Okongo

ISSN: 2579-0730

www.idosr.org ©IDOSR PUBLICATIONS International Digital Organization for Scientific Research IDOSR JOURNAL OF BIOLOGY, CHEMISTRY AND PHARMACY 8(3)52-69, 2023. https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSR/JBCP/23/11.1115

Incidence of Diarrhea and Contributing Factors in Nakaloke Town Council, Eastern Uganda, among Children Below 5 Years of Age

Okongo Francis

Faculty of Clinical Medicine and Dentistry Kampala International University Western Campus Uganda

ABSTRACT

Diarrheal diseases are a major cause of mortality and morbidity in children, with 3.2 million deaths in low and middle-income countries in 2013 due to infectious diseases. This study aimed to assess the prevalence of diarrhea and identify risk factors among children under five years old in Nakaloke Town Council, Mbale District, Uganda. A community-based cross-sectional study was conducted among 200 parent or caretaker/children pairs between April 3rd and 12th, 2020. Data were collected using pre-tested and structured questionnaires and analyzed using Univariate analysis and modified Poisson regression. The mean age of the study participants was 32.74 months, and the prevalence of diarrhea in the two weeks preceding the study was 36.00%. Maternal and childhood-related factors independently associated with diarrhea included a child's age of \geq 45 months, a birth order of 2 versus 1, non-vaccination against Rotavirus, and maternal secondary education versus illiteracy. Water, sanitation, and hygiene-related factors included drinking water from unprotected wall springs and having no handwashing facility beside the toilet.

Keywords: Diarrhea diseases, Infectious diseases, Drinking water, Sanitation, Hygiene.

INTRODUCTION

The challenge of the time is to study child health in relation to community, social values, and social policy. Child health has been given the greatest priority over the years, both at the national level and at the state level. However, acute diarrhea continues to be one of the main health problems in children[1]. One in four deaths in children under the age of five years is estimated to be due to diarrhea[2]. One out of ten babies born in developing countries fails to reach its fifth birthday, falling victim to diarrhea diseases[3]. The high mortality and morbidity due to diarrhea diseases can markedly reduced be bv Oral Therapy Rehvdration (ORT), which includes proper home management with home-available fluids (HAF), oral rehydration salts solution (ORS), and by feeding[4]. continuing usual Oral Rehydration Therapy is rightly considered as one of the important medical advances of the 20th century in

terms of simplicity and its potential to save lives[5].

Diarrhea is defined as the passage of three or more loose or liquid stools per day or more frequent passage than is normal for the individual [6]. Diarrhea diseases remain among the most common causes of mortality and morbidity in children, particularly in low and middle-income countries. In 2013, of the 6.3 million children worldwide who died before they reached their fifth birthday, about half, 3.2 million. died from infectious diseases. with diarrhea killing more than 500,000 children [7]. By 2030, it is estimated that 4.4 million children under the age of five will die from infectious diseases annually, and 60% of those deaths will occur in sub-Saharan Africa [8, 9]. Diarrhea accounts for an estimated 3.6% of the global burden of diseases, as expressed in disability-adjusted life years (DALY), based on a systemic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease study in 2010.

Although mortality from diarrhea has declined considerably over the past 25 years globally, morbidity from diarrhea in sub-Saharan Africa has not, as risk factors related to inadequate water, sanitation. and hygiene (WASH). insufficient promotion of breastfeeding, and malnutrition remain unacceptably high [10]. The rapid growth of African cities and associated overcrowding has been linked to outbreaks of diarrhea, with children under the age of five among the most affected [11].

A cross-sectional study using the 2000/2001 Uganda Demographic and Health Survey [12] gathered information from women's questionnaires administered to sampled mothers aged 15-49 years and with living children aged 0-5 years. The results showed that the overall prevalence of diarrhea in children aged 0-5 years was 23.8%. The Northern and Eastern regions of the country had the highest prevalence of diarrhea in children (29.3% and 26.9%) respectively. Independent determinants of diarrhea were age below two years, residing in the Northern and Eastern regions, and children with a history of fever in the two weeks preceding the survev[13].

Globally in 2015, 5.9 million children under the age of 5 years died, and the majority of these children were in the African region [14]. Most of these mortalities occurred as a consequence of diarrhea and acute respiratory infections [15]. Childhood diarrhea is a preventable disease, and Uganda is among the countries where the burden of childhood diarrhea is heavily

Research Design

The study population comprised mothers of children less than five years of age living in Nakaloke town council who fell among the households sampled.

Sample size and sampling technique The sample size was calculated using the formula kishLislie [23] below: N=z2p (1-p)/e2

Where;

n =Estimated minimum sample size required

P =proportion of a characteristic in a sample (84.5% [Babriye, 2009]

Z =1.96(for 95% Confidence interval)

Okongo

concentrated [16, 17]. In 2008 in Uganda, 16% of all under-5 deaths were attributed to diarrhea [17]. This study addresses the impact of the length of breastfeeding, completion of childhood immunization status of the child, and the presence of acute respiratory infection on the occurrence of diarrhea in children in Uganda by analyzing secondary data from the UDHS 2011 dataset[18].

