www.idosr.org
Atukunda, 2025
©IDOSR PUBLICATIONS
ISSN: 2550-7931
International Digital Organization for Scientific Research
IDOSR JOURNAL OF APPLIED SCIENCES 10(3):170-177, 2025.
https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSRJAS/2025/103.170177
IDOSRJAS1030000

Narrative Review of Chronic Disease Prevention Strategies

Atukunda Derrick

Department of Pharmaceutical Microbiology and Biotechnology Kampala International University Uganda
Email: derrick.atukunda@studwc.kiu.ac.ug

ABSTRACT

Chronic diseases, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and cancer, represent a growing global public health challenge, accounting for approximately 63% of worldwide deaths, with a disproportionate burden in low- and middle-income countries. This narrative review synthesizes evidence on strategies to prevent and manage chronic diseases across primary, secondary, and tertiary levels of intervention. Primary prevention approaches emphasize lifestyle modification, behavioral interventions, and environmental and policy measures to reduce exposure to risk factors such as tobacco use, unhealthy diets, physical inactivity, and excessive alcohol consumption. Secondary and tertiary strategies focus on early detection, risk reduction, disease management, rehabilitation, and long-term care to prevent disease progression, enhance quality of life, and reduce morbidity and mortality. Community-based approaches, social determinants of health, cultural and contextual considerations, and health equity are critical factors influencing the effectiveness and sustainability of interventions. Implementation science and monitoring and evaluation frameworks are vital for scaling up successful interventions and ensuring long-term impact. Despite progress, gaps remain in understanding the implementation of evidence-based strategies and addressing disparities in access to prevention programs. Future directions emphasize culturally tailored, equity-focused, and multi-level approaches to reduce the global burden of chronic diseases.

Keywords: Chronic diseases; Primary prevention; Secondary prevention; Tertiary prevention; and Health equity.

INTRODUCTION

Global mortality statistics indicate that the burden of chronic diseases, in particular cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and cancer, continues to grow across many world regions, and approaches are urgently needed to avert the impending crisis. Chronic diseases cause 63% of deaths worldwide, 36 million out of 57 million, of which 78% occur in low- and middle-income countries [1]. An estimated 16 million deaths by 2020 could be avoided by preventing the rise of risk factors such as tobacco use, unhealthy diet, lack of physical activity, and excessive alcohol consumption [13]. While part of the crisis stems from behaviour or exposure patterns adopted later in life, factors accumulated in early life often play a role. Chronic diseases are increasingly preventable, reducing existing cardiovascular and diabetes-related risk factors, and lowering the chance of subsequent adverse outcomes [8]. Chronic diseases, the target of chronic disease prevention strategies, are defined as non-communicable diseases that, once initiated, tend to follow a prolonged course, progress inexorably, and ultimately result in death [7]. These diseases, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and cancer, are exacerbated by unhealthy lifestyles and social, economic, and environmental factors [1].

Conceptual Frameworks for Chronic Disease Prevention

Public health initiatives aim to improve population health by mitigating the burden of chronic diseases, a leading cause of morbidity and mortality globally. Chronic diseases are long-term health conditions that require ongoing management, affecting both individuals' quality of life and health systems' effectiveness [14]. Progressive, interlinked stages illustrate how chronic diseases develop, benefiting from broader theoretical models applied in diverse contexts. The World Health Organization's (WHO) Health in All Policies (HiAP) initiative promotes cross-sector collaboration to consider health in all sectors [2]. Chronic diseases, diabetes, cancer, cardiovascular, respiratory, and musculoskeletal disorders affect individual well-being and limit participation in everyday activities

[16]. Ageing populations and lifestyle changes, including physical inactivity, unhealthy diets, tobacco use, and harmful alcohol consumption, exacerbate global prevalence [15]. Such diseases have broad social and economic impacts. Public health science seeks to measure and understand health incidence and causality, encompassing Campbell and Muntaner's (2007) analysis of chronic disease as social pathology [17]. Urgent need for effective chronic disease prevention strategies is evident from the rising incidence and burden worldwide. Initiatives addressing these diseases share priority objectives across diverse settings, population groups, and cultural contexts [17]. Demonstrating an evidence-informed approach, the information in this review synthesizes interrelated literature on chronic disease prevention interventions, covering primary, secondary, and tertiary strategies. The first two types represent priority interventions capable of enhancing global health [13].

