Engaging Youth in Legal Issues through Communication Strategies

Amwiine Hassans

Education Foundation Kampala International University Uganda

ABSTRACT

Youth are often marginalized in legal discourse, especially those from vulnerable communities who face social, economic, and institutional barriers. This paper examines how strategic communication can serve as a transformative tool for engaging young people in legal issues that affect their lives. It emphasizes the importance of inclusive participation, co-learning models, and youth-led initiatives to reduce socio-legal disjunction. Drawing from theoretical frameworks, global case studies, and participatory action research, the study examines effective methods of integrating youth voices in legal systems through culturally relevant and digital communication platforms. The analysis further considers the role of educators, legal advocates, and youth organizations in amplifying youth agency, overcoming institutional hierarchies, and fostering legal literacy. A mixed-method impact assessment approach is also discussed to evaluate the effectiveness of youth engagement programs. Ultimately, the paper offers a roadmap for designing communication strategies that not only educate but also empower youth as active stakeholders in legal and civic processes.

Keywords: Youth engagement, legal empowerment, communication strategies, civic participation, social media, participatory education, youth advocacy.

INTRODUCTION

Youth are often portrayed in institutions of public care or juvenile justice systems at risk of socio-legal exclusion, stigma, and poor life chances, raising great concerns as it reflects how our societies and governance systems work for their young people. Such issues pressingly arise in many parts of Asia region where many young people and marginalised groups in need of special attention suffer from socio-economic, environmental, and legal vulnerability, deprivation, and exploitations causing socio-legal distrust and disjuction between laws of protection and their realities. It is interventions across the life course especially in youth-adult transitions, when the conditions and consequences of poverty, exclusion and marginalisation can widen and affect living chances in adulthood. Many related theories and evaluations suggest that participation is one of the most potent and liberating mechanisms for better youth and society, but often understood from risk-promoting angles while the positive agencies and actions of youth as agents of law and society and the vital mutual and communications roles of law also need to be fully taken on board. But there are many challenges and limitations in desired participatory outcomes as within restrictive defined, institutionalised and hierarchical views of youth and youth issues, both for the youth and the adults and the institutions necessarily involved. How to look and understand youth and youth issues, how to engage and communicate the issues, and how to form networks and participations are all vital considerations. But the rights and the abilities of youth in participation are often not fully acknowledged in policies and practices. Such concerns point to the respective roles of the law and law establishment in society, and how the law is engaged, understood, and communicated and thus its meaning as well as being engaged, understood, and communicated differently based on different views and perceptions of youth and youth issues. In this sense the law would need to be examined and explored a both positive and negative force of socialisation and exclusion and its governing roles played in the knowledge of social codes outside the legal text [1, 2].

Understanding Youth Engagement

The involvement of youth in issues affecting them has gained traction among researchers and practitioners. Youth engagement encompasses projects aimed at fostering decision-making and power

over policies, participatory programs that address concerns, and inclusive policies. However, the collaborative development and implementation of youth engagement frameworks remain scarce, especially regarding legal matters. Youth engagement represents a strategic interaction between youths and adults to achieve shared goals while acknowledging power differences. It influences social, political, and personal spheres and is seen as beneficial for school health education. When youth participate as active partners, their engagement correlates positively with various health and developmental outcomes. During the COVID-19 pandemic, engaging young people requires intentional effort. The shifts in schooling and social isolation call for tools and opportunities for youth to reframe their involvement and influence their communities. These circumstances provide both opportunities and challenges for youth engagement. This engagement as a liberatory practice contests the notion of youth as mere risks to be managed. School systems traditionally operate on assumptions of youth needing control for safety, which the pandemic often heightened, resulting in more exclusion and compliance. Many schools unprepared for the crisis failed to involve youth effectively, missing chances to welcome their input. As educational systems evolve, it's crucial to ensure youth voices and well-being are prioritized, but the willingness of stakeholders to share power with youth remains uncertain [3, 4].

