

Engineering Strategies for Health Education and Promotion

Lubega Mohammed

Mathematics and Statistics Faculty of Education Kampala International University Uganda

lubegamohammad@kiu.ac.ug

ABSTRACT

Health education and promotion have evolved from didactic, paternalistic practices to participatory, empowerment-driven processes that emphasize community agency and interdisciplinary collaboration. Engineering, with its problem-solving orientation and innovation potential, plays a critical role in this evolution. This paper examines how engineering strategies can be effectively integrated into health education and promotion to enhance health literacy, reduce inequalities, and improve population-level health outcomes. Through a review of theoretical frameworks, technological applications, community engagement models, and case studies, the paper illustrates how engineering supports evidence-based interventions, facilitates sustainable implementation, and strengthens health systems globally. Challenges such as limited health promotion literacy, stakeholder resistance, and technological disparities are also examined. Ultimately, this research underscores the need for data-driven, community-informed, and technologically adaptive engineering strategies to future-proof health education initiatives and build resilient health-promoting environments.

Keywords: Health Education, Health Promotion, Engineering Strategies, Health Literacy, Community Engagement, Technology in Health.

INTRODUCTION

Health education is an active, planned process consisting of a series of teaching and learning activities through which an individual learns how to adopt appropriate health behavior, develops healthy values, attains certain skills and competences that assure the ability to act independently and responsibly on health prerequisites. Health education is one of the oldest issues and it has emerged from health propaganda and health literacy. Through the centuries it has evolved from a one-sided, paternalistic on the individual side, narrower, less efficient and even dangerous view in which only physicians were educated to preventive measures of health behavior and health risk factors. Alongside the evolution of health education, it has changed in dimension as well. Before, it was only confined to interests related to one individual and with only traditional knowledge sources, including family, church or physician. In the course of the industrialization, urbanization, modernization and wider use of mass media and commercial advertisement, health education changed its contents, themes, knowledge, sources and methods. The combination of the reshaped health education, wider interests, better informed citizenship and commercial health marketing led to skepticism towards the health education advertising and information. This urged an extension of the concept of health education to the broader concept of health promotion which, besides health education, includes additional measures and contents and which shifts a part of responsibility for such practices onto the individuals and their social environments. Health promotion is a process which enables individuals to take control of and improve their health. It is a condition-oriented empowerment approach to the individual's health, education and social aspects. Each individual has the right for healthy life and prosperity and for this: Each individual is responsible for himself and for his own life, including the health; Each individual is free in anyhow making his own decisions; Each individual is capable and able to act on the conditions which will ensure good health; Each individual knows what is good for himself and which way to follow in order to achieve this. A health service is to assist in these aspirations, ensuring medical care and follow-up learning processes to improve health knowledge, understanding,

attitudes and values. Should these conditions, principles and elements be inconsistent with the planning, organization and action of a health education, the health education is obsolete [1, 2].

The Role of Engineering in Health

The primary objective of engineering strategies for health education and promotion is to offer an overview of the health impact of engineering approaches and their potential to contribute to health enhancement. This text emphasizes engagement techniques that fit well with educational objectives, address health issues of social concern, and can be tailored to suit differing interests and capabilities. One engineering approach appears to be particularly effective: consider health issues that worsen social inequality or lower economic development. Such topics are challenging, yet there is no lack of relevant educational materials. Once chosen, activities can vary widely in scope, from brief, focused engagement activities to a semester-long-intensive class, which can be shaped by prior technical knowledge, group size, and available time. This role of engineers and engineering in health and health enhancement is evaluated in a broader framework of the engineering sciences and their contributions in different types and settings. Engineers have a crucial role to play in health enhancement in both low-income and high-income settings. The health impact of engineering approaches is outlined. Particular success stories involving engineers from various disciplines illustrate the much-needed contributions that engineering can make to health. Some potential areas for relaxation or increased engineering engagement are suggested. Engineers are more than any other discipline poised to tackle the impending, large, and most serious social challenge: the one of health equity worldwide. Engineers are invited to leap at the chance. The health impact of engineering: Approaches and success stories are understood in five different categories: intervention approaches targeted to health centers/hospitals, focused on the engineered solution devices; community-level approaches targeted to health promotion NGOs, focused on networking and policy-related engineering actions; societal and global approaches aimed at governments, focused on their place in the global collaboration network; little/poor health promotive role at a national level; societal and community-level safety focused engineering; and lastly, education and extension approaches targeted to schools and societies, focused on classroom inclusion of super-specialized health teaching alongside basic health promotion education in a low-risk context [3, 4].