Primary Prevention Interventions

Almost all chronic diseases can become fatal if progression is not controlled. Therefore, early detection and treatment at the risk stage can help considerably reduce the burden of chronic diseases [16]. According to Davidson et al. (2021), secondary prevention aims at reducing the impact of a disease (and its symptoms) that has already occurred [18]. Its objectives include disease surveillance to identify those who are candidates for early detection and new cases of a disease that has occurred; screening and risk-stratified screening for early detection; and referral for risk-reduction treatment [15]. The screening procedure is an examination of a person who is apparently healthy and at risk of a disease. Detection of premorbid diseases (for example, a growth of a cancerous tumor) or high risk for a disease (for example, obesity as a risk factor for diabetes) during the screening procedure is the first step toward management and prevention of complications [11]. To achieve this, the strategy, methods, and individuals to be screened (age and other risk factors) should be defined clearly to maximize the effects of early detection, as well as minimize unfair serial screening tests. Risk-reduction treatment to lower the chance of progression of a detected disease or pre-disease is often more important than its early detection. Most chronic diseases are restricted in nature, and strict control of the accumulated factors, rather than general avoidance of these factors when conditions are met, is extremely important [10]. Monitoring of these risk-management factors should be emphasized in follow-up plans [15]. Rehabilitation, health promotion, and home care fall under tertiary prevention strategies because auxiliary services are needed for a chronic condition. In an ideal medical environment, early intervention by primary care physicians and periodic monitoring of metabolic parameters may not be enough to define a well-planned and goal-targeted long-term management regime [12]. A community setting-based multi-disciplinary rehabilitation programme can be produced to supplement any non-surgical chronic diseases [13].

Behavioral and Lifestyle Interventions

Chronic diseases heart diseases, cancers, and diabetes account for 60% of global deaths despite preventable and treatable [3]. Primary preventive approaches such as lifestyle modification ameliorate these diseases significantly [4]. Targeted components vary in frequency, intensity, and adherence, including face-to-face consultations, telephone calls, and material. Outcomes typically include weight, blood pressure, fluid intake, health literacy, and fat consumption [3]. Fittingly planned stepwise dietary and physical activity interventions improve these health outcomes effectively [6]. Chronic diseases or conditions of long duration are caused by multifactorial, interlinked modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors at individual, family, socio-economic, aggregate, and national levels. Lifestyle behaviours are responsible for 60% of chronic disease deaths, leading to the need for risk-reducing lifestyle changes [4]. These interventions, conducted over eight to twelve months based on well-formulated theories, offer education, facility provision, social support, and community involvement in an engaging and enjoyable manner. Tailored educational and persuasive materials are especially beneficial for low-educated groups [5].

Environmental and Policy Measures

People spend most of their time in homes, workplaces, and public terminals; thus, most of the environment is private. The built environment has a major influence on health [2]. Land use influences transportation modes that, in turn, affect lifestyle, physical activity, and overall health [5]. A community is a social entity within which people interact regularly; although no precise definition exists, it is widely accepted that a community comprises a number of individuals that are larger than a family but smaller than a culture or society [13]. A community also comprises mutual respect, trust, understanding, and cooperation among members. A community is characterized by its decision-making independence, clear identity, and manageable size such that two-way exchange of information, resources, and working together for common goals is feasible [10]. Environment and policy interventions can be classified, at a general level, into two categories: creating a healthy community environment and championing actions in the broader public policy environment [23]. The strategies involved in creating a healthy community environment both change the social and physical environment and promote positive social interaction. On the whole, the policy environment is outside a community's direct control; nonetheless, advocacy

action can mitigate markers of poverty and inequity that influence the prevalence of chronic diseases in communities. Because interventions that champion the public policy environment have overarching effects for whole communities, they create a basic supportive overall policy environment for action on chronic diseases [1].