Defining Youth Engagement

Youth engagement is a process of co-learning and co-decision-making, wherein adults and youth play equally strategic roles when developing a program. It is a promising strategy for school health education and health promotion, with positive outcomes, such as raising awareness, building key competencies, and developing a sense of belonging. Increased engagement among youth in school settings may reduce the likelihood of risk behaviors even outside school. It supports the Health Reach top to bottom assessment goal, addressing practices that exclude students, and considers the role of youth input in meeting school needs. In the context of COVID-19, authentic engagement of young people is now more essential than ever. This pandemic has created a crisis in social systems, including schools. These systems including policies and practices have had to change, and many may not return to "normal". Adaptation is essential, and this involves challenging and changing the status quo, which requires the engagement of those who experience the need for change. Youth engagement can be an important process in these efforts, but it inherently challenges norms within social systems and requires intentional collaboration and partnership. It is crucial that schools, youth, and community partners continue youth engagement efforts, especially as social systems are under stress and the priority may revert to bottom line considerations [5, 6].

Importance of Youth Participation

Youth starts to withdraw from participating in their communities, as they grow through age and the sombre reality of their environment sets in, especially in South Africa where they are judged on the validity of their future opportunities based on their past. It is therefore imperative to catch the youth at an early age when they create their identity through their sphere of social networks and social media. The concept of using a media tool and having the participants set the agenda, bringing public topics of interest, has proven to be effective in engaging the youth and capturing their interest. By having a communication strategy in place that allows youth to seat at the table with policy makers, means that youth would be able to drive the agenda of not only discussions on laws affecting them today, but also include future preventive deliberations. Since time immemorial, youth have been the catalyst for change from the Stone Age to the technological century. From the struggles with apartheid in South Africa to the #MeToo movement around the world, youth have been the spear of hope into an unforeseeable future. Uniting time and space through social media to engage globally is paramount, as policies in one country influence others. 88% of South African youth aged 14-34 own cell phones and 80% are active on social media, which allows for open discussions and cross-sector collaboration. Their use of social media contributes to the current blending of cultures and shifts in social norms. Recently, there has been an alarming rise in cases of cyber-violence against youth, such as bullying, discrimination, and sexual assault, which has severe consequences for mental health [7, 8].

Legal Issues Relevant To Youth

Although most youth are generally considered to be under the age of majority, the experience of childhood and adolescence varies widely across youth. Incorporating the voices of youth into the design, implementation and evaluation of these systems is vitally important. This special issue examines some of the more hidden on-the-ground issues that impact youth at a systemic level and, more importantly, the ways in which those alienated from youth-serving systems are reclaiming their voices through emancipatory approaches; approaches which include not only youth, but also women, LGBTQ, and Indigenous individuals. The youth engagement literature has traditionally focused on the micro-level engagement of youth; agency, voice, and empowerment are common themes. However, youth do not exist in a vacuum and the challenges they face are systemic. Youth are engaged on the margins; it is in these

hidden spaces below the radar of institutional scrutiny that youth often enact their citizenship. Hence, to examine structural barriers to engagement from a youth perspective, the notion of marginality must be unpacked. The conversations illustrate the importance of place and space to expressions of youth identity. Marginality can be both bad, and good, depending on context. The pervasive stigma attached to specific vulnerabilities mask histories of resistance. People are social agents. Their agency lies in their individual histories, and cannot be discounted by the legislative efforts to enact a one-size-fits-all engagement framework. Youth blur the boundaries between informal and formal systems of decision-making, engagement, and social capital; the invisibility of these otherwise visible youth is a source of frustration for academics and practitioners alike. Questions around the power dynamics at play between youth and adults are raised explored, particularly in relation to the important role of adults in youth action. The barrier of knowing how to engage adults is raised; the challenge is creating opportunities where youth voices can be amplified through engagement; avenues of recommendations are offered that include suggestions for shifts in institutional practice [9, 10].