Health Education Theories

Health education, as a discipline, is an active process of learning through experience rather than merely passing knowledge and information. For this reason, an understanding of health education theories is an integral part of developing engineering strategies for health education and promotion. The theory offers a systematic understanding about health education and what is best for promoting healthy lifestyles. Although it is a discipline with many unique characteristics, it has evolved through several basic phases which deserve further explanation. Health education was taken as propaganda of good health until the middle of the 1900s. Health propaganda was based on a one-way transmission of information. Since individuals were expected to lack the knowledge essential for their health, health educators made use of mass channels for disseminating knowledge in the hope of saving lives. Health doctorates, leaflets, media coverage, spotlights, information centers, and exhibitions were methods and channels of health propaganda. Most of them ignored the fact that health is not only bio-medical but also social, mental and physical wellbeing. Health propaganda did not inspire a conscious control over health because it did not include information on risk factors and benefits of health-care. It brought about unchanging notions on health and disease and served the purpose of a truth for centuries. As the information about health problem gradually accumulated, health literacy became the dominant notion attracting attention and concern in the 1970s. Individuals began to understand that they were primarily responsible for their own health. Health literacy includes an understanding of behaviours with the best probability of bringing about healthy lifestyle. Health education took place for the first time through peer education methods aiming at information generation and dissemination by individuals who are also its target, i.e. by individuals of similar background. It enabled individuals to take an informed alternative for a conscious control over health and development. Educational programs and methods included training groups, community-level training, home-based sessions, and health clubs. Most of the educational programs targeted at individuals aged 45 and older with a focus on both physical and social activities. Health literacy marginalised the need for an understanding of the particulars of implementing a healthy lifestyle among the very same individuals whose lives were to be changed. It did not make regard for educational institutions and scientific disciplines of the formal education system [5, 6].

Engineering Principles in Health Education

Health education actively involves learning through experience, while health instruction focuses on disseminating information related to health. Participation in health education is integral to the learning process. This field has evolved through multiple phases, with an emphasis on health literacy, especially in

developing nations. The aim is to prevent disease transmission and to promote recognition of symptoms and appropriate responses as part of health education. Despite progress, many societies worldwide show insufficient advancement in realizing health education's preventative capacity. Often, instead of fostering positive behaviors and environments, health education can lead to unintended adverse effects. To achieve desirable outcomes, foundational principles must be followed. Health promotion is a holistic approach that empowers individuals and communities to reach optimal physical, mental, and social health. Establishing a health-promoting society is essential, with each group or individual identifying health aspirations and influencing the environment to meet needs effectively. Responsibility for maintaining a healthy lifestyle lies with both the individual and society. Health education should be a voluntary process focusing on social priorities both locally and globally. Needs and aspirations in health education vary widely, making it crucial to carefully address demographic and regional characteristics for effective communication [7, 8].

