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ABSTRACT

In the digital era, 'fake news' is no longer limited to local stories, but this has become a global threat, that is undermining the credibility of information and distorting societies worldwide. This article uncovers the convoluted terrain of fake news that draws on its different manifestations, classifications, and motivations that are socio-political, cultural, and socio-economic in nature. In this regard, focusing on Nigeria, a country with high prevalence of fake news, this identifies the main factors that trigger its spread, which are media relevance, government manipulation, profit motives, and internet regulation. Considering that the multidimensional approach is applied, the paper suggests measures for the management and mitigation of the fake news, embracing technological, regulatory, and educational steps. Highlighting the necessity of media literacy, interaction with international organizations, and backing for traditional media, the paper stands for a collaborative operation aimed at promoting resilience to the covert spread of the fake news and creating a system of information that puts truth, transparency, and journalistic responsibility above any other considerations.
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INTRODUCTION

The dissemination of fake news on the internet becomes a major challenge for the credibility of information, and this to a large extent has a global impact on the societies. The relationship between the news media and the public has changed drastically with the availability of online publishing and sharing. Widely disseminated misleading narratives have taken root because the traditional trust in news sources has vanished. This paper will look at how complex the fake news landscape is, analyzing its various aspects, types, and the political, social, cultural and economic motivations behind its creation. Furthermore, it examines the particular problems that occur in Nigeria and provides workable means for controlling and decreasing these effects of fake news.

Understanding Information Disorder

[1] categorize information disorder into three main types: mis- and dis-information, and abuse of information. The disinformation is the construction of untrue data for a targeted harm of individuals, social groups, organizations, or even countries. However, misinformation is false information and the one without the intention of harm whereas mal-information is based on the facts and used with the intention of causing harm. This framework allows us to see that fake news is not just one-sided phenomenon, but is complex and can have far-reaching social implications.

[2] fake news classification is enhanced by virtue of including its typology which consists of news satire, news parody, fabrication, manipulation, propaganda, and advertisement. Using this typology, we are able to clarify and expand our understanding of how fake news exists in different forms. Thus, this enables us to provide valuable insights into the motives of its creators. Economics of Fake News in the World [3] by utilizing theoretical and empirical approaches, the study of the economics of fake news, especially in the case of 2016 presidential election in the US, will be carried out. They claim that while fake news is beneficial to some consumers as it provides utility but it imposes social costs (in terms of distorted facts and obfuscation of the real-world state) and private costs (in terms of impaired decision-making abilities of an individual) in the process. Understanding the
economic motives for the manufacture of fake news is the main thing for making them effective strategies for their distribution preventing.

**International opinions about the fake news**
The increased popularity of the phenomenon of fake news was particularly evident with regard to such issues as the U.S. presidential election, EU and Brexit matters, the linking of 5G with COVID-19 pandemic, and the case of the Russia/Ukraine conflict \[5\]; \[6\]. The fake news spread all around the globe and the effect depends on the level of vulnerability and resilience of societies \[6\]. \[7\] exposure of fake news in the South African media realms demonstrates its nature and results in various responses from public/political domains.

**Fake News in Nigeria**
For such as Nigeria, as for most other countries, social media is the leading weapon of fake news dissemination \[8\]. The transmitting of inaccurate and misleading contents without proper access control, credibility or attribution is a major difficulty which the Nigerian citizens face \[8\], \[9\]. The writer emphasizes the fact that the inadequateness of exposure, training, and critical thinking skills among the Nigerians makes them open to fake news. To address this, the factors that favor the spread of fake news in Nigeria have to be reviewed and examined. The major causes of fake news in Nigeria include the lack of regulation and accountability, the decentralized nature of social media platforms, and the use of fake news by various actors for their own benefit.

**Several factors contribute to the spread of fake news in Nigeria, aligning with global trends**

**Relevance:** To pull in viewers, media outlets publish false content, promote merchants and advertisers, and increase ratings. Thus, the chase for relevance often undermines the journalistic integrity.

**Hostile government and civil actors:** Fake news becomes an instrument, not only of government and civil actors, but also political parties during elections are used to affect public opinion and certain agendas.

**Profit motive:** The financial benefits are what power the production and broadcasting of fake news as shown by the case of “Disinfomedia” which was, in fact, generating advertising revenue of up to $5K every month.

**Poor regulation of the internet:** Absence of internet overwhelming with effective regulation allows fake news to proliferate, and it is difficult for people to distinguish actual information from misinformation.

**Fake news management methods.**
Addressing the menace of fake news requires a multifaceted approach, encompassing technological, regulatory, and educational interventions:

**Online media revenue model:** The media platforms need to rethink of their revenue generation approaches, breaking away from the text-centric models and promoting the emphasizing on clicks and engagement metrics. Standards and integrity of journalism should be given a preference over sarcastic for public to be more aware.

**Technological interventions:** The leading technology platforms like Google, Facebook, and Twitter are already taking into account fake news detection as a serious matter by taking actions to recognize and address it. Despite the fact that we still have a long way to go, further attempts in this direction can reduce the circulation of false news.

**Government involvement:** Government involvement in journalism is a sensitive issue, yet it can be a comfortable alternative, where support to public media creates an alternative voice for press freedom and journalistic integrity \[10\].

**Media literacy and education:** Boosting media literacy becomes a critical component of people strategic empowerment to differentiate between real news and fake. Educational programs should instruct the audience how to make critical judgment, fact-checking, and responsible media consummation.

**Collaboration with international organizations:** Promoting international media organizations' accountability by making it desirable for journalists to cooperate with them will lead to better quality of reporting and eventually to creation of a more reliable information ecosystem.

**Support for traditional media:** Governments should definitely find ways of supporting traditional media outlets, and it should be done so that they remain sustainable and their journalism is of the highest quality that also considers public interest. The fake news issue is a complex one that needs all-out approaches to its root causes and ways to take it off its impact. The different manifestations of the information disorder, the economic factors that drive fake news, and the global and local views on the spread of this phenomenon offer the right background against which various instruments of combating this phenomenon can be developed. All these make the Nigerian situation even more complicated as the problems are exacerbated by issues such as weak regulation, lack of media literacy and the profit-motivated dissemination of fake news. Through a holistic approach, which incorporates technological solutions, regulation, as well as education, societies can be more resilient to the seductive capacity of fake news. By collaborative actions we can create an information environment.
CONCLUSION

The problem of fake news is rapidly gaining momentum in the sense that it has become a multifaceted challenge that requires a complex response to ensure the truthfulness of the information today. Through a thorough analysis of the issue from the viewpoint of information disorder’s complexity, economic motivations behind fake news production, and global and local influences, this paper has outlined the foundations for the implementation of relevant intervention measures. In the case of Nigeria, these problems are aggravated by factors like poor regulation of information, low level of media awareness and profit-driven motives of those who disseminate information. The holistic strategy of combining digital innovations, regulations, and educational programs aim at resolving the core problems which generate fake news and reducing its negative consequences. True to free speech, media platforms, governments, educational and international institutions shall work jointly to build an environment that maintains the principles of factual, open, and ethical reporting.
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