Community-Based Approaches

Community-based approaches engage individuals and organizations in the co-design and implementation of health-promoting actions, extending the focus beyond personal change to encompass broader community-level factors [6, 3]. These strategies recognize the influence of community environments on health-related behaviours and emphasise the need to identify and modify environmental conditions that contribute to chronic disease risk (Institute of Medicine, 2001) [17, 5]. The active participation and leadership of local stakeholders, government agencies, civic groups, schools, businesses, faith-based organisations, and residents further increase the likelihood of successful, sustained, and culturally appropriate initiatives [11, 7]. Community norms, values, conditions, and incentives can therefore be harnessed or enhanced as additional determinants of health (Institute of Medicine, 2001) [14, 13]. Community indicator reports identify these determinants and measure community progress towards specific health objectives (Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, 2004; Kim, 2012) [18, 9]. Such reports detail a community's well-being and highlight the factors influencing residents' opportunities to make healthy choices [2]. Multiple reports have documented an inverse relationship between chronic disease risk and community indicators of well-being in urban areas, indicating that neighbourhood conditions can substantially shape diet, physical activity, and related behaviours (Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, 2004)[19, 21].

Secondary and Tertiary Prevention Strategies

Chronic diseases are the leading cause of global mortality and disability, imposing substantial social and economic burdens on countries worldwide [8]. Important global and national initiatives seek to decrease the prevalence of chronic diseases by promoting their prevention, early detection, and control. Chronic disease prevention can be conceived of as having three levels: primary, secondary, and tertiary [9]. This work focuses on secondary and tertiary prevention strategies that prevent disease progression, mitigate the impact of the disease, and ameliorate disability and death.

Early Detection and Screening

Many chronic diseases can be managed and their consequences reduced through early detection and treatment. This is especially important for diseases with long asymptomatic phases, such as cancer or hypertension. Major secondary prevention and management strategies include risk factor reduction, disease management, and rehabilitation [15]. These approaches are similar across different chronic diseases and can be implemented at various levels of the health care system [13]. A different but equally important level of intervention offers the opportunity for integrated chronic disease prevention as a strategy at the primary prevention level, participants being persons at risk of or affected by chronic diseases [18]. Early detection and screening of particular diseases, such as cancer, hypertension, obesity, diabetes, and other chronic conditions, is generally recommended. Early detection and screening programs help to identify individuals at increased risk of chronic disease and to provide further evaluation and intervention to reduce the incidence of disease. However, participation in such programs is often low, particularly among disadvantaged populations [15]. A focus on early detection and screening of diseases such as cancer can also help to lower co-morbidity by providing a stimulus for the simultaneous avoidance of other chronic diseases. Even when these programs are unavailable, the population's general increase in chronic disease risk raises questions about the need for further integration [13]. At higher levels of participation, early detection of cancer can influence the subsequent screening of hypertension, cholesterol levels, blood sugar levels, and other items. Even with low participation, general cancer-screening programs provide an important gateway to integrated chronic disease prevention. The learnings from early detection and screening practices can drive the operationalisation of an agenda for a broader concept in chronic disease prevention [9].