Technology in Health Promotion

Technology-based approaches engage diverse groups, create tailored messages, or change situations to promote healthy behaviors. Technology includes high-tech, mid-tech, and low-tech. High- and mid-tech approaches may involve purchasing or subscribing to tailored programs. Low-tech approaches include designing materials, papers, or campaigns based on community assets. Health educators may need training and support on adopting these strategies. Staff turnover may pose additional challenges. Technological approaches may involve several key steps to ensure sustainability in programming. They include identifying community assets and needs, leveraging technology in desired tasks, aligning and purchasing or designing technology as needed, conducting a pilot evaluation, and seeking funding for program continuation. Technology adoption involves community assessment and key stakeholder involvement in planning. Developing health education approaches with community needs in mind may ensure interest and sustainability. Tailoring communications with technology may involve identifying a goal in a behavior change plan, designing technology-based approaches to achieve the goal, and providing personalized messages through promotional technology. Media literacy empowers individuals to assess the quality and credibility of information. Media advocacy promotes social and public policy changes to foster healthier environments. Media literacy approaches may involve identifying media and health issues in concern, using evidence-based resources to inform stakeholders and affect change, and evaluating the impact of advocacy initiatives. Media advocacy and literacy efforts may involve identifying key media channels widely adopted by the population, assessing the quality of health information disseminated through those channels, and educating population members on assessing the quality of health information [9, 10].

Community Engagement Strategies

Community engagement involves collaborating with community members to improve health decision-making through mutually beneficial partnerships. It emphasizes clear goals, timelines, sensitive communication, inclusiveness, and reciprocity. Positive outcomes include increased participation, better health results, higher health literacy, a sense of ownership of research, enhanced community capacity, and sustainable change. However, community engagement is often deprioritized in research phases, as researchers may feel discomfort due to inexperience and the demands of engagement. Involving communities throughout the development, adaptation, and evaluation of health communication interventions enhances their effectiveness and adoption. Community engagement extends beyond collaborative research; it includes community input on health issues, research questions, health messaging, and sharing findings. Key benefits of this engagement include culturally relevant interventions, better understanding of communication needs, a solid knowledge base for research design, increased participation, stronger trust between public health researchers and communities, community commitment, and a closer connection between research and practice [11, 12].

Data-Driven Decision Making

Plan, design, implement, and evaluate strategies to achieve desired results. Effective strategies must be data-driven, relying on relevant data to support their development. Key data includes demographics, morbidity statistics, existing policies, evidence-based practices, community culture, and previous evaluations. Engage diverse stakeholders in crafting strategies that align with quality evidence and practical considerations. Strategies should form a coordinated set of activities targeting common goals and interrelated objectives. Limit the number of strategies per priority to those most likely to succeed, assigning ownership for implementation. Conduct a thorough assessment of functional and employee capabilities, scrutinizing current systems and identifying additional needs. Evaluate constraints and enablers for phased implementation of capabilities and strategies through stakeholder workshops, drafting a prioritized capabilities development plan. Prepare the annual plan and budget, detailing an

implementation process that encompasses decision-making, training, and the rollout of organizational initiatives and projects. Generate buy-in for strategy changes across the organization, crafting comprehensive communications for all staff. Develop a communication plan to address risks during implementation. Benchmark strategies against best practices while analyzing goals, metrics, and expected outcomes. Document high-level functional interactions and key internal and external contributors necessary for successful implementation. Create supporting analytical models, process documentation, and training materials, validating them with stakeholders. Conduct a training needs assessment and deliver training during the initial operational ramp-up, followed by ongoing evaluations [13, 14].

