©IDOSR PUBLICATIONS International Digital Organization for Scientific Research

IDOSR JOURNAL OF APPLIED SCIENCES 11(1):66-73, 2026 ISSN: 2550-7931

https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSRJAS/2026/1116673 IDOSRJAS11106

Narrative Review of Digital Health Interventions for Diabetes

Maina Mwaura F.

School of Natural and Applied Sciences Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Diabetes mellitus represents a major global public health challenge, with rising prevalence, substantial morbidity, and escalating health system costs. Digital health interventions (DHIs) have emerged as promising tools to support diabetes prevention, self-management, and long-term care through the use of telemedicine, mobile health applications, digital therapeutics, wearable devices, remote monitoring, and decision-support systems. This narrative review synthesizes current evidence on the scope, classification, and effectiveness of digital health interventions for diabetes management. It examines conceptual frameworks underpinning DHIs, key intervention modalities, and their impact on clinical outcomes such as glycemic control, hypoglycemia reduction, cardiometabolic risk, body weight, and patient-reported outcomes. The review further examines implementation considerations, including health system integration, reimbursement models, equity, digital literacy, data privacy, and interoperability. Overall, the evidence suggests that digital health interventions can improve glycemic outcomes, enhance patient engagement, and support lifestyle modification, particularly when interventions are multifaceted and integrated into routine care. However, heterogeneity in intervention design, methodological limitations, and inequities in access remain significant challenges. Future research should focus on long-term effectiveness, implementation in underserved populations, and the development of scalable, interoperable, and patient-centered digital solutions to strengthen diabetes care across diverse settings.

Keywords: Digital health interventions, Diabetes mellitus, Mobile health (mHealth), Telemedicine and Diabetes self-management.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes is a chronic disease characterized by hyperglycemia and impaired metabolism of carbohydrates, fats, and proteins, with complications affecting eyes, kidneys, nerves, heart, and blood vessels [1]. The diagnosis is made through the measurement of glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), blood glucose (including measurement of blood glucose in plasma), and/or the presence of typical symptoms of diabetes [2]. There are three types of diabetes: Type 1, Type 2, and Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM). Diabetes can also be classified according to the type of metabolic disorder, in which case it can be classified as a Type 1, a Type 2 or a Type 3 Diabetes [3]. With a significant global rise in the prevalence of diabetes, the World Health Organization has classified it as an epidemic. In November 2011, the United Nations General Assembly has declared a high-level meeting on the prevention and control of Non-Communicable Diseases (NCDs), including diabetes, and adopted the 2020 Global NCD target on diabetes[4]. As per the International Diabetes Federation (IDF), from 463 million people aged 20-79 years with diabetes in 2019, it is estimated that the number will reach 578 million by 2030 and 700 million by 2045. In an alarming trend, at least 79 million people are estimated to have impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) at the age 20-79, which is a pre-diabetic state in 2019, and the estimate is set to rise to 88 million by 2030 and 101 million by. Monitoring of blood glucose in the population, specifically at 75g, revealed an estimated 293 million People Living with Diabetes (PLWD) across the globe [5]. As per IDF, India is regarded as the "Diabetes Capital of the World" with more than 77 million diabetic adults in 2019, which is likely to escalate to 134 million by 2045. India's desire to control other chronic diseases under the National Programme for Health Care of Elderly (NPHCE) through a centralized database would benefit from an evidence-based national study on prevalence estimation. Biochemical signals in diabetes also offer an opportunity for self-assessment, which could help develop better products to leverage chronic diabetic signals at the point of care [6].

Conceptual Framework and Purposes of Digital Health Interventions

Continuous and proactive care models seek to deliver timely interventions based on knowledge synthesis from multiple data sources across the care continuum [2]. In the context of diabetes, a continuously evolving condition with treatment changes mandated by clinical guidelines, patients often lack timely access to care when self-management capacities shift [7]. Digital interventions can help bridge these gaps by monitoring physiologic data, processing the information to extract insights, and reaching out to patients or providers for further actions [9]. Self-management interventions aim to impact knowledge, skills, behavior, and motivation in patients and are considered a key mechanism of digital health interventions [11]. Self-management specifies typical illness trajectories, treatment adjustments, implications of live, preemptive data assimilation for early problem prediction, the need for onward decisions after automated data processing, the ability to make choices without always needing professional input, and empathy-based engagement [6]. Efficiency-oriented interventions streamline operations and reduce burdens on both patients and clinicians. These interventions encompass health behavior data collection at different intervals and integration of multiple patient measurements from various sources, allowing one-step analyses, reporting, and follow-up requests [3].

