

International Digital Organization for Scientific Research IDOSRJCE101.202500  
 IDOSR JOURNAL OF COMMUNICATION AND ENGLISH 10(1):52-57, 2025.  
<https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSR/JCE/101.5257.20250000>

## The Role of Empathy in Client-Lawyer Communication

Eve Tibererwa

Humanities Education Kampala International University Uganda

Email [eve.tibererwa@kiu.ac.ug](mailto:eve.tibererwa@kiu.ac.ug)

---

### ABSTRACT

Effective communication between lawyers and clients forms the bedrock of sound legal practice. Central to this dynamic is empathy the ability to understand and respond to a client's emotions and perspectives. This paper examines the significance of empathy in fostering trust, cooperation, and transparency in lawyer-client relationships. Drawing from psychological and legal scholarship, the study delineates the types of empathy cognitive, emotional, and compassionate and their application in legal contexts. It highlights how empathy mitigates communication barriers caused by time constraints, professional detachment, and client mistrust. Practical strategies such as active listening, emotional intelligence, and perspective-taking are examined, alongside the challenges posed by virtual communication platforms. Case studies further demonstrate how empathetic practices enhance client satisfaction and case outcomes. Ultimately, this paper argues that empathy is not antithetical to legal professionalism but is essential to its humane and ethical practice.

**Keywords:** Empathy, Lawyer-client communication, Legal ethics, Cognitive empathy, Emotional intelligence, Active listening, Client trust.

---

### INTRODUCTION

Effective lawyer-client communication is essential as it lies at the heart of all legal endeavors. The degree to which a client trusts their lawyer influences the extent of disclosure, the level of cooperation, and the overall relationship. Consequently, communication is a key component of a lawyer's competency, the foundation on which all advice, drafting, and negotiation depend. Central to communication is the ability to place oneself in another's position, to understand and recognize what they are experiencing. Empathy enables comprehension of the individual feelings and emotions underlying a client's concerns, and the pursuit of a suitable response or solution. The lawyer-client relationship is marked by distinct inequalities. Clients place unwavering confidence in their legal representative; the power to bring about potentially life-altering losses is transferred. Personal vulnerability and risk of substantial harm are exposed. While an irreducible degree of distance must be maintained, an empathetic understanding of client experiences fosters mutual respect and rapport. In this way, communication channels remain open, transparency is secured, and effective representation is enabled. Yet, there are numerous factors that militate against an empathic response [1, 2].

#### Understanding Empathy

Empathy is the profound ability to genuinely understand and appreciate another person's unique experience from within their distinct frame of reference. Broadly speaking, empathy can be categorised into three significant types: cognitive empathy, emotional empathy, and compassionate empathy. Cognitive empathy essentially refers to the capacity to adopt and fully embrace another person's perspective, allowing one to truly grasp their intricate thoughts and complex feelings. Emotional empathy, on the other hand, involves affectively resonating with another individual's emotions, which

means sharing and connecting with their psychological state on a deeper level. Finally, compassionate empathy extends far beyond mere understanding and feeling; it actively motivates an individual towards helping, caring for, or supporting others in meaningful ways. Empathy is fundamentally essential to effective communication in all contexts, whether personal or professional. An empathetic response not only articulates a person's feelings but also validates and confirms them, thereby facilitating rapport and fostering a sense of trust between individuals. This essential trait nurtures relationships and encourages an environment of mutual support and understanding [3, 4].

### **Definition of Empathy**

Empathy refers to the ability to recognize and understand the emotions and perspectives of others. Mercer and Reynolds noted that this understanding can be either intellectual or emotional. Lawton et al. distinguished between three types of empathy: cognitive empathy, emotional empathy, and compassionate empathy. Cognitive empathy involves an intellectual comprehension of another's perspective but does not encompass any emotional response. Emotional empathy is the direct experience of another individual's emotions and, if prolonged or intense, can lead to personal stress and emotional exhaustion. Compassionate empathy goes further by including an element of care and a desire to help; it engenders action and support. Empathy plays a vital role in interpersonal and social communication and is an essential component of all human relationships. It has been linked to improved clinical outcomes, patient experience, and client satisfaction. Understanding and practising empathy contribute to greater communication effectiveness, which, in turn, is a key factor in the success of a lawyer working with a client [5, 6].