Case Studies in Health Education

The Review of the Case Studies consisted of an observational, cross-sectional study with two case studies: Omnitrans and Riverside County. The Omnitrans case study focused on processes, people, barriers, and cultural shifts in worksite health promotion and education. The president employee played a key role in answering questions, helping to evaluate the firm's health promotion implementation. Conversely, Riverside County's case study aimed to outline health promotion processes with an emphasis on organizational populace health, education, communication, and outreach. They successfully recruited diverse interviewees offering insights into various health initiatives. Both studies underscored the significance of securing senior management buy-in for effective implementation of health promotion and education. In Omnitrans, interviews were chaired by the executive management, including the president employee, while Riverside County engaged a broader range of voices from various organizational levels. The commitment from Riverside County's leadership motivated interviewees, instilling a sense of value and enthusiasm. Each department was encouraged to prioritize health in their operations, leading to improvements in employee and client health education, policies, and outcomes facilitated by the agency. The Wellness Committee at Omnitrans spearheaded initiatives but initially hesitated to promote diversity and engage all at-risk groups. A culture shift encouraged health staff to provide diverse healthy activities, evident in the wellness expo featuring 35 activities. Despite the knowledge gained and multiple databases established, questions arose about attendance at events. An active online tracking system for all levels within Omnitrans would enhance understanding. Riverside County noted that not all findings were transferable to future programs, indicating the necessity for a comprehensive program description and identification guide for future projects [15, 16].

Barriers to Health Education

Health Education Barriers to Health Education and Promotion Barrier of Health Promotion Literacy: A key barrier to health promotion is the low literacy levels among patients and communities. Health promotion literacy is essential for participation in health programs; without it, awareness and willingness are hindered. Understanding health promotion concepts is crucial for involvement. Low literacy stems from a lack of knowledge and perceived need for health promotion. When patients recognize and prioritize these programs, they actively seek them from health services. However, hospitals often prioritize immediate patient needs, neglecting health promotion activities. Barrier of the Negative Attitude: The mindset of healthcare professionals, especially doctors and managers, poses a significant challenge to health promotion. Many doctors view health promotion as ineffective, leading to resistance against mandatory participation in related sessions. This negative attitude impedes health promotion efforts, undermining their potential impact. It's essential to educate healthcare professionals on the importance and effectiveness of these services for hospitals and patients. Barrier of Nurses' Motivation: The lack of motivation among nurses often results from insufficient positive feedback from managers regarding health promotion programs. Criticism for incomplete projects without acknowledgment of successful efforts contributes to diminished enthusiasm. Additionally, individual and societal obstacles reflect a lack of knowledge and skills regarding health promotion. Many hospital staff and nurse managers lack understanding of evidence-based principles, limiting their effectiveness in health promotion services [17, 18].

Future Trends in Health Education

Health promotion education is central to advancing health, informing knowledge, and fostering learning experiences that influence individual and community attitudes, beliefs, values, and behaviors. It supports health advocacy and produces skilled practitioners equipped with competencies to enhance health promotion effectiveness. Demand for health education is global, facing challenges like social determinants of health and health inequalities. However, there is a growing awareness of health knowledge's importance, along with advancements in information and communication technology, which expand access to health education. These technologies offer opportunities to improve current practices, particularly in reaching underserved populations. Despite this potential, an increasingly challenging political context hampers health promotion education in many countries, including the UK, where

austerity measures and budget cuts present numerous obstacles. These include scrutiny from political entities, competing health priorities, and local health outcome inequalities impacting Local Authorities. Additional challenges involve marketization, thinly spread workforce resources, data issues, and the emphasis on new tools over context. Tensions arise from mismatches between intentions and practice, along with dilemmas regarding workforce reskilling and retention. Amidst these challenges, the key principles of the Ottawa Charter remain essential for guiding health policy and practice towards a healthier society. Consequently, health promotion education continues to evolve within this complex landscape, grappling with debates over policy direction, the balance of bottom-up and top-down influences, and competing discourses that both shape and detract from health education and promotion [19, 20].

Evaluating Health Education Programs

Evaluation of health education programs is essential, revealing if goals have been achieved, how they were reached, and the value of proposed strategies. It involves assessment, measurement, and context analysis, distinguishing between evaluation and research. Axiological questions address the social significance of programs, focusing on the values considered and who conducts the assessment. Furthermore, understanding the realist nature of health education initiatives is vital. Some programs may not be reflected in public health literature, which obscures 90% of the evaluation process often overlooked. The intervention aids in grasping effective strategies for enhancing health education planning and evaluation. Case examples are used to illustrate methods for gaining support from decision-makers, including defining problems that resonate with their priorities, aligning program values with stakeholders, and ensuring effective communication. Additionally, timing, audience engagement, and demonstrating connections between current and proposed initiatives are crucial. The discussion also highlights common pitfalls to avoid during the evaluation process [21, 22].