Communication Strategies

Young people today engage with legal issues more than ever before. Many of their concerns around illegal drug distribution, underage drinking, and tips for teenage fighting can be found online. Social media platforms, blogs, and the Internet are all areas where youth freely engage in discussions of legal issues, both their own and those of others. In order that the legal system may grow from this engagement, it is vital to consider how Youth Court's role as a forum for discussion of ideas regarding the law can be served. To do this, considering how youth engage with legal issues today is crucial. Youth Court recognizes that its stands at a crossroads of Youth Court's past, present, and future. It began at a time before social media and online communities. It has grown along with these trends and, while providing a forum for youth-driven discussion, a site of deeper 'discussion' and engagement has begun to grow apart from Youth Court's presence. This paper will provide a discussion of how this moment in Youth Court's development can be used to reevaluate its mandate and output as a legal authority in a youth-driven pawn shop that is the Internet and all of its incarnations. Youth Court has several successes to reflect upon in terms of how it has endeavored to engage youth in the discussion of legal issues. From building youth neighborhood watch crews to street theater anti-bullying kits, these programs adapted to different communities, interests, and capacities. The legal issues around which action was initiated ranged from age of consent laws to Canada's Anti-Terrorism Act. Youth Court diversified as it adapted to youth culture in a rapidly changing world, method and medium of engagement adjusted. However, a time comes when these successes become experiences upon which the next steps can be taken. Youth Court today is at a point of evaluating how successes can continually build and deepen engagement. The biggest modern question regarding Youth Court is, "How do we bring a youth-driven lit circle onto the Internet?" Engaging young people in the discussion of their thoughts, feelings, and ideas is a difficult prospect when there is an enormity of well-hit ideas clamoring for attention and belief. It is similar to trying to talk about a movie you just saw with your friends without having seen it in question, talent scout number one masking an agency, or being allowed to talk in class due to a permit allowing you to visit the zoo [11, 12].

Barriers to Engagement

Although young people are open to participating in public debate, there are contexts and barriers that thwart these exchanges with peers. Seasoned by extensive experience in discussions on difficult issues, several participants pointed out the barriers they faced in their youth. They identified two levers to favor the emergence of spaces for discussion: structuring the time and the need to reflect on controversies. The systematic exploration of discussion spaces in a broader youth panel has not yet been done; however, some observations related by older participants are retaken here. These barriers can be classified into three levels: at the individual level, at the relational level among young people, and finally at the institutional level. At the individual level, young people have time and motives, but they must be in the right mood to participate actively with peers on issues of collective interest and outside their social network. The time afforded by the school to explore complex public issues is not necessarily perceived as a precious time taken away from the academic grind. By making it clearer, the school could influence the young person's decision to step out of the norm and engage in the process. To guide themselves through this new terrain, young people also need various resources: flexible social skills, a general and contextual knowledge base on situations, and facilitators who can mobilize these resources. Young individuals tend to perceive it as counterproductive to engage in exchanges with peers in certain situations. Participants noted that pride and judgment within peer interactions could inhibit the expression of their opinion; some their discomfort stemmed from not wanting to pass judgment on instability and monstrosity. Young individuals also alluded to other reasons for not questioning each other about controversies, including

social grouping or who speaks the most in discussions. Information provided through communication is also perceived as questionable; participants reported feeling overwhelmed by the amount of information available and worried about how to discern the truth of the messages. Lastly, at an institutional level, school representatives indicated that there was a consensus in favor of the importance of valuing discussion on social issues, but this was offset in practice by various factors chronicling the impossibility of implementing this approach. Participants pointed to a lack of institutional will, professionals, and appropriate materials to enable the implementation of such processes, as well as a need to regulate civilly disruptive counter-narratives in their discussions [13, 14].