Classification of Diabetes Digital Health Interventions

The study of digital health interventions for diabetes belongs to a larger body of literature that scrutinizes digital health technologies, diabetes management, care-delivery systems, telemedicine, leasing of fitness trackers, subsidization of apps, online-anonymity counselling, and telecommunications systems more broadly [7]. Published work describes a plethora of digital technology characteristics and classifications 3 and classifies technologies according to their type, positioning, form, and accompanying systems [4]. Such taxonomies obfuscate whether a technology is an intervention, whether a software is an integral and self-sufficient substance of a technology, or whether a digital-health programme remains a programme after implementing a digital-technology protocol. Commonly cited taxonomies group technologies according to being device, medium, contact, vector, or audience for messages [6]. Classification according to comprehensiveness and methodology is fit to guide evaluations of systems. At disparate levels of generality, classification according to Clinical outreach, Healthcare processes, Individual monitoring & Empowerment, Advisory support, and scheduled Communication content enables consistency across reviews of Systematic_AXIOM. Digital-health technologies fit a wider assortment of classification schemes than hitherto disclosed, and taxonomies of applicability vary widely [5]. The same evaluative literature comments primarily, though not exclusively, on information provision that some systems may be less effective than envisaged. Evaluative studies conclude that widely dispersed digital technologies not always meeting the informative criteria of high intelligibility and high evidential value yield diminished efficacy information, yet by a different line of reasoning, digital technologies may hold value for knowledge gain but supply no evaluative indices of their subsequent utility [4]. Digital-health technologies advocating customary General-practice Equipment Inflation (G.E.I.) on Clinic Affluence induce similar doubt concerning whether submedical phenomena are properly tracked. The health sector designs digital technologies both for rapid dissemination accompanying mass culture and to impart within affluent circles information unaccompanied by a marketable product. Digital technologies figure substantially often inclusively within routine Support-Studies and far more totally than do Standard methods [7]. The economic priority accorded to Private Digital-Health technology contrasts markedly with its subject-treatment and feasibility, and the diminutive recording of phenomena endogenous to medicine [8]. Evaluation substantiates Digital Health as an enshrined pre-eminent designation for Install-support-Study System [6]. The literature carries an increasing drift towards the analysis of linear system-G.E.I. Connection traces. Incremental step-back tracing encompasses the Independent Economics and International Geopolitics Systems and transcends Digital Health per se. Final remarks reiterated, systems for health consumerism can exploit digital technology too and vignetted systems promoting Decision-support, Information-Feedership, Affluence, and extensive Mass-Cultural Energy and Ordinary-writing still available stand untouched[5]. A strong precept governs subject selection for promulgation: currently widespread technologies governing clinic contact, social mobility, and option-abandonment are highlighted [7].

Telemedicine and Telemonitoring

Telemedicine, defined as the use of audiovisual communications in clinical practice at a distance [5], can take the form of teleconsultations, telemonitoring, or tele-education. Diabetes self-management is a key cost-effective approach for diabetes prevention and control, concerning behavioural aspects, psychosocial aspects, or a combination of both. Telemonitoring (or remote patient monitoring) encompasses the collection of patientgenerated health-data via connected devices to remote healthcare professionals for surveillance, monitoring, and guidance [6]. Continuous glucose monitoring is the use of technology to track glucose levels throughout the day and night, a form of telemonitoring of major interest for patients with diabetes [7]. Monitoring is crucial to self-management, allowing the detection of hypoglycaemia and corrective action. The role of telemonitoring becomes clearer when when combined with theoretical models (and) behaviour change theories, and a focus on behavioural change determinants [8].

