

International Digital Organization for Scientific Research

IDOSRJCE101.202500

IDOSR JOURNAL OF COMMUNICATION AND ENGLISH 10(1):27-31, 2025.

<https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSR/JCE/101.2731.20250000>

Examining Assertiveness in Legal Communication

Nyiramukama Diana Kashaka

Faculty of Education, Kampala International University, Uganda

ABSTRACT

Legal communication is the cornerstone of justice, enabling effective interaction among professionals, clients, and institutions. Within this domain, assertiveness stands out as a vital skill that balances respect for others with clear, confident self-expression. Unlike aggressiveness or passivity, assertiveness allows legal practitioners to advocate zealously while upholding ethical standards. This paper examines the concept of assertiveness in legal communication by examining its role in negotiation, courtroom performance, client counseling, and cross-cultural interactions. It delves into both verbal and non-verbal components, the barriers to assertiveness, and the training necessary to cultivate it as a core professional competency. Through theoretical insights and practical case studies, the study highlights how assertiveness enhances advocacy, preserves professional decorum, and supports the equitable administration of justice.

Keywords: Assertiveness, Legal Communication, Professional Advocacy, Legal Training, Non-Verbal Cues, Cross-Cultural Lawyering, Ethical Communication.

INTRODUCTION

Legal communication, which can be viewed as a specialized field in its own right, is fundamentally concerned with exchanges in which one party aims to resolve a legal issue or situation. In such contexts, the validity of the statements made is invariably judged by established legal criteria. These critical exchanges often tend to attract peculiar constraints, such as those pertaining to financial matters, which can significantly influence the flow of information and decision-making. Communication itself is absolutely fundamental to all legal affairs; without adequate communication mechanisms in place, legal institutions, regulations, and processes would effectively become useless and ineffectual. Assertion, a style of communication that is widely recognized in the legal domain, has borne that name since at least 1973. Characterization of the concept of assertiveness within legal contexts is indeed a complex task; however, assertiveness remains an invaluable professional asset in the realm of legal affairs [1, 2].

The Concept of Assertiveness

Assertiveness constitutes a direct communication style characterized by openly expressing thoughts and beliefs, firmly advocating for one's rights while respecting those of others. Distinct from aggressiveness, which encroaches on the rights of others, and passivity, which yields to those rights, assertiveness is defined by honesty, confidence, and timely articulation of genuine opinions and desires. The trait assumes a particularly vital role within legal discourse. In an environment where authority, expertise, and interpersonal skills interlink to persuade, assertiveness enables both legal professionals and clients to express ideas and negotiate suitable outcomes effectively. Through articulation of positions in negotiations, courtroom discourse, and client consultations whether in person, over the telephone, or in written form lawyers communicate assertiveness, fostering ethical and efficacious interaction. Conversely, a lack of assertiveness diminishes a lawyer's ability to advocate adequately for a client, while a client's reticence regarding relevant information curtails the formulation of sound defence strategies. Within the legal communication profession, therefore, assertiveness emerges not merely as a communication style but as a professional asset [3, 4].

Assertiveness in Legal Contexts

Assertiveness emerges as a pivotal communication skill in legal environments, integral to the profession's capacity to serve clients ethically and effectively. Individuals possessing the ability to distinguish when, how, and to what degree assertiveness should be displayed often perform better in legal negotiation, courtroom presentation, mediation, and a suite of other useful professional scenarios. Assessing the role of assertiveness in legal communication is therefore a central priority for the Law and Communication discipline. This imperative is underscored by the fact that among professionally successful Philadelphia-area lawyers it consistently ranks alongside oral persuasion and negotiating abilities as one of the most highly demanded communication characteristics. As a communication trait, assertiveness inhabits a middle ground between the extremes of passivity and aggression. The passive individual, or the non-assertive communicator, tends to be conflict-avoidant, reluctant to express feelings, and easily manipulated. An aggressive individual, by contrast, tends to dominate others, to act in a bullying or hostile manner, and to violate the rights of others. The assertive individual occupies neither pole, yet assumes the greater communicative risks necessary for the linear development of interpersonal relationships. Assertiveness entails adopting a clear position without inflicting harm, thereby serving numerous useful professional functions, including the capacity to prove cooperation, build (and, if needed, regain) trust, and marshal social support behind prospective action [5, 6].