Exclusive breastfeeding of children under 6 months of age contributes to a reduction in morbidity and mortality [19], including a reduction in episodes of diarrhea. The benefit of breastfeeding beyond this age in terms of reducing diarrhea is not clear. While UNICEF [20] recommended continued breastfeeding for up to 2 years, the effect of a longer breastfeeding period in terms of potentially reducing the occurrence of diarrhea needs to be explored. There is also a paucity of information on the benefits offered bv routine immunization, which has been seen to reduce all-cause morbidity and mortality in children [21]. The impact of acute respiratory infection on diarrheal disease has not been fully explored, although it is an equally common childhood disease. These risk factors were studied with respect to their potential impact on the incidence of episodes[22]. diarrheal With associations established, they could provide important evidence for guiding the design of prevention strategies that would help reduce morbidity due to childhood diarrhea in Uganda and areas with similar settings.

METHODOLOGY

E =Marginal of error set at 5%

 $N = \underline{1.96''2^{*}0.845(1 - 0.845)}$

 $\overline{0.05"2}$ N =201mothers

Sampling technique

Simple random sampling technique was used to sample the households, this was done using a lottery were the names of the households were written on papers, folded and put in an opaque bag from which the number of households to be visited were picked at random and considered the random households. Each of the sampled households was visited on different days until the required sampled size of the mothers of

children under five years was accessed. Simple random sampling was also used to get the consented mothers of children below five years.

Inclusion criteria

All women aged 15-49, whether permanent residents or visitors, prior to the night of the survey. All men aged 15-49, whether permanent residents or visitors, prior to the night of the survey and willing to participate. Household heads with children aged five years or younger.

Exclusion criteria

Not willing to participate in the survey. Household heads having children above five years of age.

Quantitative data

Quantitative data was collected using structured interviews to read the questions exactly as they appeared on the survey questionnaire for the respondents to answer.

Data collection instruments

Ouantitative data collection was structured conducted using а questionnaire to obtain all of the reauired information. These questionnaires were close-ended developed in auestions English. Oualitative data collection was conducted using an open-ended focused group guide.

Data collection procedure

All women aged 15-49 years available in the sampled households during the days of data collection were approached. Those who met the study criteria were told the purpose of the study verbally and after consent, they were enrolled for the study.

Data management

The study performed will be analyzed and kept. To be destroyed after five years.

Quality control

The data collection team comprised of four research assistants who were university graduates in the area of health service. The study team was recruited based on the experience they had in conducting similar research. Two -day training was conducted by the principal researcher.

Pre-testing of questionnaires

The principal researcher and the data collection team conducted the pretesting of the questionnaires over a period of two days in Ishaka Division. A total of 20 households were covered with each research assistant covering five households. Pre-testing was done to impart practical experience to the team in administering questionnaires as well as giving the researcher an idea of the population characteristics.

Validity and Reliability of the Research instrument

collection instruments Data were designed by the principal researcher who ensured that the questions and items were suitable to answer or measure the specific objectives of the study. Quality of data collected was ensured through close supervision of the data collection team daily by the principal researcher. Completed questionnaires were reviewed daily for inconsistence or incomplete responses and corrected. Sets of data were entered onto an excel spreadsheet. Data was entered using the statistical products and service solution (SPSS) Data entry module version 12.0 software which has an inbuilt verification ability to check for range and logistical errors.

Data process and analysis

Data from the survey was statistically analyzed using the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) (version 17.0). Basic descriptive analysis was done distributions. using frequency Ouantitative data was sorted. categorized and conceptualized systematically to see the pattern of diarrhea. Measures of central tendencies were used to give expected summary of variables statistics studied. Descriptive statistics was used to describe a distribution of scores. Findings were presented using frequency distribution tables, charts and graphs. Inferential statistics and chi-square was performed to compare different effects of different factors on diarrhea. Since the study was about a relationship (dependence on diarrhea on other factors), chi-square statistics (*2) used to establish whether was relationships existed among the variables. Statistical significance was assumed for p-values, < or = 0.05. Associations between significant variables in the chi-square test were then further examined using adjusted odds ratios.

Ethical considerations

Permission was sought and granted by the District health officer (DHO). Before undertaking this research, ethical approval was also sought from various sources to ensure that the study adhered to acceptable ethical guidelines. In addition, the researcher explained the purpose of the study to each study participant after which an informed consent was obtained from the participants before participating in the study. In order to ensure confidentiality, names of the respondents were not taken and the information given during the interview sections were not released to anyone. To further gain the trust and safeguard the privacy of respondents, the interviews were done privately and in secured areas.