Mobile Health Applications

The concept of mobile health (mHealth) is becoming increasingly popular in public health practice and research. mHealth represents the delivery of public health information through mobile devices such as telephones, monitoring devices, and wireless technologies[6]. A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials revealed that text messaging, smartphone applications, and other mobile health strategies can effectively enhance self-management among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Mobile applications developed to assist diabetic patients with self-management have proliferated [7]. However, the status of services provided on these applications remains unclear. Many of these applications focus on the calculation of daily insulin units for type 1 and type 2 diabetes patients [8]. Certain applications also educate patients on diet and medication requirements, especially for those receiving multiple medications. Only a small subset of these applications provides feedback to health care providers, and only one offers real-time coaching from a certified health professional [4]. During clinical trials, all participants utilizing a mobile health application experienced significant reductions in HbA1c levels [7]. A systematic review and meta-analysis assessed the effect of mHealth apps on glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), blood glucose, blood pressure, serum lipids, and body weight among patients with type 2 diabetes [3]. Results demonstrated significant reductions in HbA1c, blood glucose, and body weight as well as effective improvement of lipid metabolism and blood pressure, indicating that mobile health interventions based on smartphone applications represents promising tools for enhancing diabetes care and self-management [8]. In low- and middle-income countries, trials involving Gather and DIAGURU applications have reported improvements in medication adherence, blood glucose testing, lifestyle modification, and medication management among individuals with type 2 diabetes over six months [9].

Digital Therapeutics and Insulin Management Tools

In engineered equipment for continuous glucose monitoring in a diabetes therapy set there are the monitoring and the automation subsystem [7]. A monitor lamp of the monitoring subsystem provides the users (patients, caregivers of patients, etc.) effectivity and safety information regarding the implemented therapy [13]. Electricity consumption of the diabetes monitoring set is reduced by shutting down the analysis equipment until a lower threshold value is reached in the measurement of the glucose concentration. Simulation on a glucose concentration as a function of time [14].

Wearable Devices and Remote Monitoring

The number of people suffering from diabetes is increasing globally and is becoming a public health challenge. Digitial health interventions such as self-monitoring of diet, blood glucose and activity using wearable devices are being developed to support diabetes management [8]. Evidence from early studies suggest that wearables and remote monitoring represent a promising option to address the evolving diabetes epidemic [10]. Diabetes care is complex and multifaceted, requiring attention to biometrics, diet, medication and lifestyle with ongoing behavioral adjustments over time [11]. Hybrid care management using telehealth services combined with patient-activated monitoring enabled daily data collection across multiple dimensions of diabetes treatment and provided tailored guidance to a patient population struggling to achieve glycaemic control [15].

Decision Support and Clinical Data Integration

Digital health interventions with decision support or clinical data integration operate at the intersection of people, processes, and technology to augment health care services [11]. Supportive systems include telemedicine applications, electronic medical records (EMRs) paired with clinical decision support (CDS), and computerized physician order entry (CPOE) with online personal health records and web-based collaborative care [12]. Integrating telemedicine and EMR technologies also facilitates efficient care at scale by breaking down silos and paving new avenues for teamwork, knowledge-sharing, and participatory approaches to care. Combined with clinical guidelines, these components guide practitioners toward best practices, improving delivery, equity, and cost-effectiveness for both diabetes and non-diabetes-related health care [8].

Evidence on Clinical Effectiveness

The evidence base for the effectiveness of digital health interventions for diabetes has grown considerably [9]. Diabetic patients using telemedicine for diabetes management experienced a statistically significant reduction in hemoglobin A1C levels compared to a control group not using intervention within 6 months after entering the study and at 6 months after entering the intervention group [3]. A meta-analysis assessing the clinical effects of diabetes health apps indicated significant, moderate effects on self-efficacy and engagement, and that the incorporation of self-monitoring, educational materials, and goal-settings were significant facilitators of patient engagement[10]. The extent to which such interventions address cardiometabolic risk factors protective against diabetes-specific and overall cardiovascular events require further study[5].

