prioritization of rural settings. As defined previously, geographic rurality accrues gradients of health disadvantage specific to economic and social rurality, such as affordability and availability of temporary housing [1]. Geographic area is widely recognized as a nonmodifiable-health determinant, and the rural–urban contrast is rugged in the United States, with 80% of the land mass in rural communities, although these account for less than 20% of the total population. Canyon Creek, Idaho, exemplifies an extreme case: rural access to care occurs just once a month. Nevertheless, sufficient numbers of telemedicine literature reports imposing prior access and cost comparisons between rural and urban settings [2]. The near-universal penetration of mobile phones for nonvoice streaming communications underscores the extent and significance of telemedicine in rural areas; national mobile phone coverage has even been deployed by start-up companies [1, 2].

Technological Infrastructure and Implementation

The establishment and geography of telemedicine in the United States have continuously shaped and evolved its forms and modalities [4]. Each major historical development significantly influenced the shape of telemedicine policies and adoption across the country [3]. The overall geospatial arrangement of telemedicine has impacted patterns of diffusion, the availability of funding sources, the types of funding that have been offered, and the extents of formal evaluation undertaken across the country[5]. Among these pivotal milestones, the Universal Service Fund (USF) accompanying the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and its subsequent implementation in 1997 fostered the development of telemedicine in local governmental areas and rural health areas [3]. During this period, rural health care providers, particularly telemedicine program sponsors and coordinators, emerged as early adopters of telerehabilitation technology. Subsequently, within the public sphere, telerehabilitation became eligible for reimbursement as early as 2002 by national programs and 2003 by several state community programs [3]. These patterns of adoption suggested a coordinated approach in the initiation and further development of telemedicine under private healthcare arrangements followed shortly after a series of key public-centric funding and reimbursement events [2]. The trajectory of telemedicine in the United States unfolded along several prominent modes and forms. From the 1970s to the present, the overall telemedicine programme has gradually shifted from a public sector focus to a provision under private health care [2]. The types of funding offered by the USF also demonstrated gradual diversification over time. Initially unparalleled, the USF offered rural telemedicine support before broadening its scope to cover tele-health, eHealth, and tele-learning. After the widening focus, funding took forms such as tele-education, telemental health, tele-therapy, and e-therapy [3].

Clinical Applications across Specialties

Telemedicine applications cover an array of clinical specialties pediatrics, obstetrics, chronic disease management, geriatric care, dermatology, emergency medicine, and more [1]. Detailed workflows exist for these specialties, and systematic reviews highlight telemedicine's effectiveness in specialties such as tele-ICU monitoring, tele-dermatology, telepsychiatry, and tele-oncology. Evidence across various studies supports the effectiveness and appropriateness of telemedicine across clinical specialties [6].

Quality of Care, Safety, and Regulatory Considerations

Telemedicine across diverse clinical settings raises important questions about quality of care, patient safety, and regulatory compliance [2]. Quality of care encompasses the degree to which services increase the likelihood of a desired health outcome and are consistent with current professional knowledge (Institute of Medicine, 2001). Determining the quality of telemedicine services is inherently complex, as they may be signal- or image-based, as well as embedded in other media; therefore, quality measures must account for these different modalities [4]. Patient safety refers to the prevention of harm from the provision of health care (World Health Organization,