RESULTS

Descriptive Statistics for Characteristics of the Study Participants

Childhood Related Characteristics Table 1 below shows the childhood related characteristics of the study participants. It can be observed that majority of the children34.50% (69/200) were in the same group of 6 – 18 years and the same proportion 34.50% (69/200) were aged \geq 45 months, were females 54.50% (109/200), having birth order of two 45.00% (90/200) and were born within a health facility 77.00% (154/200). The results further revealed that majority of the children73.00% (146/200) started receiving supplementary foods at \geq 6 months and had not received vaccination for rota virus59.00% (118/200).

Variable	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Age of the Child in months	69	34.50
6 - 18	27	13.50
19 - 31	35	17.50
32 - 44	69	34.50
≥45		
Sex of the Child		
Male	91	45.50
Female	109	54.50
Birth Order		
1	54	27.00
2	90	45.00
3	20	10.00
≥4	36	18.00
Place of Birth		
Home	46	23.00
Health Facility	154	77.00
Introduction of Supplementary food		
<6 months	54	27.00
≥6 months	146	73.00
Vaccination for Rota Virus		
Yes	82	41.00
No	118	59.00

Presented in table 2 are the summary statistics for the continuous variable of age of the children who participated in study. The mean age of the study participants was 32.74 months with a standard deviation of 18.45. The

minimum age was 06 months meanwhile the maximum age was 59 months. The data on age of the infants had a variance of 230.36with a positive skewness of 0.17 and a kurtosis of 1.53.

Table 2; Summary statistics of age of children who participated in the study									
Observat ions	Mean	Std Dev	Median	IQR	Min	Max	Varian ce	Skewn ess	Kur tosi s
200	32.74	18.45	32	17,52	06	59	340.36	0.17	1.5 3

Std Dev = Standard Deviation, Min = Minimum, Max = Maximum, IQR = Inter quartile Range

Maternal Related Characteristics As presented in the table 3 below, Majority of the mothers46.00% (92/200) were in the age group of 16 – 23 years, were married 46.50% (93/200), belonged to Catholic religion 42.50% (85/200) and had attained tertiary level of education 32.50% (65/200). Furthermore, majority of the mothers 27.50% (55/200) were merchants earning average monthly income of less than 500,000 shillings 81.00% (162/200). Finally, half of the participants 50% (100/200) had less than 5 family members meanwhile the remaining half of the study participants 50% (100/200) had 5 or more family members.

Table 3: Frequency tabl	le fe	or Maternal	l Related	Characteristics
-------------------------	-------	-------------	-----------	-----------------

Variable	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Age of the Mother in years	92	46.00
16 - 23	71	35.50
24 - 30	29	14.50
31 - 37	08	04.00
≥38		
Marital Status		
Divorced	23	11.50
Married	93	46.50
Single	78	39.00
Widowed	06	03.00
Religion		
Catholic	85	42.50
Protestant	84	42.00
Muslim	22	11.00
Born Again	09	04.50
Education		
Illiterate	36	18.00
Primary	46	23.00
Secondary	53	26.50
Tertiary	65	32.50
Occupation		
Government Employee	26	13.00
Self Employed	36	18.00
Housewife	35	17.50
Merchant	55	27.50
Farmer	48	24.00
Family Size		
<5 members	100	50.00
≥5 members	100	50.00
Average Monthly Income		
<500,0000	162	81.00
500,000 - 1 Million	32	16.00
> 1 Million	06	03.00

Sanitation, Water and Hygiene Characteristics

Table 5 shows that majority of the study participants 88.50% (177/200) had toilet facilities at home with 61.50% (109/200) having pit latrine made from concrete slab. Regarding disposal of household wastes, majority 61.00% (122/200) disposed household solid wastes in privately prepared pits meanwhile more than half of the participants 59.00% (118/200) disposed liquid wastes anywhere I open space. Also, 39.50% Okongo (79/200) collected their drinking water from piped sources and the same proportion 39.50% (79/200) collected their drinking water from unprotected springs with 67.50% (135/200) saying that the water source is not far from their homes. Finally, majority 61.02% (108/200) never had hand washing facilities near their toilets though more than half of the participants 52.00% (104/200) disposed under-five wastes in the toilet.

Table 4; Frequency distribution table of Sanitation, Water and Hygiene Characteristics

Variable	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Availability of Latrine		
Yes	177	88.50
No	23	11.50
Type of Toilet Facility		
Flush connected to municipal sewer	31	17.51
Flush connected to septic tank	20	11.30
Ventilated improved pit latrine	08	04.52
Pit latrine made from concrete slab	109	61.50
Shared latrine	09	05.08
Disposal of Household Solid Waste		
Privately prepared pit - hole	122	61.00
Refuse pit collected by	16	08.00
municipality		
Dumped in street/open space	22	11.00
Garbage can	23	11.50
Collected by private establishment	17	08.50
Household Liquid Waste Disposal		
In septic tank/latrine pit	44	22.00
In seepage pit	38	19.00
Anywhere in open space	118	59.00
Source of drinking water		
Pipe	79	39.50
Protected wall spring	42	21.00
Unprotected wall spring	79	39.50
Water Source Far from Home		
Yes	65	32.50
No	135	67.50
Hand Washing facility beside the toilet		
Yes	69	38.98
No	108	61.02
Disposal of under-five waste		
In the toilet	104	52.00
Left it open everywhere	50	25.00
covered by soil	46	23.00

The Prevalence of Diarrhea among Children under 5 years in Nakaloke Town Council

Overall Prevalence of Diarrhea Table 5 shows the overall prevalence of diarrhea among children under 5 years of age in Nakaloke town council. As observed from the table, the prevalence Okongo of diarrhea in 2 weeks preceding the study was 36.00% (72/200) with a 95% CI of 29.29 - 42.71.