Verbal and Non-Verbal Communication

In legal situations, words and body language are inseparable components of communication. While verbal communication conveys essential information, nonverbal elements including gestures, posture, eye contact, and facial expressions often carry more significance. Professional, assertive legal communication therefore manifests through both speech and conduct. Assertiveness is an essential aspect of clear, professional communication in the law. It ensures that individuals do not merely acquiesce passively, remain silent when their interests are at stake, or depend on others as proxies. Instead, assertiveness empowers them to express genuine thoughts and opinions. When verbal and nonverbal signals align, a clear and assertive message is conveyed. Mutual reinforcement between words and associated cues preserves straightforwardness. Discord between speech content and body language, in contrast, creates confusion; it undermines credibility and signals insincerity, defensiveness, insecurity, or other vulnerabilities. Combining genuine language with confidence-inspiring nonverbal conduct thereby fosters professionalism and directness [7, 8].

Cultural Considerations

Business professionals often attempt to reach a condition of assertiveness through various studies or meetings with consultants. Legal professionals can greatly benefit from being assertive, as it is an effective and ethical form of communication in this field rather than an emotional or ruthless style. In business, being assertive enables clear expression of ideas, opinions, and feelings, while remaining both respectful to others and self-respecting. Legal advisors likewise find assertiveness to be the preferred style in business law cases, somewhat paradoxically since legal professionals are sometimes perceived as unconcerned with others due to their involvement in conflicts. Nevertheless, as lawyers play a central role in commercial disputes, verbal and nonverbal assertiveness are crucial when attempting to convince neither to undermine the perception of concern-for-others, nor to lose the client by appearing too passive. Whether focusing on specific aspects of assertive verbal or nonverbal communication within business negotiation, or examining a broader range of legal disputes, assertiveness stands out as the most appropriate style in commercial environments. The use of a culturally sensitive approach becomes critical when lawyers interact with clients from different cultural backgrounds or face clients without the option to select a lawyer who shares their cultural outlook. The same *amicus curiae* theory that encourages lawyers to guide judges on international or foreign-law questions should inspire similar care in cross-cultural lawyering. Ascanio Piomelli points out that cross-cultural counseling requires the maintenance of seven essential conditions, echoing Michael Diamond's earlier advice to prepare written cross-cultural strategies [9, 10].

Barriers to Assertive Communication

Several barriers can obstruct assertive communication among legal professionals. Cultural constraints are especially significant when the parties differ in cultural backgrounds or when the authority figures deviate from Western norms. In such circumstances, adopting an assertive approach may heighten conflict and prove ineffective. Defendants also encounter multiple obstacles that impede their ability to communicate assertively. Individuals within the legal profession must understand these impediments to interact effectively with clients and develop appropriate training programs to overcome them. Well-educated legal professionals, however, cultivating the capacity to communicate assertively across various situations, remain especially vulnerable to the pitfalls of over-assertion. Law students face a significant challenge in

creating a narrative that demonstrates an accurate comprehension of their legal predicament while consciously avoiding the exaggerations or understatements perceivable by the judge and jury, thereby enhancing the likelihood of a favorable resolution. Defendants may struggle to discuss foundational knowledge with their legal counsel, and procuring the assistance of an additional intermediary might render the attorney-client alliance fragmented or superficially disengaged. Furthermore, the typical attorney-defendant interaction tends to be transactional and limited in scope, lacking elements indispensable to constructive and ongoing legal communication [11, 12].

Training and Development

Training and Development Legal practitioners are required to cultivate and refine a wide range of proficiencies in various essential aspects of assertive communication. This is crucial so that they can effectively influence the outcomes of cases that hold great importance in their respective fields. Structured and thoughtfully designed development programs have the potential to thoroughly equip both seasoned professionals with years of experience and enthusiastic, eager students, enabling them to apply assertiveness skills skillfully. These skills can be used effectively in dynamic active casework scenarios, as well as in formal negotiation environments where outcomes can significantly impact clients' lives. This comprehensive and multifaceted approach not only enhances their communication techniques significantly but also substantially bolsters their self-confidence. This newfound confidence is vital in enabling them to navigate the complex and often challenging legal situations they may face and to do so successfully and with poise. Engaging in such training allows legal professionals to become not just better communicators but also more adept at understanding and reacting to the nuances of human interaction that play a crucial role in legal practice [13, 14].