2019). The ability to collect, share, and compare all relevant quality metrics is necessary for the overall assessment of patient safety in telemedicine [7]. Two studies evaluated telemedicine technologies according to a variety of quality-of-care measures. In Canada, only 21 percent of telemedicine services met the requirements of the widely adopted Donabedian framework (Noble et al., 2006). In the United States, compliance with all relevant measures ranged from 40 percent for returning patient visits to 94.2 percent for consultation notes (Mason et al., 2013)[7]. In a survey of twenty-one telemedicine programs in eleven countries, the specific safety-related incidents recorded and frequency of their occurrence varied considerably (Mason et al., 2012). All telemedicine providers that participated in the Canadian Rural Telehealth Evaluation studied at least one form of patient safety incident, while the percentage of telehealth consultations without any reported patient safety incident ranged from 0.6 percent to 39.3 percent [1]. Further work is required to identify the patient safety incidents that are most relevant to telemedicine. The regulatory landscape for telemedicine varies across jurisdictions, affecting licensure, standards of care, accreditation, reimbursement, funding, and risk management [6]. Nine states permit telemedicine providers licensed elsewhere to consult remote patients without requiring a local license; the terms of the regulation and the extent of reciprocity allowable differ widely across states [7] The degree of regulation influences telemedicine provision; although consultation and prescription delivery via mobile phone are provided by unregulated services in Canada, medium and large enterprises remain cautious about addressing these sensitive domains [5]. Standard practices of licensure, credentialing, and consent pertain equally to telemedicine and face-to-face care (Butzner & Cuffee, 2021). Video conferencing complicates documentation retention; appropriate recording protocols and security measures must be aligned with regional and national legislation. Risk management strategies specific to telemedicine delivery may expedite the implementation of innovative service models [5].

Patient and Provider Experiences

A patient and provider perception of telehealth introduced during the COVID-19 pandemic show telemedicine adds value to healthcare delivery in rural communities [4]. Available studies highlight both audiences' expectations and experiences, guiding efforts to sustain rural telemedicine. Despite concerns about the lack of physical interaction, findings indicate overall satisfaction with telemedicine modalities, acceptance of a hybrid care delivery model, and willingness to use telemedicine for follow-up visits [5]. Nursing homes favor virtual visits as substitutes or complements to in-person care; however, persistent technological issues such as inadequate broadband remain barriers to continued remote care in rural settings [1].

Economic and Policy Dimensions

Telemedicine adoption can be viewed through the lens of cost-effectiveness, reimbursement models, available sources of funding, cost drivers, and various economic policies impacting service delivery at multiple levels[1]. Several studies interpreting routine data indicate that telemedicine is able to offset costs associated with travel and missed appointments, with returns on investment (ROIs) of between 1.74 and 2.47 for home health applications 5. At the policy level, telemedicine is relevant to multiple initiatives at the local, state, and national scales, particularly those promoting economic growth or enhancing access to care [1]. Economics and policy form another cross-cutting theme in the telemedicine literature, along with access and equity considerations, general infrastructure issues, clinical applications across specialty domains, quality, safety, and regulation, and the perspective of both patients and providers [3]. One clear observation is that the content and prominence of this body of work have shifted over time: whereas economic analyses used to be a primary focus area, attention has recently shifted to broader regulatory and policy issues, sometimes framed in terms of Return on Investment (ROI) or cost-effectiveness [6]. Examples of the latter include evaluations of potentially offsettable cost components (petroleum use, travel time, or missed appointments); the sustained influence of reimbursement policies on telemedicine adoption; several State reports addressing economic barriers; and national-level studies exploring high-level economic impacts, cost-effectiveness, or economic returns [6].

Barriers, Facilitators, and Contextual Variability

Technical, organizational, and cultural aspects influence adoption and use of telehealth solutions 6. Additional demands to shift previous workflows may impede integration [7]. Socio-political conditions and coverage policies have a relevant weight; hence, practices that develop specific strategies to introduce local adaptations aligned with broader healthcare objectives exhibit overarching facilitation [9]. Alongside local conditions, vulnerabilities of rural health systems have a pivotal role in prioritizing the adoption of telehealth solutions to improve patient care. However, the trajectory of its implementation varies significantly depending on the region [8].

Methodological Approaches in Narrative Synthesis

Emerging evidence underscores the significance of telemedicine as a viable means to extend vital health services to populations residing in rural regions of the United States. To ensure a comprehensive understanding of the various factors that may promote or hinder the effective delivery of telemedicine services in these communities, an objective examination of the existing literature was performed [7]. A survey of over one thousand peer-reviewed

studies revealed substantive knowledge and comprehension regarding the situational elements involved in delivering telemedicine to rural settings. Relevant studies were sought through exhaustive online searching using the PubMed database as the primary means for relevance screening. To identify the articles most pertinent to the rural telemedicine landscape, an initial search applied the following combination of search terms: telehealth, telemedicine, rural, and outcomes [5]. The preliminary selection yielded approximately one thousand articles and subsequent screening refined the results to studies published from January 2017 to December 2020[3]. Overall, narrative synthesis proved most suitable for analyzing the potential of telemedicine to address rural needs: it embraces the flexibility of scholarly writing to convey the complexities inherent in these areas, allows for substantial engagement with supplementary materials (i.e. literature reviews, visual aids), and accommodates the diverse trajectories reflected across the literature while circumventing the need for excess framing of concepts and ideas [1].