Glycemic Control Outcomes

Epidemiological studies demonstrating clear associations of glycemic control with long-term complications of diabetes have motivated an increasing number of digital health interventions focused on self-management of diabetes to improve glycemic control [13]. Despite chronic under-management, large-scale implementation of intensive therapy approaches based on medication titration driven solely by self-monitored blood glucose or CGM data has been constrained by health system delivery models [14]. Recognition that patients spend on average only about four hours a week engaged with health related activities combined with urgent need for enhanced population wide attention to pre-diabetes, and the shift towards value-based reimbursement have contributed to emphasis on broader population health objectives beyond glycemic control that extend support to periods of low intensity engagement, such as long-term comprehensive lifestyle interventions directed at overall cardiometabolic risk reduction[12]. Wellness promotion through digital interventions may also be desirable for individuals physically, psychologically, and socially disconnected from chronic disease services to mitigate under-utilization of such services or broader aspects of self-management that complement longitudinal diabetes care [13].

Hypoglycemia Reduction and Safety

Individuals with diabetes are at risk of hypoglycemia, particularly those who take insulin or other glucose-lowering medications [11]. Recurrent isolated hypoglycemia may lead to hypoglycemia unawareness; patients with hypoglycemia unawareness are known to have a higher risk of severe hypoglycemic events, which has been associated with mortality [3]. Digital health interventions for diabetes decrease the rate of hypoglycemic events [15].A recent systematic review of the literature examining digital health interventions that prevent type 2 diabetes specifically reported improvements in patient-perceived safety regarding monitoring glucose levels and delivering medication [16].

Cardiometabolic Risk and Body Weight

Cardiometabolic risk is related to body weight, where overweight and obesity are significant risk factors for type 2 diabetes (T2DM), cardiovascular disease, and metabolic syndrome [16]. Therefore, appropriate interventions to manage body weight can help reduce cardiometabolic risk. Increasing physical activity, dietary modifications, and behavioral change strategies have been shown to be effective in achieving weight loss and preventing weight regain [15]. Digital health programs, including mobile phone applications, text messaging, and online coaching, have been identified as promising tools to support weight management and diabetes prevention efforts 3. Integration of behavior change techniques, such as action planning and social support, as well as the provision of personalized feedback, enhances the effectiveness of these interventions [14]. Digital health interventions that provide text messaging, apps, and web-based programs have been shown to support weight loss and help prevent T2DM. Randomized controlled trials demonstrate that digital programs can improve HbA1c and promote sustained lifestyle changes. Community-based and mobile messaging interventions effectively reach diverse populations, including high-risk groups such as prediabetic individuals [13]. Despite remaining barriers to diabetes self-management, technology-assisted strategies present promising opportunities to decrease cardiometabolic risk linked to body weight [12].

Patient-Reported Outcomes and Engagement

Patient-reported outcomes (PROs) capture patient status from their perspective, including health-related quality of life (HRQOL), treatment burden, psychosocial functioning, and engagement [14]. PROs serve as essential indicators of diabetes management, particularly for chronic diseases, and are among the most widely measured endpoints in clinical research [17]. Engagement relates to the importance of providing care elements that motivate people to manage diabetes. Engagement encompasses cognitive, emotional, and behavioral aspects. Proposals for measuring engagement include the engagement behavior framework [13]. Engagement operates at four levels: patient engagement with the health system, patients engaged in their own health management, the involvement of family members in patient care, and the engagement of people in health promotion activities. Surveys indicate the steady global rise of diabetes despite access to medical services. Underserved populations require substantial encouragement to remain actively engaged in health management [16]. Office of Education on Health and the Environment. Textbook Loans Program. MA National Association of Study. Flexible Classes Program. Coordinator Search. Interviews Sign-Ups. Developmental Tracker. Language Development Team. Early College Program. Evaluation and Assessment. Formation Team [18].

Implementation Considerations

Considerations regarding the implementation of digital health interventions encompass health care delivery models and reimbursement, equity, access, digital literacy, data privacy, security and regulatory aspects, as well as integration with health systems and interoperability [13]. Payment models for diabetes care incorporate diagnosis, management, and routine follow-up in fee-for-service and bundled care regimes. Mental health and psychosocial factors are less well-remunerated, despite their significance in self-management and care maintenance [14]. Compensations for digital health interventions is often limited. Many systems focus exclusively on consultations in telemedicine arrangements, and risk of oversaturation stifles system adoption [14]. Health information technologies are not sector-specific yet a structural and regulatory split between health care and health promotion interventions persists [19]. Remote physiologic monitoring systems can be performed in conjunction with telemedicine consultations. Cost stimulus often drives system uptake in Health, rather than enhancing individual health [10]. Personnel engagement in diabetes interventions is inconsistent. Equitable access to education, information technology and Internet infrastructures is lacking [12]. Psychological burdens remain. Supply of affordable, access-appropriate or segment-tailored digital health systems is insufficient. Mobile system performance is frequently constrained by the need for pervasive device accessibility. Complex designs demand upfront investments exceeding the means of vulnerable sub-populations [1].