Case Studies

In the summer of 2010, a group of urban teenagers participated in a summer youth legal institute in Baton Rouge, Louisiana, alongside high school and college students from across the state. This program provided a unique opportunity to learn the intricacies of the law and the justice system through instruction from legal professionals. Participants engaged in a mock trial based on a fictional murder and aimed to establish a law club as an after-school educational, social, and mentoring program. During the school year, these teens formed groups to discuss significant legal issues in their lives, attended criminal court, and explored the workings of the justice system while receiving input from various lawyers and social justice advocates. Engaging with serious criminal allegations and witnessing court proceedings involved navigating tough subjects and high-risk, high-reward situations. The need for additional training for adult facilitators was recognized to maintain ongoing programming and ensure a more tailored approach. Considerations around boundaries, confidentiality, youth involvement, engagement, and community dynamics were emphasized. Despite the specific cultural techniques and content, there are recommendations for fostering appreciation and interest in the law among youth in other communities without merely replicating the program. Recommendations will cover culturally relevant communication of legal issues and program design. The target youth age group was 15 to 25 years old, with some outreach to those under 14. Young children are more vulnerable to negative influences concerning the law, making it challenging to balance realism and fear effectively. The medium-age group faces stereotyping as "thugs," and they can easily be drawn into negative lifestyle choices. Legal education and empowerment should be offered before they delve deeper into lifestyles that could limit their options. Fortunately, this age group is interested in their futures and is often already contemplating legal issues, making engagement strategies potentially very effective. Strategies for serving younger teens in age-appropriate manners were also explored [15, 16].

Role of Educators and Advocates

Facilitating youth engagement in legal issues requires knowledge, communication, and legal skills on Youth Engager's part. Youth Engagers should also know when to seek support from professional experts. While Youth Engagers can be educators and advocates, many legal subjects benefit from in-depth subject talents such as law and political science. When working with youth to engage in legal or policy processes, some educators or advocates may lack the knowledge of the specific legal subjects in question. Therefore, they must have a capacity to navigate through legal subfields. Academics or professional community members with legal expertise might need to facilitate this navigation, either over a short workshop or for continued access to legal networks and connections. Youth Engagers need broad communication skills, such as negotiation, debating, or public speaking. More than that, Youth Engagers must have the ability to break communication barriers with youth. When communicating with youth whose first language may not be a national official language, the engagement over legal literacy matters can be challenging. For example, Youth Engagers might need to practice translating legal ideas or texts into easier wordings or languages so youth are less lost by jargon. Youth Engagers must have the curiosity to explore diverse ways of communicating with youth from various backgrounds, such as art, storytelling, or even on social media. Youth Engagers also need to consider youth's preparation and involvement that allow youth autonomy in leveraging their talents for engagement versus making youth vulnerable in community-led engagement on some sensitive issues. When targeting tough goals of youth engagement, there is a need for structural, multi-layered designs, which require programmers or initiators that are knowledgeable in ethics or strategic design. These are the roles of educators or advocates as they represent specific communities and decisions. With their top-down structures, these groups or their representatives might not be able to uncover youth's voice on authentic levels. Understanding both youth's and adults' perspective on the engagement issues is essential for drawing the design consideration for balancing constraints and autonomy [17, 18].

Impact Assessment

Any communication strategy, whether planned or not, will have an impact. Research has been done on youth engagement in political and social issues, using a range of measurement tools to investigate how youth engage by participation factors, channels, and perceptions. This study's methodology examines the impact of the project on what youth perceive to be their rights and responsibilities and their capacity to exercise those rights and responsibilities. Research questions include how youth perceive the role of law in youth involvement in local issues and how youth awareness and understanding of law change before and after the project. The project will/should use a number of tools to gather data. Youth will be engaged in Theory of Change, giving a representation of how they see the pathways to engagement. This will be repeated at the end of the project so comparisons can be made. The project is also likely to have attempts to document youth interactions, most likely through pre and post surveys assessing youth perceptions of their ability to engage in local issues. Youth will also be encouraged to keep a journal of their engagement in the project. This journal can also be used to develop a longitudinal understanding of what has been learned and to give further insights into the impact of the project. Some tracking of youth activity should incorporate data from social media tools so it can be demonstrated if there is greater involvement in civic issues by youth before and after the workshop. This could either be self-reported activity by youth or a combination of youth-reported activity and social media trackers that run separate to participants. The data generated will come under two broad headings. Firstly there are the documents and online materials that are created during workshops or later in youth's own time. Close textual analysis can be used to document how the youth understand their rights and responsibilities, what legal mechanisms they perceive, and what barriers exist. Secondly data will be collected from youth regarding individual and collective program participation, as well as any data around co-curricular activities, protests, or policy formulation to determine whether anything has changed. Document analysis should yield a large volume of materials, including videos, images, audio recordings, social media posts, transcripts, writings, and online posts considering their target audience and intended impact. A larger time commitment and more local knowledge becomes essential, as does concern for youth and maintaining youth agency when working with the raw data of their lives [19, 20].