Table 5; Overall Prevalence of Diarrhea				
Diarrhea	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	95% Confidence Interval	
No	128	64.00	57.29 - 70.71	
Yes	72	36.00	29.29 - 42.71	

Type of Diarrhea As shown in figure 1 below, majority of the children 87.50% (63/72) who had diarrhea 2 weeks preceding this study had watery diarrhea meanwhile 12.50% (09/72) had bloody/mucoid diarrhea.



Figure 1: Bar Graph showing the overall prevalence of Diarrhea

Actions taken by Mothers of Children who had Diarrhea

Table 6 below shows the actions taken by mothers of children who had diarrhea. As observed from the table more than half of the mothers 52.78% (38/72) took their children to the health institution meanwhile 13.89% (10/72) took their children to traditional healers. On the other hand, 09.72% (08/72) of the mothers gave their children ORS and the same proportion 09.72 (07/72) increased feeding of their children meanwhile 05.56% (04/72) either decreased or stopped feeding their children. Then finally, 08.33% (06/72) participants used homemade treatment.

Action taken	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Take him/her to the health institution	38	52.78
Take him/her to the traditional healers	10	13.89
Give him/her ORS	07	09.72
Increase feeding	07	09.72
Decrease/stop feeding	04	05.56
Homemade treatment	06	08.33



Figure 2: Actions taken by Mothers of Children who had Diarrhea

Maternal and Childhood Related Factors Associated with Diarrhea among Children under 5 years in Nakaloke Town Council

Childhood Related Factors

Given the fact that the prevalence of diarrhea was found to be more than 30%, a modified Poisson regression was run to determine the factors associated with diarrhea. Shown in table are the childhood-related factors associated with diarrhea. Results of the analysis showed that Age, Birth Order and Vaccination status for Rota virus were the childhood related factors associated with diarrhea. Children who were 45 months and above were 58% less likely to suffer from diarrhea as compared to children who were in the age group of 6 – 18 months (cPR0.42, 95%CI 0.24-0.73, P=0.002). Children who had birth order of 2 were 48% protected from diarrhea compared to children who had birth order of 1 (cPR0.53, 95%CI 0.35-0.82, P=0.005). Children who were not immunized for Rota virus were 1.94 times at risk of suffering from diarrhea than children immunized for Rota virus (cPR1.94, 95%CI 1.24-3.02, P=0.003).

Variables	Diarrhea		cPR (95% CI)	P Value
	No Yes			
	Count, (%)	Count, (%)		
Age of the Child in month	IS			
6 - 18	38 (55.07)	31 (44.93)	Refer	ence
19 - 31	13 (48.15)	14 (51.85)	1.15 (0.74-1.81)	0.531
32 - 44	21 (60.00)	14 (40.00)	0.89 (0.55-1.44)	0.638
≥45	56 (81.16)	13 (18.84)	0.42 (0.24-0.73)	0.002
Sex of the Child				
Male	56 (61.54)	35 (38.46)	Refer	ence
Female	72 (66.06)	37 (33.94)	0.88 (0.61-1.28)	0.508
Birth Order				
1	27 (50.00)	27 (50.00)	Refer	ence
2	66 (73.33)	24 (26.67)	0.53 (0.35-0.82)	0.005
3	11 (55.00)	09 (45.00)	0.90 (0.52-1.57)	0.710
≥4	24 (66.67)	12 (33.33)	0.67 (0.39-1.14)	0.137
Place of Birth				
Home	30 (65.22)	16 (34.78)	Refer	ence
Health Facility	98 (63.64)	56 (36.36)	1.05 (0.67-1.64)	0.846
Introduction of Suppleme	ntary food			
<6 months	40 (74.07)	14 (25.93)	Refer	ence
≥6 months	88 (60.27)	58 (39.73)	1.53 (0.93-2.51)	0.091
Vaccination for Rota Viru	s			
Yes	63 (76.83)	19 (23.17)	Refer	ence
No	65 (55.08)	53 (44.92)	1.94 (1.24-3.02)	0.003

Table 7; Childhood Related	Factors Associated	with Diarrhea	among Children	under
5 Years of Age				

CI = Confidence Interval, cPR = Crude Prevalence Ratio, P Value is Significant at 0.05 level

Maternal Related Factors

Table 18 shows the results of a modified Poisson regression run to establish maternal related factors associated with diarrhea among children under 5 years of age. Maternal education was found to be the only maternal related factor associated with diarrhea among children under 5 years of age. Children born to mothers with primary level of education were 66% protected from having diarrhea compared to children born to illiterate mothers (cPR0.34, 95%CI 0.19-0.62, P<0.001) and children born to mothers who had secondary level of education were 76% less likely to suffer from diarrhea than children born to illiterate mothers (CPR 0.24, 95%CI 0.12-0.47, P<0.001).