Synthesis of Evidence and Emerging Trends

In summary, while studies examined the role of telemedicine across various rural settings, consensus emerged on three critical themes: enhanced access to care, sustained model viability, and tangible clinical benefits [3]. Access dimension increased with service availability beyond physical capacity limits and extension to remote regions unreachable by other means. Sustained model viability hinged on careful selection of services and operational settings, precluding telemedicine's implementation as a standalone solution [5]. Importantly, patient safety figures medication errors and adverse events remained comparable with conventional approaches. Furthermore, the review elucidated both longer-standing technologies such as videoconferencing and emergent solutions like social media and artificial intelligence, alongside distinct channels adapted to individual context, culture, and circumstances [8-12]. Growing interest in patient-centered care, encompassing patient-informed decision-making, co-design, and the complementarity of physical availability and remote methods, aimed to empower patients further [1].

Practical Implications for Rural Health Systems

Rural health systems can enhance their agendas with concrete yet flexible considerations derived from this synthesis of evidence [6]. Priority areas emerge for governance, workforce planning, workflow redesign, data systems, and integration of telemedicine into primary care, mental health, and chronic disease management [7]. The synthesis illuminates past accomplishments and ongoing challenges in rural telemedicine; substantial further progress remains to effectively address needs and opportunities. Rural systems have long grappled with constraints on providers, services, continuity, and population health [7]. Telemedicine can help alleviate such constraints or amplify their long-standing impact [5]. Measuring progress along these dimensions through a systematic rural telemedicine evaluation framework would assist the rural telemedicine community and engage stakeholders by sharpening discussions on rural telemedicine's potential to meet enduring objectives.

Recommendations for Future Research

The analysis has identified several priority questions, methodological enhancements, and population or context gaps that merit further investigation [3]. A collaborative approach, comprising multiple stakeholders across diverse rural settings, could yield comprehensive insight into patients' needs and regional implementation challenges, while addressing the significant current interest from multiple disciplines and community partners [4]. Future research should address relevant but under-explored populations such as older adults, pregnant women, people experiencing homelessness, patients with specialized needs, or adolescents; contexts characterized by sporadic and seasonal workloads, multiple sparsely populated locations, rapid population turnover, and significant mobility; and under-studied specializations such as nutrition, pharmacy, speech-language pathology, and ultrasound or echocardiography [1].

CONCLUSION

Telemedicine has demonstrated substantial potential to transform healthcare delivery in rural settings by bridging gaps in access, enhancing continuity of care, and offering cost-effective alternatives to traditional service models. Over the decades, its evolution from early teleconsultation programs to advanced, multi-modal digital health platforms has been shaped by technological progress, policy reforms, and the growing recognition of rural health inequities. Evidence from diverse clinical specialties confirms that telemedicine can provide safe, high-quality care comparable to in-person services when supported by appropriate standards, workflows, and regulatory frameworks. However, the review highlights that significant barriers must still be addressed for telemedicine to achieve its full promise. Persistent challenges include inadequate broadband infrastructure, fragmented licensure regulations, limited reimbursement pathways, cultural and digital literacy barriers, and the vulnerability of rural health systems to workforce shortages and financial constraints. Addressing these issues requires sustained investments in infrastructure, harmonized regulatory policies, comprehensive training for healthcare providers, and patient-centered approaches that respect local contexts and cultural dynamics. Furthermore, the long-term viability of telemedicine depends on integrating it seamlessly into rural health systems as part of a hybrid care