Future Directions

The rise of social media and alternative news platforms offers new channels for engagement and communication, especially for youth, who face exploitation and misinformation. This environment necessitates urgent action and investment in youth-led communication initiatives. Community Advisory/Youth Engagement Groups emphasize the need for participatory approaches to engage youth within legal systems. Utilizing inclusive practices, such as those used by the Office of the Elder and Youth Advocate, can enhance existing successes. Additionally, collaborating with the Province of Manitoba's Child Advocate could lead to policy reforms that increase youth rights awareness and encourage the formation of collaborative youth groups. The formation of the Edmonton Youth Council exemplifies the potential for joint initiatives that improve outreach and cost-effectiveness in empowering youth. There are existing models for training youth to investigate issues relevant to them. Establishing local forums for youth to report on these matters can facilitate networking opportunities. Activities could include interviewing social services personnel and community members, publishing findings through various media, hosting events for community engagement, or creating zines. Workshops on communication skills could further enrich the training. These strategies aim to enhance youth empowerment and create a culture of informed participation in societal matters [21, 22].

CONCLUSION

Youth engagement in legal issues is not merely a matter of policy inclusion, but a foundational element for building equitable and just societies. As this paper illustrates, meaningful communication strategies ranging from participatory media tools and school-based programs to community legal education and digital platforms can bridge the gap between legal systems and young people. Effective engagement hinges on the recognition of youth as capable agents rather than passive subjects of law, requiring adults, institutions, and educators to co-create spaces that validate youth perspectives and encourage co-decision-making. The role of educators and legal advocates must shift from authoritative figures to facilitators and collaborators, ensuring that youth-led initiatives are well-supported and protected. When youth are given the tools and platforms to articulate their concerns and propose solutions, they not only understand the law better but also begin to shape it. Thus, investing in strategic, culturally relevant, and inclusive communication is essential to fostering a legally conscious, active, and empowered generation ready to influence democratic processes and systemic reform.

REFERENCES

1. Pare M. Why Have Street Children Disappeared-The Role of International Human Rights Law in Protecting Vulnerable Groups. Int'l J. Child. Rts.. 2003;11:1.

2. Bermúdez Figueroa E, Dabetić V, Yuste RP, Saeidzadeh Z. Gender and structural inequalities from a socio-legal perspective. InGender-competent legal education 2023 Jan 3 (pp. 95-142). Cham: Springer International Publishing. oapen.org

3. Iwasaki Y. Youth engagement—Engaging for change: Changing for engagement. Journal of Community Engagement and Scholarship. 2022 Aug 1;8(2).

4. McCabe E, Amarbayan M, Rabi S, Mendoza J, Naqvi SF, Thapa Bajgain K, Zwicker JD, Santana M. Youth engagement in mental health research: A systematic review. Health Expectations. 2023 Feb;26(1):30-50. wiley.com

5. Wimpenny K, Arnab S, Mohamad FS, Nguyen TT, Fadhli M. The engaged university: Exploring mutuality and reciprocity between and within communities inside and outside universities through ACES. InThe Emergence of the Ethically-Engaged University 2023 Oct 13 (pp. 79-101). Cham: Springer International Publishing. researchgate.net

6. Garbovan L, Mitchell J, Jones N, King R, Cooke P. Articulating Community Dialogues and Participatory Video: Theoretical Insights Into Joining Creative Methodologies Across Disciplines. Journal of Participatory Research Methods. 2025 Mar 11;6(1):169-91. scholasticahq.com

7. Chowa G, Masa R, Bilotta N, Zulu G, Manzanares M. Can social networks improve job search behaviours among low-income youth in resource-limited settings? Evidence from South Africa. Development Southern Africa. 2023 Jul 4;40(4):729-49. unc.edu

8. Okoye HU, Saewyc E. Influence of socio-contextual factors on the link between traditional and new media use, and young people's sexual risk behaviour in Sub-Saharan Africa: a secondary data analysis. Reproductive health. 2024 Sep 30;21(1):138.