### **Types of Empathy**

Empathy is fundamentally the act of perceiving and deeply understanding the emotions of others or even experiencing their emotional state firsthand in a profound manner. This complex concept encompasses a variety of different types that have been thoroughly identified and defined in numerous psychological studies over the years. Cognitive empathy is the ability to not only recognize but also fully comprehend the complex emotions of another person, allowing for greater connection and understanding. In contrast, emotional empathy involves actually experiencing the emotional state of the individual we are focusing on, creating a shared emotional experience that can be incredibly powerful. Compassionate empathy, which is sometimes also referred to as empathic concern, represents a deep-seated desire to help or assist someone who is in need of support, care, or understanding during challenging times. Being able to empathize with others is widely regarded as essential for effective communication, no matter the field of interaction, the communication channel utilized, or the specific context in which the interaction occurs. Empathy serves as a crucial bridge connecting individuals on a deeper level, fostering meaningful relationships, and significantly enhancing collaboration and cooperation between people in various environments [7, 8].

### **Importance of Empathy in Communication**

Lawyers engage in different kinds of communication, both oral and written. Effective client-lawyer communication is vital for building good relationships and ensuring proper representation. Empathy plays a critical role in this process. Empathy refers to the capacity to understand and share the feelings of others. It comprises several forms: cognitive empathy, which involves intellectually grasping another's perspective; emotional empathy, where one actually feels another's emotions; and compassionate empathy, which combines understanding and feeling with a desire to help. Empathy is essential in all types of communication, especially when there is an imbalance of power and experience as with a medical doctor and a patient and especially when informing and advising clients in a legal context. The lawyer-client relationship is distinctive in several key respects. Crucially, it depends on mutual trust and respect, and the rapid establishment of such bonds is essential. In this context, a display of understanding and concern by the lawyer contributes significantly to the development of rapport. Clients' capacity to discuss sensitive issues depends on their perceiving the lawyer as a genuine person with feelings. Empathy—with its connotations of identification, feeling, and compassion has an important role in the construction of good client-lawyer relationships [9, 10].

### **The Lawyer-Client Relationship**

Every client who walks into a lawyer's office typically comes in with problems that can be very difficult to share, often feeling pressured by tight time constraints. Lawyers must address their clients' diverse needs and concerns quickly and efficiently, ensuring that they do not overwhelm them in the process. The lawyer-client relationship is particularly unique; when clients seek legal counsel, they are likely to feel exposed, vulnerable, and weary from their situations. Clients often find themselves grappling with issues that could irrevocably alter their life trajectories and, as such, they need assurance and a sense of camaraderie to feel comfortable enough to share openly. Because clients may have been treated poorly by

others in the past, they require sensitive, carefully calibrated conversations within the lawyer's chambers. Empathy is vital to effectively building trust and rapport in this delicate setting. Without a genuine connection, clients may become reluctant to open up about their problems fully. Reliable trust cannot be developed through logical reasoning or deduction alone. Effective communication between clients and lawyers demands authentic empathy the ability to truly understand and share in another person's feelings and experiences, which allows for a more productive and meaningful dialogue [11, 12].

### **Barriers to Empathy in Legal Practice**

Many lawyers face empathy barriers that hinder effective communication with clients. Time-pressure is a persistent obstacle, especially in litigation where lawyers often operate under strict deadlines and high caseloads. Intensive time-constraints can reduce the ability to establish rapport or to convey understanding and support, both of which are vital to fostering genuine empathy. Professional detachment can also become a stumbling block. Maintaining objectivity and distance is frequently regarded as a necessity for lawyers, and some view the inclusion of affective elements as incompatible with the legal profession's assumed requirements for neutrality and impersonality. Yet excessive detachment can lead to a diminished capacity for empathy. Client mistrust or suspicion might further obstruct empathic exchange. If clients doubt the sincerity of a lawyer's interest or regard previous experiences negatively, they may be reluctant to disclose important details or to engage in an open manner. It is therefore essential to overcome these barriers by developing appropriate communication techniques. Failing to implement strategies that restore empathy can result in impersonal, transactional transactions that do not align with the deep, enduring relationships characteristic of the lawyer-client model [13, 14].