Okongo

Table 8: Maternal Related Factors Associated with Diarrhea among (Children under 5
Years of Age	

No Yes Age of the Mother in years 16 - 23 58 (63.04) 34 (36.96) Reference 24 - 30 47 (66.20) 24 (33.80) 0.91 (0.60-1.39) 0.679 31 - 37 18 (62.07) 11 (37.93) 1.03 (0.60-1.76) 0.924 ≥38 05 (62.50) 03 (37.50) 1.01 (0.40-2.59) 0.976 Marital Status Divorced 16 (69.57) 07 (30.43) Reference Married 58 (62.37) 35 (37.63) 1.24 (0.63-2.42) 0.536 Single 48 (61.54) 30 (38.46) 1.26 (0.64-2.50) 0.500 Widowed 06 (100.00) 00 (00.00) 1.24 (0.66-2.32) 0.513 Religion Catholic 55 (64.71) 30 (35.29) Reference Protestant 53 (63.10) 31 (36.90) 1.05 (0.70-1.56) 0.828 Muslim 14 (63.64) 08 (36.36) 1.03 (0.55-1.92) 0.925 Born Again 06 (66.67) 03 (33.30) 0.94 (0.12-0.47) <0.001 Tertiary 34 (52.31)	Variables	Diarrhea		cPR (95% CI)	P Value				
Count, (%) Count, (%) Age of the Mother in years 16 - 23 58 (63.04) 34 (36.96) Reference 24 - 30 47 (66.20) 24 (33.80) 0.91 (0.60-1.39) 0.679 31 - 37 18 (62.07) 11 (37.93) 1.03 (0.60-1.76) 0.924 ≥38 05 (62.50) 03 (37.50) 1.01 (0.40-2.59) 0.976 Marital Status 0.01 (0.40-2.59) 0.976 Married 58 (62.37) 35 (37.63) 1.24 (0.63-2.42) 0.536 Single 48 (61.54) 30 (38.46) 1.26 (0.64-2.50) 0.500 Widowed 06 (100.00) 00 (00.00) 1.24 (0.63-2.42) 0.536 Single 48 (61.54) 30 (38.46) 1.26 (0.64-2.50) 0.500 Widowed 06 (100.00) 00 (00.00) 1.24 (0.63-2.42) 0.536 Muslim 14 (63.64) 08 (36.36) 1.03 (0.55-1.92) 0.925 Muslim 14 (63.64) 08 (36.36) 1.03 (0.55-1.92) 0.926 Born Again 06 (78.26)		No	Yes						
Age of the Mother in years16 - 2358 (63.04)34 (36.96)Reference24 - 3047 (66.20)24 (33.80)0.91 (0.60-1.39)0.67931 - 3718 (62.07)11 (37.93)1.03 (0.60-1.76)0.924≥3805 (62.50)03 (37.50)1.01 (0.40-2.59)0.976Martial StatusDivorced16 (69.57)07 (30.43)ReferenceMarried58 (62.37)35 (37.63)1.24 (0.63-2.42)0.536Single48 (61.54)30 (38.46)1.26 (0.64-2.50)0.500Widowed06 (100.00)00 (00.00)1.24 (0.66-2.32)0.513ReligionCatholic55 (64.71)30 (35.29)ReferenceProtestant53 (63.10)31 (36.90)1.05 (0.70-1.56)0.828Muslim14 (63.64)08 (36.36)1.03 (0.55-1.92)0.925Born Again06 (66.67)03 (33.33)0.94 (0.36-2.49)0.908Education1123 (63.89)ReferenceIlliterate13 (36.11)23 (63.89)0.24 (0.12-0.47)<0.001		Count, (%)	Count, (%)						
16 - 2358 (63.04)34 (36.96)Reference24 - 3047 (66.20)24 (33.80)0.91 (0.60-1.39)0.67931 - 3718 (62.07)11 (37.93)1.03 (0.60-1.76)0.924 ≥ 38 05 (62.50)03 (37.50)1.01 (0.40-2.59)0.976Marital StatusDivorced16 (69.57)07 (30.43)ReferenceMarried58 (62.37)35 (37.63)1.24 (0.63-2.42)0.536Single48 (61.54)30 (38.46)1.26 (0.64-2.50)0.500Widowed06 (100.00)00 (00.00)1.24 (0.66-2.32)0.513Religion </td <td colspan="9">Age of the Mother in years</td>	Age of the Mother in years								
24 - 3047 (66.20)24 (33.80)0.91 (0.60-1.39)0.67931 - 3718 (62.07)11 (37.93)1.03 (0.60-1.76)0.924≥3805 (62.50)03 (37.50)1.01 (0.40-2.59)0.976Marital Status </td <td>16 - 23</td> <td>58 (63.04)</td> <td>34 (36.96)</td> <td>Refer</td> <td>ence</td>	16 - 23	58 (63.04)	34 (36.96)	Refer	ence				