Case Studies

During legal communication, assertive communicators direct and control the communication. They do not express their responses submissively, passivity appears as a sign of indifference, and aggressiveness expresses dominating and tyrannical signs. Mentor Pompilian (1995) indicates that assertiveness proves self-confidence and is based on the recognition of own rights without undermining other rights. Every profession has a style of communication that is suited to its specific job assignments and functions. With a well-structured and careful education, lawyers, judges, and notaries become trained in the manner of communication specific to their career. One of the essential aspects of the legal professional's communication style is the ability to express him/her in an assertive manner. Assertiveness is a key characteristic of a legal professional's communication. The term "legally assertive communication" is the combination of two aspects: the professional area where communication takes place (legal communication) and the manner of expression (assertive). A group of three series of lessons, aimed at developing assertive communication in the legal field, has been created and is based on the case study method. Contestants have the chance to practice assertive communication through the role play method [15, 16].

Measuring Assertiveness

Music provides a means of inducing an altered state of consciousness that, aside from those associated with the ingestion of psychedelic drugs, may be as close as we get to a doorway into another world. It is a gateway into transformative experiences and a pathway to the discovery of novel concepts and perspectives. Such ideas tend to originate out of and exhibit traces of the power of metaphor. It is through metaphorical elaboration and imagination that the far-reaching language of music remains uniquely relevant for every generation. A few points can be considered in the mean time, too. First, the organic status of music has hardly been disproven by the rapid act of discarding a named agent; indeed it is arguably harder to deny the organic status of music now that closure exists only at the level of explanation. Second, without the arrangements that have availed themselves to music, the physical difficulty in regard to musical organisms is a very real albeit broadly ignored problem; there is something entirely different about a musical animation that seems to suggest a case different from the vocal-duct-only version [17, 18].

The Future of Assertiveness in Legal Communication

Assertive communication plays a crucial role in enhancing professional visibility, promoting an atmosphere of open information exchange and healthy debate, and fostering a climate characterized by fairness and respect within professional relationships, provided that it is practiced ethically. As a result, terms that was once ambiguously defined such as aggressiveness, passiveness, and assertiveness is now being thoughtfully reconsidered in the realm of legal communication discourse. Aggressiveness, for instance, is now more aptly described as a form of dominance, which implies an overpowering presence that may not facilitate constructive interaction. On the other hand, passiveness is increasingly seen as indicative of a lack of self-confidence or assertiveness, reflecting a reluctance to engage and express one's

viewpoint. The level of acceptable assertiveness can be gauged by observing the reactions it provokes in others; this level of acceptability can fluctuate significantly depending on contextual factors, including the specific situation and the prevailing cultural norms. As such, there is a growing acknowledgment within the legal profession that a more assertive communication style, when it is broadly defined and employed in a professional manner, is often considered a highly desirable attribute and essential skill for effective practice [19, 20].

CONCLUSION

Assertiveness is not merely a stylistic choice in legal communication; it is a critical professional asset that enables ethical advocacy, strengthens client-lawyer relationships, and enhances courtroom performance. As legal professionals operate in increasingly diverse and complex environments, the ability to communicate assertively balancing self-expression with respect for others has become more crucial than ever. Despite cultural and systemic barriers, assertiveness can be cultivated through targeted training and experiential learning. Emphasizing this skill in legal education and practice ensures that legal actors are not only effective but also equitable in their communication, contributing to the overall resilience and fairness of legal institutions. Ultimately, embedding assertiveness into the professional ethos of the legal community empowers practitioners to engage constructively and confidently, thereby promoting justice through competent and ethical communication.