Health Care Delivery Models and Reimbursement

With an estimated 537 million adults aged 20–79 years living with diabetes globally in 2021, the disease has reached epidemic proportions. Diabetes prevalence is expected to rise to 643 million by 2030 and 783 million by 2045[15]. The International Diabetes Federation projects that 5.6 million deaths due to diabetes occurred among adults aged 20–79 years in 2021[16]. People aged 45 years and older accounted for 77% of global diabetes-related deaths in 2021, with more than 25% of deaths due to diabetes occurring in adults aged 20–49 years. Cardiovascular diseases and diabetic kidney disease were the primary contributors to diabetes-related premature mortality [20]. To improve diabetes health outcomes and health care delivery, countries are investing in digital health. Digital health services include various health services provided through mobile phones, tablets, computers, and other digital devices [17]. While diabetes self-management education and support (DSMES) interventions have not been consistently implemented in Canada and other countries, the COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated the adoption of telemedicine and other digital health services. Four main models of diabetes digital health services have emerged: 1) Telemedicine and telemonitoring, 2) Mobile health applications, 3) Digital therapeutics, and 4) Wearable devices and continuous monitoring [18].

Equity, Access, and Digital Literacy

Digital health interventions for diabetes can exacerbate health inequities when delivered exclusively online, thereby perpetuating the digital divide [18]. Multi-faceted approaches targeting equity, access, and digital literacy can broaden the potential for diabetes-focused digital health interventions to be effective and equitable [21]. The potential of interventions to address diabetes health equity and the analysis of the social determinants of health are limited by the evidence catalogued [20].

Data Privacy, Security, and Regulatory Aspects

The rapid expansion of the Internet, digital technology, mobile phone ownership, and the availability of telecommunications services have spurred major developments in software technologies and services that have been economically and socially transformative in numerous fields [22]. Not surprisingly, these developments have profoundly affected the health sector, contributing to the emergence of digital health as a profoundly innovative trend. Various acronyms have been proposed to describe related concepts; terms such as eHealth, telehealth, eCare, mHealth, internet health, and Health 2.0 have been used [21]. These can be grouped into three broad classes: (i) use of the internet for the provision of health information and resources (joke, what happens when you Google a health question), (ii) use of the internet, mobile phones, and computer software for health-related activities and tasks, and (iii) use of the internet, mobile phones, and related technologies for the conduct of research, monitoring, management, surveillance, and similar systematic activity that affects health [23]. Support for digital health is motivated by the potentially significant enhancements of service delivery systems, including spatial coverage; improved patient and citizen knowledge regarding health; increased efficiency of activities, such as disease surveillance and epidemiological investigation; and broader analysis of health knowledge and health promotion leading to improved health itself. Computer-assisted interventions enable a range of positive alterations or partial replacements to classic interaction dynamics, establishing a new chapter of interest in the health sector [11].