Risk Reduction and Disease Management

Secondary and tertiary prevention strategies target individuals already affected by chronic disease. Secondary strategies focus on early detection or screening to enable timely intervention and prevent disease progression. Tertiary strategies maintain or restore functioning through active disease management or rehabilitation and prevent the development of additional chronic diseases secondary to the first [10]. Early detection and screening strategies incorporate well-established criteria, such as age or risk, to identify high-priority groups for target population selection; complex procedures that do not meet these criteria are avoided. Several modalities, including questionnaires, blood and urine tests, and direct imaging, are implemented depending on disease and anticipated health outcome [6]. Both the time between examinations and the length of service gap of abraded participation are scrutinized. Common barriers that limit or delay initiation of screening, such as cost, place of service, stigma, and limited service availability, are identified alongside upstream root causes to inform actionable interventions [14]. Referral channels linking detection and subsequent management are characterized. Risk reduction and disease

management strategies include both pharmacological and non-pharmacological approaches, with the former being the primary means of effecting change. A paired monitoring schedule monitors and audits adherence, facilitates communication of worries and complications, and signs off on continued medication renewal. Approaches to enhance adherence and tackle patient-defined barriers are integrated into runs [7].

Rehabilitation and Long-Term Care

Chronic rehabilitation and long-term care may significantly enhance recovery prospects after events such as stroke, myocardial infarction, coronary arterial bypass grafting, surgery for hip and knee osteoarthritis, back pain, or the onset of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) [8]. Brainwash, a project recently undertaken in Flanders, Belgium, sought to evaluate the impact of an interdisciplinary programme on lifestyle risk, indicators of functional health, and quality of life [9]. Targeted lifestyle measures and health coaching pursued through the programme had a beneficial effect on all three variables. Secondary prevention programmes aiming to improve cardiovascular health after myocardial infarction within primary health care have been shown to substantially lengthen lifespan and enhance the overall quality of life [11]. Rehabilitation can be defined as a comprehensive process designed to support individuals undergoing life-altering experiences, whether physical, social, or emotional [14]. Interdisciplinary teams of health professionals concerned with the same objective must assess chronic disease, treatment procedure, and long-term sequelae and subsequently propose a tailored programme to restore optimal functional health or self-care [10]. Despite institutional programmes that differ according to specific cardiac and pulmonary chronic diseases, many patients do not seem to fulfill eventual objectives such as resuming a normal occupational role or returning to independent living [12].

Determinants and Disparities in Prevention

The capacity to sustain healthy behaviors and protect against chronic disease over time is influenced by population-level, social, economic, and cultural factors [12]. The prevailing social determinants of health framework categorizes these influences into health services, social and community, education, economic stability, and neighborhood and built environment [13]. Specific policies and practices in each category can either promote or impede the capacity to engage in healthy behaviors. The importance of the underlying social determinants of health for the prevention of chronic disease is illustrated in the framework developed by the Association of State and Territorial Health Officials [14]. Chronic diseases are linked with conditions such as an individual's education level and income, housing stability, and neighborhood characteristics, including walkability, access to healthy foods, and exposure to crime [11]. A failure to focus on prevention leads to increased disease burdens, social inequity, and avoidable premature death. Health equity encompasses both the absence of systematic disparities and the presence of opportunities for all individuals and communities to achieve health enhancement [15]. Efforts to address health inequities that arise through the social determinants of health should facilitate access and reduce avoidance [17]. Achieving health equity has been identified as a key national and international public health goal since the formulation of the Healthy People 2020 set of objectives. Population-based prevention interventions that ensure universal coverage, proportionate reach, and support for adherence and follow-up have been advocated. Chronic disease prevention seeks to engage in new ways with diverse population groups whose health is disproportionately affected by historical policies, neglected by the public health infrastructure, and disrespected by mainstream society [18]. Priority groups include Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians, and Pacific Islanders. Beliefs, languages, cultural practices, and ethnic and community identities shape prevention efforts and considerations that apply across diverse groups [20].