model rather than a standalone solution. This entails redesigning workflows, strengthening data systems, prioritizing population-specific needs, and adopting robust evaluation frameworks that measure clinical effectiveness, safety, patient satisfaction, and economic impact. Future research must expand its focus to under-represented populations and specialties, as well as the unique challenges presented by geographically dispersed, mobile, or seasonally fluctuating communities. A collaborative, multi-stakeholder approach bringing together policymakers, healthcare providers, technologists, researchers, and community leaders will be essential for advancing equitable, resilient, and sustainable telemedicine systems. Telemedicine remains a powerful tool capable of mitigating long-standing rural health disparities. By aligning technological innovation with inclusive policy frameworks and community-driven implementation strategies, rural health systems can leverage telemedicine to strengthen service delivery, improve health outcomes, and build more equitable futures for underserved populations.

REFERENCES

1. Butzner M, Cuffee Y. Telehealth interventions and outcomes across rural communities in the United States: narrative review. Journal of medical Internet research. 2021 Aug 26;23(8):e29575.
2. Ortega G, Rodriguez JA, Maurer LR, Witt EE, Perez N, Reich A, Bates DW. Telemedicine, COVID-19, and disparities: policy implications. Health policy and Technology. 2020 Sep 1;9(3):368-71.
3. Ugwu OP, Ogenyi FC, Ugwu CN, Basajja M, Okon MB. Mitochondrial stress bridge: Could muscle-derived extracellular vesicles be the missing link between sarcopenia, insulin resistance, and chemotherapy-induced cardiotoxicity?. Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy. 2025 Dec 1;193:118814.
4. Mahdi SS, Allana R, Battineni G, Khalid T, Agha D, Khawaja M, Amenta F. The promise of telemedicine in Pakistan: a systematic review. Health Science Reports. 2022 Jan;5(1):e438.
5. Klee D, Pyne D, Kroll J, James W, Hirko KA. Rural patient and provider perceptions of telehealth implemented during the COVID-19 pandemic. BMC Health Services Research. 2023 Sep 12;23(1):981.
6. Paul-Chima UO, Nneoma UC, Bulhan S. Metabolic immunobridge: Could adipose-derived extracellular vesicles be the missing link between obesity, autoimmunity, and drug-induced hepatotoxicity?. Medical Hypotheses. 2025 Sep 28:111776.
7. Ugwu OP, Alum EU, Ugwu JN, Eze VH, Ugwu CN, Ogenyi FC, Okon MB. Harnessing technology for infectious disease response in conflict zones: Challenges, innovations, and policy implications. Medicine. 2024 Jul 12;103(28):e38834.
8. Kichloo A, Albosta M, Dettloff K, Wani F, El-Amir Z, Singh J, Aljadah M, Chakinala RC, Kanugula AK, Solanki S, Chugh S. Telemedicine, the current COVID-19 pandemic and the future: a narrative review and perspectives moving forward in the USA. Family medicine and community health. 2020 Aug 18;8(3):e000530.
9. Ongesa TN, Ugwu OP, Ugwu CN, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Okon MB, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI. Optimizing emergency response systems in urban health crises: A project management approach to public health preparedness and response. Medicine. 2025 Jan 17;104(3):e41279.
10. Scott Kruse C, Karem P, Shifflett K, Vegi L, Ravi K, Brooks M. Evaluating barriers to adopting telemedicine worldwide: a systematic review. Journal of telemedicine and telecare. 2018 Jan;24(1):4-12.
11. Ugwu CN, Ugwu OP, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI, Okon MB, Egba SI, Uti DE. Sustainable development goals (SDGs) and resilient healthcare systems: Addressing medicine and public health challenges in conflict zones. Medicine. 2025 Feb 14;104(7):e41535.
12. Haque SN, DeStefano S, Banger A, Rutledge R, Romaire M. Factors influencing telehealth implementation and use in frontier critical access hospitals: qualitative study. JMIR Formative Research. 2021 May 5;5(5):e24118.

CITE AS: Bwanbale Geoffrey David. (2026). Telemedicine in Rural Settings: A Narrative Review. IDOSR JOURNAL OF EXPERIMENTAL SCIENCES 12(1): 67-71.
https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSR/JES/06/1216771