### **Strategies for Enhancing Empathy**

A variety of strategies are available to improve empathy. Lawyers can utilise tactics such as perspective-taking, motivational interviewing and client-centred therapy to gain insight into the client's emotions and trying to view the world from their standpoint. Salovey and Mayer introduced the term 'emotional intelligence' involving the ability to perceive, assess and manage emotions which aids in identifying, exploring and processing emotions, thereby enhancing understanding of another's point of view. Methods of promoting empathy also include active listening, validation and mirroring. Active listening aids in more accurately understanding and discerning others' motives and emotions; validation can facilitate communication between parties; and mirroring helps to lower resistance and develop rapport. Developing techniques to quickly assess client attitudes and credible storylines is also crucial, necessitating the combination of realist judgment, empathetic insight and interpersonal acuity in lawyer-client interactions. Nonetheless, barriers such as time constraints, case overload, professional detachment and client scepticism can hinder the practice of these empathetic strategies [15, 16].

### **Case Studies**

The utility of empathy in client communication is illustrated through several vignettes. In the first case, a newcomer from a strict Orthodox family seeks urgent legal advice due to the threat of expulsion from an international program. The stakes were high, both personally and financially. The lawyer opens the conversation by inviting the client to explain: "Can you tell me what happened?" This encourages a detailed narrative that highlights diverse techniques for lawyer-client discussions. The importance of a clear narrative is emphasized, alongside various methods like diagrams to clarify the case's significance. The lawyer simplifies the case with provisional statements and checks for understanding, for instance, by saying: "You want me to say that the complaint was filed late." As the client's explanation evolves, the lawyer ensures clarity through questions like "Does that make sense?" The client, a skilled communicator, actively engages, asking if they should interpret regulations differently or present highlighted information only. Other vignettes demonstrate how empathy respects client needs and aids in navigating complex regulatory matters. Techniques like summarizing and exploring contingencies also facilitate communication, ensuring understanding. Legal professionals can strengthen empathetic engagement by reflecting on their personal communication styles and their ethical impact [17, 18].

### **The Role of Technology**

Lawyers face numerous challenges in the digital age, especially during the pandemic. The shift to virtual communication complicates the expression of empathy, which is crucial amidst pandemic stresses. Understanding clients becomes harder when meetings are remote. While surveys from the 2000s indicated that digital communication could enhance empathy, they mainly focused on customer interactions rather than lawyer-client dynamics. A larger study between 2007 and 2009 suggested that telephone conversations fostered more empathy than email. However, specific research on lawyer-client communication and the importance of visual contact is lacking. Online communication hampers active listening, a vital empathy skill. Additionally, lawyers must protect confidentiality during virtual meetings,

as client settings may not always be secure. With around 70% of cyberattacks exploiting remote access, security remains a concern. Clients may feel less restrained in virtual settings, suggesting that the perceived empathy in digital communications could stem from premeditated efforts rather than genuine connection. Maintaining empathy in virtual interactions demands heightened focus and intent to offset reduced interpersonal cues and comfort [19, 20].

### **Ethical Considerations**

The exercise of empathy in client-lawyer communication raises ethical considerations. Empathy encompasses emotional resonance, cognitive understanding, and a desire to improve the client's situation, thus transcending objective judgment. It typically leads to pro-social behavior, involving self-sacrifice for the benefit of others. The moral implications of empathetic awareness in communication highlight potential conflicts with professional obligations. However, disregarding empathy could increase ethical risks, particularly concerning confidentiality, a core principle of legal practice. Clients must expect their information to remain private to maintain confidentiality; without empathy, lawyers may struggle to cultivate the trust necessary for clients to disclose sensitive information. An impersonal approach undermines lawyers' fundamental responsibilities and jeopardizes confidentiality. Additionally, confidentiality demands maintaining professional boundaries. Lawyers must refrain from representing clients where conflicts of interest arise, often stemming from close relationships. Maintaining distance from clients discourages over-identification and bias while stressing the importance of objectivity. Such norms originated in contexts with little empathetic engagement; thus, prohibitions against allowing personal bias in judgment do not guide the role of empathy. The professional standard is framed negatively, lacking clear guidance on the appropriate role for empathy. Certain safeguards must accompany empathy to ensure lawyers act in clients' best interests, given the inherent power imbalance and the risks of misconduct linked to emotional connections. Consequently, lawyers must be mindful of their feelings and distinguish between emotion and judgment. Empathy creates ethical dilemmas; lacking empathy prohibits understanding these dilemmas fully. Therefore, comprehensive education on empathy's role in law is crucial for legal students [21, 22].