Policy Implications for Health Education

This chapter explores the implications of the models and strategies for health education and promotion outlined in this book. It aims to suggest possible directions for health education and promotion while acknowledging that many issues remain unaddressed. The focus is on providing broad thoughts that may stimulate discussion about advancing health education and promotion. Health promotion education has been essential for improving global population health and reducing health inequalities since the Ottawa Charter emphasized education's role. Questions persist at various levels about education's contribution to health promotion amid ongoing policy and systemic changes. Increasingly, health promotion education faces marketization, demands for demonstrating value, the urgency to tackle pressing global health issues, the rise of digital communication, and concerns about the ideological foundations of health education methods. Ultimately, effective health promotion education is crucial for improving global health and reducing inequalities, requiring skilled practitioners to support public health authorities. There is a growing global demand for health education focused on social determinants of health. In the UK, the current health and economic crises make discussions about health education timely, even as debates continue at local and national levels on the best strategies for pursuing health education and promotion [23, 24].

Ethical Considerations in Health Promotion

Ethics in health promotion, like any applied field, concerns what ought to be done within a particular domain and involves articulating what is good and why and reasoning about how individuals, groups, and societies might make judgements more adeptly. Like bioethics, health promotion ethics must necessarily address ethics per se as well as ethics pertaining specifically to health promotion. As such, there are two broad activities. The former involves articulating the values that should inform health promotion practice and research and developing frameworks that can guide ethical reasoning in this area. The latter involves leading the profession in navigating the many ethical quandaries that arise in often uncertain, resource-constrained, and politically charged environments. Health promotion ethics is particularly challenged by the ongoing developments and trials in public health information interventions (PHIIs) around the world. The persuasive nature of health information interventions is among their most notable features, as it enables the promotion of health in a way that respects individual autonomy and fosters democratic process, unlike coercive measures. However, this itself raises questions about the ethics of interventions that may exert undue influence over their target populations or over individuals within those populations. The potential of various empirical research techniques, from randomized controlled trials of population health interventions to scoping reviews of epidemiological studies, to provide evidence of effectiveness or lack thereof in order to justify the implementation of health information interventions is already being deployed in health promotion practice. Nevertheless, significant ethical concerns remain, reflecting the fundamental tension between respect for human rights, the belief that everyone should have the

opportunity to develop and maintain health, and a belief that individuals should have the right to make their own health labelling decisions without interference [25, 26].

Interdisciplinary Approaches to Health Education

The differences in education, training, and culture among health professions can create barriers that inhibit collaboration. A common understanding across health professions enhances education and community health. Initiatives have been formed to prepare students for interprofessional team practice, including the development of Core Competencies for Interprofessional Collaborative Practice and the A3 model for competence-based learning. Interdisciplinary Team-Based Learning (TBL) can be implemented across disciplines to foster collaboration among students and faculty. Academic health centers are encouraged to draw on past TBL experiences to adapt and implement interprofessional TBL, collecting outcome data to assess benefits and improvements. Core competencies are essential for enhancing health literacy, conducting assessments, and addressing health issues. Interprofessional education incorporates principles of service-learning and collaboration tailored to specific contexts, considering necessary infrastructure and integration with health systems and policies. Support is needed for multidisciplinary service-learning to transition into interprofessional education and training. Utilizing emerging technologies is vital for promoting effective, culturally competent communication across health sectors. Recommendations for advancing interprofessional education and practice include fostering academic entitlement, coalitional agency, interdisciplinary research, and sustainable wellness commitments. Innovative strategies must be paired with assessments of student learning and health system improvements. Additionally, discipline-specific Core Competencies should complement Interprofessional Competencies for faculty development. It's crucial to review admissions criteria and provide support for students and faculty unprepared for collaborative work and to form swarming teams of faculty from diverse health professions to tackle health issues comprehensively [27, 28].