Social Determinants of Health

A variety of connections link all aspects of one's life to one another. The macro-, meso-, and micro-level structures comprise the socio-economic, education, living, and physical facility contexts playing a critical role in chronic disease prevention [14]. Correlating interaction among these levels and structures creates a synergy comprising the structural determinants because behaviour, knowledge, and exposure during one's life-course determine health 15. Since income determines social class, education determines knowledge and behaviour, the neighbourhood, and physical facility condition in both the present situation and the childhood period determine exposure to the environmental pollution and smoking and drinking behaviour, the contextual-factor studies have often been conducted[17]. At the macro level, macro-education focuses on the country's health and illness studies materials and contents. It can be applied to analyse health prevention themes in education or health policies and regulations [16]. Such themes may include chronic disease, cancer, alcohol drinking, physical activity, nutrition, and tobacco use [5]. At the meso level, meso-education indicates health instruction at the provincial level; this level often investigates chronic disease prevention materials in education and health studies teachers [20]. The chronic disease prevention and promotion materials introduced in the meso context emphasise alcohol drinking, cigarette smoking, nutrition, physical activities, and substance-abuse issues [16]. Meso-regional education influences the delivery of chronic disease prevention knowledge to primary and secondary-school students.

Health Equity and Access

Chronic diseases account for 70% of all deaths in the United States, with the prevention of these diseases through health promotion targeted at affected populations as a means to reduce mortality and prevalence [16]. Important disparities continue to exist among racial and ethnic populations, and addressing these disparities at the state level through outreach and education of chronic disease conditions remains a growing challenge. The mortality rate for cerebrovascular disease among non-Hispanic blacks during 2009-2011 was 51.9 per 100,000, compared to 12.6 among non-Hispanic whites and 13.4 among Hispanics [17]. The proportion of the nation's Asian and Pacific Islander population is projected to increase from 4.0% in 2000 to 9.5% in 2050. Well-documented barriers to effective chronic disease prevention persist among culturally, economically, and socially diverse populations, and a better understanding of the unique characteristics of these populations, including systemic and structural barriers, is necessary [13]. Health equity has been defined in many ways, with variations referring to perspectives with respect to economic, health, and income inequality as well as social justice [14]. Health equity has become a leading goal in improving public health, and health equity principles should be incorporated into public health policies, funding equity, and strategies specific to Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians, and Pacific Islanders [13]. A wide-ranging collaboration among all stakeholders, from politicians to community members, that incorporates the perspectives of these populations is central to driving forward discussions targeting chronic disease equity and improving the health of the population. Effective community partnerships remain an essential mediator for addressing chronic diseases through primary, secondary, and, to a limited extent, tertiary prevention that reduces mortality, morbidity, and future risk without further duplicate outreach efforts to the region [17].

Cultural and Contextual Considerations

Chronic diseases become more problematic in culturally and contextually diverse populations. Therefore, it is imperative to consider the influences of language, stigma, and beliefs with greater attention. Cultural differences exert a profound influence on health perceptions, behaviors, attitudes, and outcomes [19]. A prevention program that does not consider cultural differences and social contexts of a target population will be ineffective and even stigmatizing [18]. For Asian and Hispanic immigrants, educational materials and culturally appropriate guidance in their languages are critically important in the desert area of the southwestern United States [15].

Implementation, Evaluation, and Sustainability

Translating knowledge of effective strategies into real-world action can greatly increase their impact. Effectively implementing evidence-based chronic disease prevention strategies can result in broader implementation of the interventions proven to reduce chronic disease burden; however, few preventive interventions are delivered on the scale required to significantly lower population-level morbidity and mortality [19]. Implementation science highlights the need for distinct knowledge around the processes and factors required to carry effective interventions to scale [20]. For prevention strategies, key elements of implementation science focus on adoption, fidelity, integration into existing systems, and scalability. Evaluation of preventive interventions increases their impact by guiding program improvement and dissemination [13]. Establishing a monitoring and evaluation system at the outset can maximize program impact. Indicators can track processes, outcomes, and long-term impacts; appropriate data sources, analytic methods, and opportunities for periodic reflection shape the evaluation approach. Process indicators can document participation, engagement, resource allocation, number of sessions, or fidelity to the implementation model, information that assists program improvement and ensures sustained funding [15]. Outcome indicators assess intermediate objectives, immediate results, or sustained engagements, while impact indicators measure the intervention's wider contribution to public health [12].