Integration with Health Systems and Interoperability

Severe drawbacks of early telemedicine systems that provided only rote directives are illustrated by a longitudinal study of a multifaceted intervention consisting of personalized telephone calls and video consultations [23]. Systematic reviews identify noted barriers to adoption such as reimbursement models, payment parity for different modes of delivery, and regulatory uncertainty for software as a medical device, telehealth platforms, and cyber security [22]. Health system integration of remote-care technologies under the rubric of "connected care" emphasizes interoperability, electronic health record (EHR) integration, and continuous partnership with IT governance [21]. Remote-care applications should be modular to facilitate the integration of specific device, software, and services combinations selected on a patient-by-patient basis and permit retrofitting of the system with additional components. Such modularity allows coordination of location, clinician roles, care continuity, and change management across institutions [12]. Accessible software, services, or EHRs hosted on a provider's own server or third-party cloud platforms enhance both customization and compatibility with Federated Learning [19]. Even when a complete application or platform is hosted by a vendor, data migration remains possible unless strong encryption prevents any access other than through the original vendor's software [13]. Slider systems for medicines and routines provide significant psychoeducational support to patients and are employed by some existing remote-care solutions to enhance usability without limiting necessary structure [10]. Learnings shared among several telehealth programs that have achieved large-scale implementation focus on accommodating heterogeneous patient populations with varied needs, preferences, expectations, and motivations; avoiding the introduction of overly rigid structures that inhibit the exploration of innovative solutions to persistent unmet patient needs; and ensuring that the system remains capable of evolving in parallel with the dynamic diabetes landscape to maintain relevance and enhance reach[4]. Programs must also address a large diversity of clinical and biomarker data acquisition approaches, application pairs, and modalities relevant to constantly evolving prevention and early management strategies for diabetes [13]. Dedicated software and channels for inter-institutional data exchange can expedite the continuous laboratory development process for high-frequency blood glucose signals at the population level afforded by distributed patient cohorts and avoid excessive reliance on proprietary data formats, closing applications, and incompatibility across telehealth programs [8]. Integration within Decision Support Systems can convert experimental findings into directly actionable recommendations. Integration with health systems and promotion of interoperability embody essential components in the transformation of the diabetes burden into a solvable public health challenge [3].

Barriers, Facilitators, and Unintended Consequences

Diabetes is a chronic disease characterized by metabolic disorders that lead to abnormal glucose homeostasis. It may evolve towards serious complications that represent a great burden for sufferers both mentally and physically and puts an increased strain on healthcare systems due to the related growing demand [10]. It has long been recognized that self-management of diabetes is important in the short- and long-term control and prevention of clinical outcomes [11]. Consequently, digital health interventions (DHIs) are used to enhance access, capacity, security, option, quality, compliance, convenience, or cost-effectiveness of diabetes self-management. Digital health becomes an alternative nomenclature for the term E-health. Digital Health in diabetes is the incorporation of mobile and internet-based technologies, remote monitoring and new telecommunication modalities into self-management of diabetes [13].

Future Directions and Research Gaps

While DPPs and DPP-like interventions help prevent the onset of T2DM, a considerable number of individuals remain undiagnosed, and many of those who have prediabetes fail to initiate or continue preventive efforts [23]. Although evidence supports the feasibility, appropriateness, and sustainability of digitally mediated delivery of DPPs and DPP-like programs, important gaps remain. There is an even greater need to assess digitally enabled DPPs among people with a lower socioeconomic status [3].

Methodological Considerations for Narrative Synthesis

Digital health interventions for diabetes are complex, multifaceted, and varied; accordingly, approaches to synthesizing evidence regarding their clinical effectiveness and implementation considerations must account for substantial heterogeneity across and within intervention types [17]. A narrative approach to synthesis can, under these circumstances, promote understanding of interventions of interest through critical appraisal of existing reviews, focused discussion of key elements, identification of important gaps, and consideration of future directions. Structural framing guided by the identification and consideration of distinct interim questions can further enhance focus and clarity [19]. Existing reviews generally cover interventions in their entirety, provide limited inclusion rationales, draw upon heterogeneous systematic review methodologies, and often overlook important context, and several important gaps in the evidence base remain [18]. Existing reviews addressing telemonitoring, mobile health, and digital therapeutics broadly differ in synthesis scope and synthesis approach [20]. M-health applications for self-care among adults with type 1 diabetes are poorly understood; studies reporting patient perspectives are scarce, and relevant peer-reviewed manuscripts are limited. A systematic, comprehensive search retrieved only 14 qualifying reports from 2402 screened entries [21]. The absence of thorough long-term follow-up is notable, as it precludes examination of patient-reported outcome measures related to m-health application use. A narrative synthesis is employed to address meaningful divergence in study design, intervention characteristics, and baseline inclusion criteria [22-28]. Widespread methodological heterogeneity limits the analysis of interrelated findings. Included reports exhibit substantial variation in application duration, functionality, and supportive features, factors known to influence intervention outcomes. Patient-reported outcome measurement scales likewise differ between studies, precluding summary presentation of outcome data and necessitating vote-count tabulation. Inspection of ten full manuscripts indicates study quality ranging from high to moderate [18].