CONCLUSION

Engineering strategies offer a transformative lens through which health education and promotion can be reimagined, particularly in addressing modern health challenges that are complex and multifaceted. By integrating engineering principles such as system design, process optimization, data analysis, and technological innovation into public health frameworks, stakeholders can enhance the efficiency, equity, and sustainability of health promotion efforts. Real-world applications, from community-level interventions to global health campaigns, demonstrate that engineers can design inclusive solutions that bridge the gap between health knowledge and behavioral change. However, for these strategies to succeed, barriers such as limited health promotion literacy, institutional inertia, and resource constraints must be strategically addressed. Going forward, interdisciplinary collaboration, continuous community involvement, and a commitment to evidence-based practice are essential. Embracing engineering in health education is not merely a complementary approach but a necessary one in the pursuit of universal health and well-being.

REFERENCES

1. Sharma M. Theoretical foundations of health education and health promotion. Jones & Bartlett Learning; 2021 Jul 14.
2. Sharma M. Theoretical foundations of health education and health promotion. Jones & Bartlett Learning; 2021 Jul 14.
3. Nundy S, Cooper LA, Mate KS. The quintuple aim for health care improvement: a new imperative to advance health equity. *Jama*. 2022 Feb 8;327(6):521-2.
4. Shittu RA, Ehidiame AJ, Ojo OO, Zouo SJ, Olamijuwon J, Omowole BM, Olufemi-Phillips AQ. The role of business intelligence tools in improving healthcare patient outcomes and operations. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*. 2024;24(2):1039-60. [researchgate.net](https://www.researchgate.net)
5. Lamont M, Pierson P. Inequality generation & persistence as multidimensional processes: An interdisciplinary agenda. *Daedalus*. 2019 Jul 1;148(3):5-18.
6. Arksey H. RSI and the experts: The construction of medical knowledge. Routledge; 2021 Aug 27.
7. Burgess A, Matar E, Roberts C, Haq I, Wynter L, Singer J, Kalman E, Bleasel J. Scaffolding medical student knowledge and skills: team-based learning (TBL) and case-based learning (CBL). *BMC Medical Education*. 2021 Apr 26;21(1):238. [springer.com](https://www.springer.com)
8. Moniz T, Golafshani M, Gaspar CM, Adams NE, Haidet P, Sukhera J, Volpe RL, De Boer C, Lingard L. How are the arts and humanities used in medical education? Results of a scoping review. *Academic Medicine*. 2021 Aug 1;96(8):1213-22. [uwo.ca](https://www.uwo.ca)
9. Pedersen M, Wood GE, Fernes PK, Goldman Rosas L, Banchoff A, King AC. The "our voice" method: participatory action citizen science research to advance behavioral health and health