Implementation Science in Prevention

Implementation science studies the factors influencing the uptake and sustainability of evidence-based interventions, including chronic disease prevention strategies [23]. Critical elements of implementation are adoption (decision to use), fidelity (degree of delivery as intended), integration (embedment in routine practice), and scalability (expansion to new settings) [21]. Understanding implementation dynamics is essential in preventing chronic diseases, where many population-based approaches are known yet rarely applied. A systematic review of the literature on NCD prevention and control interventions in low- and middle-income countries highlights the need to understand and facilitate the adoption of research findings. Some strategies still remain focused on isolated populations, and therefore on-off studies, unable to create lasting change, remain popular in the field [19-22].

Monitoring and Evaluation Metrics

Monitoring and evaluation are crucial components of chronic disease prevention strategies. They guide implementation, assess effectiveness, and refine approaches. Formal evaluations identify successes and guide knowledge translation [22]. Process, outcome, and impact indicators inform assessment, while context-sensitive data sources shape understanding of varied geographic and community contexts [1]. Process indicators enable

ongoing tracking of interventions. They assess implementation activity and fidelity to original design, supporting understanding of effective conditions for translational work [4]. Outcome indicators provide a snapshot of immediate or expected changes in target variables. Impact indicators assess long-term, direct, or indirect effects on sustained health change, disease incidence, morbidity, or mortality [20].

Scaling Up Successful Interventions

Expansion of effective interventions to broader contexts, settings, or populations, while maintaining desired effects, constitutes a second dimension of scale [23]. Resources to implement existing activities in additional jurisdictions, facilities, or communities often exceed the capacity to undertake new initiatives. Similarities may exist among geographic areas proposing to adapt a service or programme for their own delivery. Disparities in extended access remain prevalent [20]. A third scaling-up aspect involves sustaining the provision of services already implemented, particularly in the political and economic circumstances prevailing at the initiation of scaling-up actions [23-26].

Gaps, Controversies, and Future Directions

Chronic diseases represent a significant global challenge affecting individuals and societies alike. Progress has been made in understanding how to prevent chronic diseases, yet gaps in knowledge remain [11]. A lack of attention to nondietary factors influencing diet remains, alongside limited work addressing policies applicable before a chronic disease appears. Explanatory details for the delayed implementation of effective dietary strategies after proven efficacy are limited. Systematic efforts to improve chronic disease prevention by examining the implementation of both universal and targeted approaches are lacking [27-30]. Understanding consequent actions affecting diabetes and cardiovascular disease management among patients with and without a diagnosis remains limited. Analysis of the implementation literature has concentrated on nutrition-related prevention strategies rather than two specific diseases within the broader framework of chronic disease understanding. The inverse care law continues to operate within yet is often excluded from the health-promotion agenda [19].

CONCLUSION

Chronic disease prevention requires a comprehensive, multi-level approach that integrates lifestyle modification, early detection, disease management, rehabilitation, and community engagement. Addressing social determinants of health and ensuring culturally and contextually appropriate interventions are essential to reducing health disparities. Implementation science, monitoring, and evaluation frameworks support the effective translation of evidence-based strategies into scalable and sustainable programs. Future efforts must focus on equity-oriented, population-wide interventions, strengthened community participation, and sustained policy and environmental measures to curb the rising global burden of chronic diseases. By combining individual, community, and system-level interventions, public health initiatives can significantly reduce morbidity, mortality, and associated social and economic impacts.