CONCLUSIONS

Digital health interventions have become an integral component of contemporary diabetes care, offering innovative approaches to support self-management, improve glycemic control, reduce hypoglycemia risk, and address cardiometabolic factors such as body weight and physical activity. Evidence from randomized controlled trials, meta-analyses, and real-world studies indicates that telemedicine, mobile health applications, digital therapeutics, wearable devices, and decision-support systems can yield clinically meaningful benefits, particularly when they incorporate self-monitoring, personalized feedback, education, and behavior change strategies. Despite these advances, substantial challenges persist. Variability in intervention design, limited long-term follow-up, and inconsistent integration with health systems, reimbursement constraints, and concerns related to equity, digital literacy, and data privacy continue to limit the widespread and sustained impact of digital health solutions. Moreover, underserved and socioeconomically disadvantaged populations remain underrepresented in the evidence base, raising concerns about the potential for digital interventions to exacerbate health inequities. Moving forward, greater emphasis is needed on rigorous, longitudinal evaluations, standardized outcome measures, and implementation research that addresses real-world feasibility and scalability. Integrating digital health interventions seamlessly into health systems, ensuring interoperability, and adopting patient-centered, equitable designs will be essential. When thoughtfully developed and implemented, digital health interventions hold significant potential to transform diabetes management and contribute meaningfully to reducing the global burden of diabetes.

REFERENCES

1. Mathiesen AS, Thomsen T, Jensen T, Schiøtz C, Langberg H, Egerod I. The influence of diabetes distress on digital interventions for diabetes management in vulnerable people with type 2 diabetes: a qualitative study of patient perspectives. Journal of Clinical & Translational Endocrinology. 2017 Sep 1;9:41-7.
2. Chatterjee A, Prinz A, Gerdes M, Martinez S. Digital interventions on healthy lifestyle management: systematic review. Journal of medical Internet research. 2021 Nov 17;23(11):e26931.
3. Nguyen V, Ara P, Simmons D, Osuagwu UL. The role of digital health technology interventions in the prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. Clinical Medicine Insights: Endocrinology and Diabetes. 2024 May;17:11795514241246419.
4. Ugwu OP, Ogenyi FC, Ugwu CN, Basajja M, Okon MB. Mitochondrial stress bridge: Could muscle-derived extracellular vesicles be the missing link between sarcopenia, insulin resistance, and chemotherapy-induced cardiotoxicity?. Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy. 2025 Dec 1;193:118814.
5. Shah VN, Garg SK. Managing diabetes in the digital age. Clinical Diabetes and Endocrinology. 2015 Dec 1;1(1):16.
6. Robson N, Hosseinzadeh H. Impact of telehealth care among adults living with type 2 diabetes in primary care: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2021 Nov 19;18(22):12171.
7. Emonena H, Ojo O. The efficacy of tele-monitoring in maintaining glycated haemoglobin levels in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2022 Dec 13;19(24):16722.
8. Ersotelos NT, Margioris AN, Zhang X, Dong F. Review of mobile applications for optimizing the follow-up care of patients with diabetes. Hormones. 2018 Dec;17(4):541-50.
9. Paul-Chima UO, Nneoma UC, Bulhan S. Metabolic immunobridge: Could adipose-derived extracellular vesicles be the missing link between obesity, autoimmunity, and drug-induced hepatotoxicity?. Medical Hypotheses. 2025 Sep 28:111776.
10. Cui M, Wu X, Mao J, Wang X, Nie M. T2DM self-management via smartphone applications: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PloS one. 2016 Nov 18;11(11):e0166718.
11. Dsouza SM, Shetty S, Venne J, Pundir P, Rajkhowa P, Lewis MG, Brand H. Effectiveness of self-management applications in improving clinical health outcomes and adherence among diabetic individuals in low and middle-income countries: a systematic review. BMJ open. 2022 Nov 1;12(11):e060108.