- equity outcomes. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2022 Nov 10;19(22):14773. [mdpi.com](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192214773)
10. King DL, Billieux J, Mueller K, Delfabbro PH. Clinical interventions for technology-based problems. *Mental health in a digital world*. 2022 Jan 1;435-57. [\[HTML\]](#)
 11. Vargas C, Whelan J, Brimblecombe J, Allendera S. Co-creation, co-design and co-production for public health: a perspective on definitions and distinctions. *Public health research & practice*. 2022 Jun 1;32(2). [phrp.com.au](https://doi.org/10.2196/phrp.2022.322)
 12. Tembo D, Hickey G, Montenegro C, Chandler D, Nelson E, Porter K, Dikomitis L, Chambers M, Chimbari M, Mumba N, Beresford P. Effective engagement and involvement with community stakeholders in the co-production of global health research. *bmj*. 2021 Feb 16;372. [bmj.com](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n1111)
 13. Gade KR. Data-driven decision making in a complex world. *Journal of computational innovation*. 2021 Feb 10;1(1).
 14. Adepoju AH, Eweje A, Collins A, Hamza O. Developing strategic roadmaps for data-driven organizations: A model for aligning projects with business goals. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*. 2023 Nov;4(6):1128-40. [allmultidisciplinaryjournal.com](https://doi.org/10.24018/ijmrgre.2023.4.6.1128-40)
 15. L. Elliot D, S. Kuehl K, Goldberg L, A. DeFrancesco C et al. Worksite Health Promotion in Six Varied US Sites: Beta Testing as a Needed Translational Step. 2011. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21111111/)
 16. Wang J, Shao J, Zhang S, Wang L, Zhang L. Comprehending health behavior change and maintenance: A systematic review and meta-synthesis of behavior theories. *American Journal of Health Education*. 2025 Mar 4;56(2):119-33.
 17. Little M, Rosa E, Heasley C, Asif A, Dodd W, Richter A. Promoting healthy food access and nutrition in primary care: a systematic scoping review of food prescription programs. *American Journal of Health Promotion*. 2022 Mar;36(3):518-36. [sagepub.com](https://doi.org/10.1177/0898010121101111)
 18. Ocloo J, Garfield S, Franklin BD, Dawson S. Exploring the theory, barriers and enablers for patient and public involvement across health, social care and patient safety: a systematic review of reviews. *Health research policy and systems*. 2021 Jan 20;19(1):8. [springer.com](https://doi.org/10.1093/hrps/hnab001)
 19. Sharma M. Theoretical foundations of health education and health promotion. Jones & Bartlett Learning; 2021 Jul 14.
 20. Noar SM. A health educator's guide to theories of health behavior. *International quarterly of community health education*. 2004 Apr;24(1):75-92.
 21. Hamilton LA, Suda KJ, Heidel RE, McDonough SL, Hunt ME, Franks AS. The role of online learning in pharmacy education: A nationwide survey of student pharmacists. *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning*. 2020 Jun 1;12(6):614-25.
 22. World Health Organization. Global breast cancer initiative implementation framework: assessing, strengthening and scaling-up of services for the early detection and management of breast cancer. World Health Organization; 2023 Mar 7.
 23. Beech BM, Ford C, Thorpe Jr RJ, Bruce MA, Norris KC. Poverty, racism, and the public health crisis in America. *Frontiers in public health*. 2021 Sep 6;9:699049. [frontiersin.org](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.699049)
 24. Mhasawade V, Zhao Y, Chunara R. Machine learning and algorithmic fairness in public and population health. *Nature Machine Intelligence*. 2021 Aug;3(8):659-66.
 25. Solanki P, Grundy J, Hussain W. Operationalising ethics in artificial intelligence for healthcare: a framework for AI developers. *AI and Ethics*. 2023 Feb;3(1):223-40.
 26. Seo H, Kim K. Factors influencing public health nurses' ethical sensitivity during the pandemic. *Nursing ethics*. 2022 Jun;29(4):858-71.
 27. Yu H, Flores DD, Bonett S, Bauermeister JA. LGBTQ+ cultural competency training for health professionals: a systematic review. *BMC medical education*. 2023 Aug 9;23(1):558.
 28. Charow R, Jeyakumar T, Younus S, Dolatabadi E, Salhia M, Al-Mouaswas D, Anderson M, Balakumar S, Clare M, Dhalla A, Gillan C. Artificial intelligence education programs for health care professionals: scoping review. *JMIR Medical Education*. 2021 Dec 13;7(4):e31043. [jmir.org](https://doi.org/10.19190/jmir.2021.4.e31043)

CITE AS Lubega Mohammed (2025).Engineering Strategies for Health and Promotion. IDOSR JOURNAL OF ARTS AND HUMANITIES 11(3):41-47.
<https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSRJAH/2025/1134147>