31 - 3718 (62.07)11 (37.93)1.03 (0.60-1.76)0.924≥3805 (62.50)03 (37.50)1.01 (0.40-2.59)0.976Marital StatusEDivorced16 (69.57)07 (30.43)ReferenceMarried58 (62.37)35 (37.63)1.24 (0.63-2.42)0.536Single48 (61.54)30 (38.46)1.26 (0.64-2.50)0.500Widowed06 (100.00)00 (00.00)1.24 (0.66-2.32)0.513ReligionEEEECatholic55 (64.71)30 (35.29)ReferenceProtestant53 (63.10)31 (36.90)1.05 (0.70-1.56)0.828Muslim14 (63.64)08 (36.36)1.03 (0.52.19)0.925Born Again06 (66.67)03 (33.33)0.94 (0.36-2.49)0.908EducationEEEIlliterate13 (36.11)23 (63.89)ReferencePrimary36 (78.26)10 (21.74)0.34 (0.19-0.62)<0.001Secondary45 (84.91)08 (15.09)0.24 (0.12-0.47)<0.001Tertiary34 (52.31)31 (47.69)0.75 (0.52-1.06)0.106OccupationEEEEEGovernment17 (65.38)09 (34.62)ReferenceEEmployee23 (60.42)19 (39.58)1.14 (0.61-2.16)0.679Farmer29 (60.42)19 (39.58)1.14 (0.61-2.16)0.679Farmer29 (60.42)19 (39.58)1.14 (0.61-2.16)0.679Family Siz	24 - 30	47 (66.20)	24 (33.80)	0.91 (0.60-1.39)	0.679				
≥3805 (62.50)03 (37.50)1.01 (0.40-2.59)0.976Marital Status $$	31 - 37	18 (62.07)	11 (37.93)	1.03 (0.60-1.76)	0.924				
Marital StatusDivorced16 (69.57)07 (30.43)ReferenceMarried58 (62.37)35 (37.63)1.24 (0.63-2.42)0.536Single48 (61.54)30 (38.46)1.26 (0.64-2.50)0.500Widowed06 (100.00)00 (00.00)1.24 (0.66-2.32)0.513ReligionReterenceProtestant53 (63.10)31 (36.90)1.05 (0.70-1.56)0.828Muslim14 (63.64)08 (36.36)1.03 (0.55-1.92)0.925Born Again06 (66.67)03 (33.33)0.94 (0.36-2.49)0.908EducationIlliterate13 (36.11)23 (63.89)ReferencePrimary36 (78.26)10 (21.74)0.34 (0.19-0.62)<0.001	≥38	05 (62.50)	03 (37.50)	1.01 (0.40-2.59)	0.976				
Divorced16 (69.57)07 (30.43)ReferenceMarried58 (62.37)35 (37.63)1.24 (0.63-2.42)0.536Single48 (61.54)30 (38.46)1.26 (0.64-2.50)0.500Widowed06 (100.00)00 (00.00)1.24 (0.66-2.32)0.513Religion T T T T T Catholic55 (64.71)30 (35.29)ReferenceProtestant53 (63.10)31 (36.90)1.05 (0.70-1.56)0.828Muslim14 (63.64)08 (36.36)1.03 (0.55-1.92)0.925Born Again06 (66.67)03 (33.33)0.94 (0.36-2.49)0.908Education T T T T T Illiterate13 (36.11)23 (63.89) $Reference$ Primary36 (78.26)10 (21.74)0.34 (0.19-0.62)<0.001	Marital Status								
Married58 (62.37)35 (37.63)1.24 (0.63-2.42)0.536Single48 (61.54)30 (38.46)1.26 (0.64-2.50)0.500Widowed06 (100.00)00 (00.00)1.24 (0.66-2.32)0.513Religion	Divorced	16 (69.57)	07 (30.43)	Refer	ence				
Single48 (61.54)30 (38.46)1.26 (0.64-2.50)0.500Widowed06 (100.00)00 (00.00)1.24 (0.66-2.32)0.513Religion \sim \sim \sim \sim \sim Catholic55 (64.71)30 (35.29)ReferenceProtestant53 (63.10)31 (36.90)1.05 (0.70-1.56)0.828Muslim14 (63.64)08 (36.36)1.03 (0.55-1.92)0.925Born Again06 (66.67)03 (33.33)0.94 (0.36-2.49)0.908Education \sim \sim \sim \sim \sim Illiterate13 (36.11)23 (63.89)ReferencePrimary36 (78.26)10 (21.74)0.34 (0.19-0.62)<0.001	Married	58 (62.37)	35 (37.63)	1.24 (0.63-2.42)	0.536				