### **Future Trends in Legal Communication**

Technological advances are transforming legal communication. Digital platforms enable lawyers to reach wider client bases, but they also pose risks of emotional disconnect and security breaches. Increased electronic communication can lead to vulnerabilities like cyber-attacks. Interactive websites offer quick responses and access to legal resources, while new software enhances databases with specialized training. AI is taking on routine tasks, with chatbots gathering client information, allowing attorneys to focus on complex issues. However, societal biases may hinder empathetic communication; lawyers can foster this through transparency and concern for clients' well-being. Environmental ethics discussions often overlook the interactions between climate policy and Earth's systems, along with ethical implications for future generations. Future efforts will emphasize teaching materials and training to enhance empathy in legal practice and education, warranting further exploration of empathic exposure in such programs. AI, virtual reality, and augmented reality present opportunities to enrich legal decision-making by incorporating diverse emotional data from stakeholders, aiding decisions with multi-sensory information beyond legal texts. Despite pressure for increased productivity within the profession, which may diminish empathy, applying principles from legal services to other sectors could improve overall communication in the economy [23, 24].

### **CONCLUSION**

Empathy plays an indispensable role in strengthening the communication bridge between lawyers and their clients. Far from being a soft or auxiliary skill, empathy supports the core legal functions of advising, negotiating, and representing. Understanding a client's emotional and psychological state fosters trust, encourages disclosure, and improves legal outcomes. However, empathy in legal practice is often challenged by structural constraints such as time pressure, emotional fatigue, and digital communication barriers. To overcome these, legal practitioners must actively cultivate empathetic strategies including emotional intelligence, reflective listening, and narrative techniques that prioritize the client's experience without compromising objectivity. As legal interactions increasingly shift to digital platforms, it becomes even more critical for lawyers to consciously embed empathy into their virtual engagements. Ultimately, embedding empathy within legal practice enhances not only the quality of legal representation but also the integrity and humanity of the legal profession itself.

### **REFERENCES**

1. Van Rhyn B, Barwick A, Donnelly M. Embodiment as an instrument for empathy in social work. *Australian Social Work*. 2021 Apr 3;74(2):146-58.