REFERENCES

1. Kothari A, Gore D, MacDonald M, Bursey G, Allan D, Scarr J, Renewal of Public Health Systems Research Team. Chronic disease prevention policy in British Columbia and Ontario in light of public health renewal: a comparative policy analysis. BMC Public Health. 2013 Oct 8;13(1):934.
2. De-Graft Aikins A, Boynton P, Atanga LL. Developing effective chronic disease interventions in Africa: insights from Ghana and Cameroon. Globalization and health. 2010 Apr 19;6(1):6.
3. Paul-Chima UO, Nneoma UC, Bulhan S. Metabolic immunobridge: Could adipose-derived extracellular vesicles be the missing link between obesity, autoimmunity, and drug-induced hepatotoxicity?. Medical Hypotheses. 2025 Sep 28:111776.
4. Senore C, Giordano L, Bellisario C, Di Stefano F, Segnan N. Population based cancer screening programmes as a teachable moment for primary prevention interventions. A review of the literature. Frontiers in oncology. 2012 May 8;2:45.
5. Meng L, Wolff MB, Mattick KA, DeJoy DM, Wilson MG, Smith ML. Strategies for worksite health interventions to employees with elevated risk of chronic diseases. Safety and health at work. 2017 Jun 1;8(2):117-29.
6. Paul-Chima UO, Nnaemeka UM, Nneoma UC. Could dysbiosis of urban air microbiota be an overlooked contributor to pediatric asthma and neurodevelopmental disorders?. Medical Hypotheses. 2025 Sep 12:111758.
7. Jepson RG, Harris FM, Platt S, Tannahill C. The effectiveness of interventions to change six health behaviours: a review of reviews. BMC public health. 2010 Sep 8;10(1):538.
8. Meister JS, de Zapien JG. Bringing health policy issues front and center in the community: expanding the role of community health coalitions. Preventing Chronic Disease. 2004 Dec 15;2(1):A16.