Widowed06 (100.00)00 (00.00)1.24 (0.66-2.32)0.513ReligionCatholic55 (64.71)30 (35.29)ReferenceProtestant53 (63.10)31 (36.90)1.05 (0.70-1.56)0.828Muslim14 (63.64)08 (36.36)1.03 (0.55-1.92)0.925Born Again06 (66.67)03 (33.33)0.94 (0.36-2.49)0.908EducationIlliterate13 (36.11)23 (63.89)ReferencePrimary36 (78.26)10 (21.74)0.34 (0.19-0.62)<0.001	Single	48 (61.54)	30 (38.46)	1.26 (0.64-2.50)	0.500				
ReligionCatholic55 (64.71)30 (35.29)ReferenceProtestant53 (63.10)31 (36.90)1.05 (0.70-1.56)0.828Muslim14 (63.64)08 (36.36)1.03 (0.55-1.92)0.925Born Again06 (66.67)03 (33.33)0.94 (0.36-2.49)0.908EducationIlliterate13 (36.11)23 (63.89)ReferencePrimary36 (78.26)10 (21.74)0.34 (0.19-0.62)<0.001	Widowed	06 (100.00)	00 (00.00)	1.24 (0.66-2.32)	0.513				
Catholic55 (64.71)30 (35.29)ReferenceProtestant53 (63.10)31 (36.90)1.05 (0.70-1.56)0.828Muslim14 (63.64)08 (36.36)1.03 (0.55-1.92)0.925Born Again06 (66.67)03 (33.33)0.94 (0.36-2.49)0.908Education </td <td>Religion</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Religion								
Protestant53 (63.10)31 (36.90)1.05 (0.70-1.56)0.828Muslim14 (63.64)08 (36.36)1.03 (0.55-1.92)0.925Born Again06 (66.67)0.3 (33.33)0.94 (0.36-2.49)0.908Education23 (63.89)ReferenceIlliterate13 (36.11)23 (63.89)0.94 (0.36-2.49)0.908Primary36 (78.26)10 (21.74)0.34 (0.19-0.62)<001	Catholic	55 (64.71)	30 (35.29)	Refer	ence				
Muslim14 (63.64)08 (36.36)1.03 (0.55-1.92)0.925Born Again06 (66.67)03 (33.33)0.94 (0.36-2.49)0.908EducationIlliterate13 (36.11)23 (63.89)ReferencePrimary36 (78.26)10 (21.74)0.34 (0.19-0.62)<0.001	Protestant	53 (63.10)	31 (36.90)	1.05 (0.70-1.56)	0.828				
Born Again06 (66.67)03 (33.33)0.94 (0.36-2.49)0.908EducationIlliterate13 (36.11)23 (63.89)ReferencePrimary36 (78.26)10 (21.74)0.34 (0.19-0.62)<0.001	Muslim	14 (63.64)	08 (36.36)	1.03 (0.55-1.92)	0.925				
EducationIlliterate13 (36.11)23 (63.89)ReferencePrimary36 (78.26)10 (21.74)0.34 (0.19-0.62)<0.001	Born Again	06 (66.67)	03 (33.33)	0.94 (0.36-2.49)	0.908				
Illiterate13 (36.11)23 (63.89)ReferencePrimary36 (78.26)10 (21.74) 0.34 (0.19- 0.62)<0.001	Education								
Primary $36 (78.26)$ $10 (21.74)$ $0.34 (0.19 \cdot 0.62)$ <0.001Secondary $45 (84.91)$ $08 (15.09)$ $0.24 (0.12 \cdot 0.47)$ <0.001	Illiterate	13 (36.11)	23 (63.89)	Refer	ence				
Secondary45 (84.91)08 (15.09) $0.24 (0.12 \cdot 0.47)$ <0.001Tertiary34 (52.31)31 (47.69) $0.75 (0.52 \cdot 1.06)$ 0.106 OccupationGovernment17 (65.38)09 (34.62)ReferenceEmployeeSelf Employed23 (63.89)13 (36.11) $1.04 (0.53 \cdot 2.07)$ 0.904 Housewife26 (74.29)09 (25.71) $0.74 (0.34 \cdot 1.61)$ 0.452 Merchant33 (60.00)22 (40.00) $1.16 (0.62 \cdot 2.15)$ 0.648 Farmer29 (60.42)19 (39.58) $1.14 (0.61 \cdot 2.16)$ 0.679 Family Size<5 members	Primary	36 (78.26)	10 (21.74)	0.34 (0.19-0.62)	<0.001				
Tertiary34 (52.31)31 (47.69)0.75 (0.52-1.06)0.106OccupationGovernment17 (65.38)09 (34.62)ReferenceEmployee	Secondary	45 (84.91)	08 (15.09)	0.24 (0.12-0.47)	<0.001				