REFERENCES

1. Rasool A, Dayan F. Submit, defend, and persuade: The renaissance of eloquence and communication skills for lawyers. *Global Legal Studies Review*, VIII. 2023 Sep 30:9-19.
2. Krifka M, Hartmann JM. Layers of assertive clauses: Propositions, judgements, commitments, acts. *Propositionale Argumente im Sprachvergleich: Theorie und Empirie*. 2023 Mar 13:115-81. semanticsarchive.net
3. Kaiser A, Naqvi UL. A CASE STUDY OF LEGAL INTERPRETATION AND POWER OF JUDICIARY. *Journal of Applied Linguistics and TESOL (JALT)*. 2025 Jul 11;8(3):1-4.
4. Lu JG, Nisbett RE, Morris MW. The surprising underperformance of East Asians in US law and business schools: The liability of low assertiveness and the ameliorative potential of online classrooms. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2022 Mar 29;119(13):e2118244119. pnas.org
5. Jitwiriyant S, Rawan R, Narajeenron K. Advancing Politeness and Assertive Communication Through Tone of Voice in Crisis Team Situations: Pre-Post Acoustic Analysis Study of Team and Strategies to Enhance Performance and Patient Safety (TeamSTEPPS) Virtual Simulation for Interprofessional Education in Health Care Undergraduate Students. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. 2025 Apr 21;27:e66988. jmir.org
6. Ps KP, Yongsorn C, Ponathong C. Developing Critical Thinking Dispositions through Academic Assertiveness among Higher Education Students in Thailand. *Higher Education Studies*. 2023;13(4):208-26.
7. Gembalska-Kwiecień A. ISSUES OF ASSERTIVENESS AT WORK. *Scientific Papers of Silesian University of Technology. Organization & Management/Zeszyty Naukowe Politechniki Śląskiej. Seria Organizacji i Zarządzanie*. 2024 Nov 1(206). polsl.pl
8. Gutgeld-Dror M, Laor N, Karnieli-Miller O. Assertiveness in physicians' interpersonal professional encounters: A scoping review. *Medical Education*. 2024 Apr;58(4):392-404.
9. Moss JG, Firebaugh CM, Morgan SM, Moss JG, Firebaugh CM. Assertiveness, self-esteem, and relationship satisfaction. *International Journal of Arts and Social Science*. 2021;4(2):235-45. researchgate.net
10. Marici M, Runcan R, Cheia G, David G. The impact of coercive and assertive communication styles on children's perception of chores: an experimental investigation. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2024 Feb 6;15:1266417.
11. Coombs NC, Campbell DG, Caringi J. A qualitative study of rural healthcare providers' views of social, cultural, and programmatic barriers to healthcare access. *BMC Health Services Research*. 2022 Apr 2;22(1):438.
12. Aririguzoh S. Communication competencies, culture and SDGs: effective processes to cross-cultural communication. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*. 2022 Mar 23;9(1):1-1.
13. Al-Worafi YM. Communication Skills. In *Handbook of Medical and Health Sciences in Developing Countries: Education, Practice, and Research* 2023 Nov 23 (pp. 1-17). Cham: Springer International Publishing. [HTML]
14. Almonte R. A practical guide to soft skills: communication, psychology, and ethics for your professional life. Routledge; 2021 Dec 27.

15. Agudín MP. Assertiveness: A Tool for Social Change. Assertiveness in the Context of Human Rights, Ethics, and Classical Virtues. 2024 Sep 11:247. [HTML]
16. Sheinov VP. Relationships between assertiveness and psychological and social psychological characteristics of personality. RUDN journal of psychology and pedagogics. 2018 Dec 15;15(2):147-61.
17. Nardou R, Sawyer E, Song YJ, Wilkinson M, Padovan-Hernandez Y, De Deus JL, Wright N, Lama C, Faltin S, Goff LA, Stein-O'Brien GL. Psychedelics reopen the social reward learning critical period. Nature. 2023 Jun 22;618(7966):790-8. [nature.com](https://www.nature.com)
18. Király L. MUSIC AND THE ALTERED STATE OF CONSCIOUSNESS. Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai-Musica. 2023;68(2):165-75.
19. Diaz AM, Dela Cruz A, Duplon J, Agustin N. ASSESSMENT OF LEADERSHIP STYLES AND ENGAGEMENT OF LOCAL STUDENT GOVERNMENT. Available at SSRN 5053365. 2024 Nov 30.
20. Corrigan J, Merry M. Principal leadership in a time of change. InFrontiers in Education 2022 May 11 (Vol. 7, p. 897620). Frontiers Media SA.

CITE AS: Nyiramukama Diana Kashaka. (2025) Examining Assertiveness in Legal Communication. IDOSR JOURNAL OF COMMUNICATION AND ENGLISH 10(1):27-31. <https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSR/JCE/101.2731.20250000>