9. Teixeira S, Augsberger A, Richards-Schuster K, Sprague Martinez L. Participatory research approaches with youth: Ethics, engagement, and meaningful action. American Journal of Community Psychology. 2021 Sep;68(1-2):142-53. [HTML]

10. Stafford L, Harkin JA, Rolfe A, Burton J, Morley C. Why having a voice is important to children who are involved in family support services. Child Abuse & Neglect. 2021 May 1;115:104987.

11. Ferrari A, Stark D, Peccatori FA, Fern L, Laurence V, Gaspar N, Bozovic-Spasojevic I, Smith O, De Munter J, Derwich K, Hjorth L. Adolescents and young adults (AYA) with cancer: a position paper from the AYA Working Group of the European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) and the European Society for Paediatric Oncology (SIOPE). ESMO open. 2021 Apr 1;6(2):100096. sciencedirect.com

12. Swart J, Broersma M. The trust gap: Young people's tactics for assessing the reliability of political news. The International Journal of Press/Politics. 2022 Apr;27(2):396-416. sagepub.com

13. Flower KD, Knight CJ, Rouquette OY, Waldron M, Barrell D, Mumford E, Love TD. Barriers and facilitators to participation in women's and girls' rugby: A mixed-methods study. Journal of Sports Sciences. 2025 Jul 10:1-7. tandfonline.com

14. Ocloo J, Garfield S, Franklin BD, Dawson S. Exploring the theory, barriers and enablers for patient and public involvement across health, social care and patient safety: a systematic review of reviews. Health research policy and systems. 2021 Jan 20;19(1):8. springer.com

15. Li XY, Yang CH, Lv JJ, Liu H, Zhang LY, Yin MY, Guo ZL, Zhang RH. Global, regional, and national epidemiology of migraine and tension-type headache in youths and young adults aged 15–39 years from 1990 to 2019: findings from the global burden of disease study 2019. The journal of headache and pain. 2023 Sep 18;24(1):126. springer.com

16. Clayton SD, Pihkala P, Wray B, Marks E. Psychological and emotional responses to climate change among young people worldwide: Differences associated with gender, age, and country. Sustainability. 2023 Feb 15;15(4):3540.

17. Topler JP, Lukančič MK. Active and Inclusive Teaching of Literacy and Communication Skills for Enhanced Employment and Sustainable Economic Growth. Univerzitetna založba Univerze v Mariboru; 2024 Jan 3.

18. Lau SM. Plenary Speaker. Critical Framing of Transversal Competences: Promoting Intercultural Responsibility through Cross-language and Cross-curricular Teacher Collaborations. Cahiers de l'ILOB. 2025;14:15-36.

19. Blevins B, LeCompte KN, Riggers-Piehl T, Scholten N, Magill KR. The impact of an action civics program on the community & political engagement of youth. The Social Studies. 2021 May 4;112(3):146-60. [HTML]

20. Desiderio E, García-Herrero L, Hall D, Pertot I, Segrè A, Vittuari M. From youth engagement to policy insights: Identifying and testing food systems' sustainability indicators. Environmental Science & Policy. 2024 May 1;155:103718. [HTML]

21. Chung A, Vieira D, Donley T, Tan N, Jean-Louis G, Gouley KK, Seixas A. Adolescent peer influence on eating behaviors via social media: scoping review. Journal of medical Internet research. 2021 Jun 3;23(6):e19697. jmir.org

22. Jones AR, Lee SE. Factors influencing engagement in fashion brands' Instagram posts. Fashion Practice. 2022 Jan 2;14(1):99-123.