9. King AC. 6 Community Intervention for Promotion of Physical Activity and Fitness. Exercise and sport sciences reviews. 1991 Jan 1;19(1):211-60.
10. Ugwu OP, Ogenyi FC, Ugwu CN, Ugwu MN. Gut microbiota-derived metabolites as early biomarkers for childhood obesity: A policy commentary from urban African populations. Obesity Medicine. 2025 Sep 1;57:100641.
11. Laranjo L, Lanas F, Sun MC, Chen DA, Hynes L, Imran TF, Kazi DS, Kengne AP, Komiyama M, Kuwabara M, Lim J. World Heart Federation roadmap for secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease: 2023 update. Global heart. 2024 Jan 22;19(1):8.
12. Coughlin SS, Uhler RJ, Hall HI, Briss PA. Nonadherence to breast and cervical cancer screening: what are the linkages to chronic disease risk?. Preventing chronic disease. 2003 Dec 15;1(1):A04.
13. Lewis TJ, Huang JH, Trempe C. Reduction in chronic disease risk and burden in a 70-individual cohort through modification of health behaviors. Cureus. 2020 Aug 26;12(8).
14. Melchior MA, Seff LR, Albatineh AN, McCoy HV, Page TF, Palmer RC. Intermediate outcomes of chronic disease self-management program offered by members of the healthy aging regional collaborative in South Florida. Research on Aging. 2014 Jul;36(4):431-49.
15. Ugwu OP, Okon MB, Alum EU, Ugwu CN, Anyanwu EG, Mariam B, Ogenyi FC, Eze VH, Anyanwu CN, Ezeonwumelu JO, Egba SI. Unveiling the therapeutic potential of the gut microbiota–brain axis: Novel insights and clinical applications in neurological disorders. Medicine. 2025 Jul 25;104(30):e43542.
16. Richardson J, Letts L, Chan D, Officer A, Wojkowski S, Oliver D, Moore A, McCarthy L, Price D, Kinzie S. Monitoring physical functioning as the sixth vital sign: evaluating patient and practice engagement in chronic illness care in a primary care setting--a quasi-experimental design. BMC family practice. 2012 Apr 3;13(1):29.
17. Arista P, Tepporn E, Kwon S, Rideout C, Patel S, Chung M, Bautista R, Trinh-Shevrin C, Ko-Chin K. Recommendations for implementing policy, systems, and environmental improvements to address chronic diseases in Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians, and Pacific Islanders. Preventing chronic disease. 2014 Nov 20;11:E202.
18. Ugwu CN, Ugwu OP, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI, Okon MB, Egba SI, Uti DE. Medical preparedness for bioterrorism and chemical warfare: A public health integration review. Medicine. 2025 May 2;104(18):e42289.
19. Eyler AA, Valko CA, Macchi M, Fershteyn Z, Mazzucca SL, Brownson CA, Lau A, Brownson RC. Adjusting the equity lens: gaps in addressing health equity in state chronic disease prevention. Health Equity. 2019 Mar 1;3(1):86-91.
20. Rasesemola RM, Mmusi-Phetoe RM, Havenga Y. Social determinants of health in non-communicable diseases prevention policies in South Africa. curationis. 2023;46(1):1-8.
21. Mariner WK. Beyond lifestyle: governing the social determinants of health. American journal of law & medicine. 2016 May;42(2-3):284-309.
22. Goodridge D, Bandara T, Marciniuk D, Hutchinson S, Crossman L, Kachur B, Higgins D, Bennett A. Promoting chronic disease management in persons with complex social needs: a qualitative descriptive study. Chronic Respiratory Disease. 2019 Mar 4;16:1479973119832025.
23. Ugwu CN, Ugwu OP, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI, Okon MB, Egba SI, Uti DE. Sustainable development goals (SDGs) and resilient healthcare systems: Addressing medicine and public health challenges in conflict zones. Medicine. 2025 Feb 14;104(7):e41535.
24. Bryant LL, Chin NP, Fernandez ID, Cottrell LA, Duckles JM, Garces DM, Keyserling TC, Samuel-Hodge CD, Vu MB, McMilin CR, Peters KE. Perceptions of cardiovascular health in underserved communities. Preventing Chronic Disease. 2010 Feb 15;7(2):A30.
25. Hategeka C, Adu P, Desloge A, Marten R, Shao R, Tian M, Wei T, Kruk ME. Implementation research on noncommunicable disease prevention and control interventions in low-and middle-income countries: A systematic review. PLoS medicine. 2022 Jul 25;19(7):e1004055.
26. Meyer MR, Perry CK, Sumrall JC, Patterson MS, Walsh SM, Clendennen SC, Hooker SP, Evenson KR, Goins KV, Heinrich KM, Tompkins NO. Physical activity–related policy and environmental strategies to prevent obesity in rural communities: a systematic review of the literature, 2002–2013. Preventing chronic disease. 2016 Jan 7;13:E03.
27. Ongesa TN, Ugwu OP, Ugwu CN, Alum EU, Eze VH, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Okon MB, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI. Optimizing emergency response systems in urban health crises: A project management approach to public health preparedness and response. Medicine. 2025 Jan 17;104(3):e41279.

28. Powell BJ, Fernandez ME, Williams NJ, Aarons GA, Beidas RS, Lewis CC, McHugh SM, Weiner BJ. Enhancing the impact of implementation strategies in healthcare: a research agenda. Frontiers in public health. 2019 Jan 22;7:3.
29. Parkinson J, McDonald N, Seib C, Moriarty S, Anderson D. A multi-component evaluation framework of a state-wide preventive health program: My health for life. Health Promotion Journal of Australia. 2022 Oct;33:271-7.
30. Koorts H, Rutter H. A systems approach to scale-up for population health improvement. Health Research Policy and Systems. 2021 Mar 1;19(1):27.

CITE AS: Atukunda Derrick (2025). Narrative Review of Chronic Disease Prevention Strategies. IDOSR JOURNAL OF APPLIED SCIENCES 10(3):170-177. https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSRJAS/2025/103.170177