2. Chui H, Li X, Luk S. Therapist emotion and emotional change with clients: Effects on perceived empathy and session quality. *Psychotherapy*. 2022 Dec;59(4):594.
3. Samra R, Jones E. Fostering empathy in clinical teaching and learning environments: A unified approach. *Australian Journal of Clinical Education*. 2019 Dec 1;6(1):1-22.
4. Gallacher I. Thinking Like Nonlawyers: Why Empathy is a Core Lawyering Skill and Why Legal Educators Should Change to Reflect Its Importance. *Legal Comm. & Rhetoric: JAWLD*. 2011;8:109.
5. Chlap N, Brown R. Relationships between workplace characteristics, psychological stress, affective distress, burnout and empathy in lawyers. *International Journal of the Legal Profession*. 2022 May 4;29(2):159-80.
6. Tsai FJ, Huang WL, Chan CC. Occupational stress and burnout of lawyers. *Journal of occupational health*. 2009 Sep;51(5):443-50.
7. Fuller M, Kamans E, Van Vuuren M, Wolfensberger M, De Jong MD. Conceptualizing empathy competence: A professional communication perspective. *Journal of business and technical communication*. 2021 Jul;35(3):333-68. [sagepub.com](https://sagepub.com)
8. Zivkovic S. Empathy in leadership: how it enhances effectiveness. *Economic and social development: Book of proceedings*. 2022 Apr 1:454-67. [researchgate.net](https://researchgate.net)
9. Hung B. Essay-Law and Organizing from the Perspective of Organizers: Finding a Shared Theory of Social Change. *LA Pub. Int. LJ*. 2008;1:4.
10. Okoro AS. Access to Justice: The role of legal aid in society. *Interdisciplinary Studies in Society, Law, and Politics*. 2022 Oct 1;1(2):34-41.
11. Alqifari AF, Alrashedi IE, Almutier NH, AlRashidi BN, Abalkhail KA, Alharbi GF, Al Saqabi TM, Al Rashidi SZ. Empathy and Accuracy: Evaluating Trust-Building Dynamics in Clinical and Diagnostic Care. *Journal of International Crisis and Risk Communication Research*. 2024;7(S10):650. [\[HTML\]](#)
12. Traikou M. Teachers' Emotional and Empathetic Abilities in Relation to Adolescents Social and Emotional Characteristics and the Importance of Rapport with Secondary Students in a Multicultural Environment. *Open Access Library Journal*. 2024 Dec 5;11(12):1-20.
13. Kwame A, Petrucka PM. A literature-based study of patient-centered care and communication in nurse-patient interactions: barriers, facilitators, and the way forward. *BMC nursing*. 2021 Sep 3;20(1):158.
14. Probst S, Menon T, Stefanelli A, Bergin SM, Brand G, Tehan P. Empathy in wound care: a scoping review of its role, impact, and barriers to person-centred healing. *International wound journal*. 2025 Jun;22(6):e70687. [wiley.com](https://wiley.com)
15. Abbe A. Understanding the adversary: Strategic empathy and perspective taking in national security. *The US Army War College Quarterly: Parameters*. 2023;53(2):9. [armywarcollege.edu](https://armywarcollege.edu)
16. Izotovas A, Walsh D. Rapport-building in suspect interviews: a survey of Lithuanian investigators. *Psychology, Crime & Law*. 2025 Apr 8:1-23.
17. Grondin F, Lomanowska AM, Belleville G, Yampolsky MA, Tremblay MP, Jackson PL. Therapist and client perceptions of empathy in simulated teletherapy sessions. *Behaviour & Information Technology*. 2024 May 18;43(7):1471-86.
18. Standl B, Schlomske-Bodenstein N. Development of a Vignette-based Instrument to Assess Students' Perception of the Teacher's Empathy during Collaborative Algorithmic Problem-solving Tasks. In *2022 IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON) 2022 Mar 28 (pp. 1154-1160)*. IEEE. [\[HTML\]](#)
19. Hazelwood KJ. Technology and Client Communications: Preparing Law Students and New Lawyers to Make Choices That Comply with the Ethical Duties of Confidentiality, Competence, and Communication. *Miss. LJ*. 2014;83:245.
20. Bresnahan PA, Pera LT. The Impact of Technological Developments on the Rules of Attorney Ethics Regarding Attorney-Client Privilege, Confidentiality, and Social Media. . *Mary's J. on Legal Malpractice & Ethics*. 2016;7:2.
21. Makarava N, Baskakov IV. Genesis of transmissible protein states via deformed templating. *Prion*. 2012 Jul 1;6(3):252-5.
22. Mosberg MA, Cohen ER. The Ethics of Addiction in the Legal Profession. *Family Advocate*. 2024 Jan 1;46(3):30-4.
23. Bennett S, Miller B, Assad M, Dooner M, Hinds M, Millward J, Ochoa C, Ross C, Schaufele A, Wick C. Building a Culture of Scholarship with New Clinical Teachers by Writing About Social Justice Lawyering. *Am. UJ Gender Soc. Pol'y & L.*. 2023;31:311. [american.edu](https://american.edu)

24. Escher N, Bilik J, Banovic N, Green B. Code-ifying the Law: How Disciplinary Divides Afflict the Development of Legal Software. Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction. 2024 Nov 8;8(CSCW2):1-37. [acm.org](https://doi.org/10.1145/3698888)

**CITE AS: Eve Tibererwa (2025). The Role of Empathy in Client-Lawyer Communication. IDOSR JOURNAL OF COMMUNICATION AND ENGLISH 10(1):52-57.**  
**<https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSR/JCE/101.5257.20250000>**