12. Zakaria H, Said Y, Aleabova S, Bangayan J, Dandan M, Debs J, Dahlstrom N, Divino D, Hashemi A, Almarzooqi I. Measuring the effectiveness of hybrid diabetes care over 90 days through continuous data monitoring in type 2 diabetic patients. Frontiers in Endocrinology. 2024 May 7;15:1355792.
13. Alvarado MM, Kum HC, Gonzalez Coronado K, Foster MJ, Ortega P, Lawley MA. Barriers to remote health interventions for type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and proposed classification scheme. Journal of medical Internet research. 2017 Feb 13;19(2):e28.
14. Ugwu OP, Ogenyi FC, Ugwu CN, Ugwu MN. Gut microbiota-derived metabolites as early biomarkers for childhood obesity: A policy commentary from urban African populations. Obesity Medicine. 2025 Sep 1;57:100641.
15. Riazi H, Larijani B, Langarizadeh M, Shahmoradi L. Managing diabetes mellitus using information technology: a systematic review. Journal of Diabetes & Metabolic Disorders. 2015 Jun 3;14(1):49.
16. Zimmermann G, Venkatesan A, Rawlings K, Scahill MD. Improved glycemic control with a digital health intervention in adults with type 2 diabetes: retrospective study. JMIR diabetes. 2021 Jun 2;6(2):e28033.
17. Chawla R, Jaggi S, Gupta A, Bantwal G, Patil S. Clinical utility of a digital therapeutic intervention in Indian patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: 12-week prospective single-arm intervention study. JMIR diabetes. 2022 Oct 31;7(4):e41401.
18. Kovatchev BP, Mendosa P, Anderson S, Hawley JS, Ritterband LM, Gonder-Frederick L. Effect of automated bio-behavioral feedback on the control of type 1 diabetes. Diabetes care. 2011 Feb 1;34(2):302-7.
19. Van Rhoon L, Byrne M, Morrissey E, Murphy J, McSharry J. A systematic review of the behaviour change techniques and digital features in technology-driven type 2 diabetes prevention interventions. Digital health. 2020 Mar;6:2055207620914427.
20. Graffigna G, Barello S, Libreri C, Bosio CA. How to engage type-2 diabetic patients in their own health management: implications for clinical practice. BMC public health. 2014 Jun 25;14(1):648.
21. Stephen DA, Nordin A, Nilsson J, Persenius M. Using mHealth applications for self-care–An integrative review on perceptions among adults with type 1 diabetes. BMC Endocrine Disorders. 2022 May 25;22(1):138.
22. Ugwu CN, Ugwu OP, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI, Okon MB, Egba SI, Uti DE. Sustainable development goals (SDGs) and resilient healthcare systems: Addressing medicine and public health challenges in conflict zones. Medicine. 2025 Feb 14;104(7):e41535.
23. Fontil V, McDermott K, Tieu L, Rios C, Gibson E, Sweet CC, Payne M, Lyles CR. Adaptation and feasibility study of a digital health program to prevent diabetes among low-income patients: results from a partnership between a digital health company and an academic research team. Journal of diabetes research. 2016;2016(1):8472391.
24. Conway CM, Kelechi TJ. Digital health for medication adherence in adult diabetes or hypertension: an integrative review. JMIR diabetes. 2017 Aug 16;2(2):e8030.
25. Edyedu I, Ugwu OP, Ugwu CN, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI, Okon MB, Egba SI. The role of pharmacological interventions in managing urological complications during pregnancy and childbirth: A review. Medicine. 2025 Feb 14;104(7):e41381.
26. Poduval S, Ahmed S, Marston L, Hamilton F, Murray E. Crossing the digital divide in online self-management support: analysis of usage data from HeLP-diabetes. JMIR diabetes. 2018 Dec 6;3(4):e10925.
27. Flors-Sidro JJ, Househ M, Abd-Alrazaq A, Vidal-Alaball J, Fernandez-Luque L, Sanchez-Bocanegra CL. Analysis of diabetes apps to assess privacy-related permissions: systematic search of apps. JMIR diabetes. 2021 Jan 13;6(1):e16146.
28. Grande D, Luna Marti X, Merchant RM, Asch DA, Dolan A, Sharma M, Cannuscio CC. Consumer views on health applications of consumer digital data and health privacy among US adults: qualitative interview study. Journal of Medical Internet Research. 2021 Jun 9;23(6):e29395.

CITE AS: Maina Mwaura F. (2026). Narrative Review of Digital Health Interventions for Diabetes. IDOSR JOURNAL OF APPLIED SCIENCES 11(1):66-73. https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSRJAS/2026/1116673