OccupationGovernment17 (65.38)09 (34.62)ReferenceEmployee $ -$ Self Employed23 (63.89)13 (36.11) $1.04 (0.53 \cdot 2.07)$ 0.904 Housewife26 (74.29)09 (25.71) $0.74 (0.34 \cdot 1.61)$ 0.452 Merchant33 (60.00)22 (40.00) $1.16 (0.62 \cdot 2.15)$ 0.648 Farmer29 (60.42)19 (39.58) $1.14 (0.61 \cdot 2.16)$ 0.679 Family Size<5 members	Tertiary	34 (52.31)	31 (47.69)	0.75 (0.52-1.06)	0.106				
Government Employee17 (65.38)09 (34.62)ReferenceSelf Employed23 (63.89)13 (36.11) $1.04 (0.53 \cdot 2.07)$ 0.904 Housewife26 (74.29)09 (25.71) $0.74 (0.34 \cdot 1.61)$ 0.452 Merchant33 (60.00)22 (40.00) $1.16 (0.62 \cdot 2.15)$ 0.648 Farmer29 (60.42)19 (39.58) $1.14 (0.61 \cdot 2.16)$ 0.679 Family Size<5 members	Occupation								
EmployeeSelf Employed23 (63.89)13 (36.11) $1.04 (0.53-2.07)$ 0.904 Housewife26 (74.29)09 (25.71) $0.74 (0.34-1.61)$ 0.452 Merchant33 (60.00)22 (40.00) $1.16 (0.62-2.15)$ 0.648 Farmer29 (60.42)19 (39.58) $1.14 (0.61-2.16)$ 0.679 Family Size<5 members	Government	17 (65.38)	09 (34.62)	Refer	ence				
Self Employed23 (63.89)13 (36.11)1.04 (0.53-2.07)0.904Housewife26 (74.29)09 (25.71)0.74 (0.34-1.61)0.452Merchant33 (60.00)22 (40.00)1.16 (0.62-2.15)0.648Farmer29 (60.42)19 (39.58)1.14 (0.61-2.16)0.679Family Size<5 members	Employee								
Housewife $26 (74.29)$ $09 (25.71)$ $0.74 (0.34 \cdot 1.61)$ 0.452 Merchant $33 (60.00)$ $22 (40.00)$ $1.16 (0.62 \cdot 2.15)$ 0.648 Farmer $29 (60.42)$ $19 (39.58)$ $1.14 (0.61 \cdot 2.16)$ 0.679 Family Size<5 members	Self Employed	23 (63.89)	13 (36.11)	1.04 (0.53-2.07)	0.904				
Merchant33 (60.00)22 (40.00)1.16 (0.62-2.15)0.648Farmer29 (60.42)19 (39.58)1.14 (0.61-2.16)0.679Family Size<5 members66 (66.00)34 (34.00)Reference≥5 members62 (62.00)38 (38.00)1.12 (0.77-1.62)0.557Average MonthlyIncome<500,0000101 (62.35)61 (37.65)Reference500,000 - 1 Million23 (71.88)09 (28.13)0.75 (0.41-1.35)0.332> 1 Million04 (66.67)02 (33.33)0.89 (0.28-2.80)0.836	Housewife	26 (74.29)	09 (25.71)	0.74 (0.34-1.61)	0.452				
Farmer29 (60.42)19 (39.58)1.14 (0.61-2.16)0.679Family Size<5 members	Merchant	33 (60.00)	22 (40.00)	1.16 (0.62-2.15)	0.648				
Family Size<5 members	Farmer	29 (60.42)	19 (39.58)	1.14 (0.61-2.16)	0.679				
<5 members $66 (66.00)$ $34 (34.00)$ Reference≥5 members $62 (62.00)$ $38 (38.00)$ $1.12 (0.77-1.62)$ 0.557 Average MonthlyIncome $<$ <500,0000	Family Size								
≥5 members 62 (62.00) 38 (38.00) 1.12 (0.77-1.62) 0.557 Average Monthly Income Keference <500,0000	<5 members	66 (66.00)	34 (34.00)	Refer	ence				
Average Monthly Income <500,0000	≥5 members	62 (62.00)	38 (38.00)	1.12 (0.77-1.62)	0.557				
Income <500,0000	Average Monthly								
<500,000 101 (62.35) 61 (37.65) Reference 500,000 - 1 Million 23 (71.88) 09 (28.13) 0.75 (0.41-1.35) 0.332 > 1 Million 04 (66.67) 02 (33.33) 0.89 (0.28-2.80) 0.836	Income								
500,000 - 1 Million23 (71.88)09 (28.13)0.75 (0.41-1.35)0.332> 1 Million04 (66.67)02 (33.33)0.89 (0.28-2.80)0.836	<500,0000	101 (62.35)	61 (37.65)	Refer	ence				
> 1 Million 04 (66.67) 02 (33.33) 0.89 (0.28-2.80) 0.836	500,000 - 1 Million	23 (71.88)	09 (28.13)	0.75 (0.41-1.35)	0.332				
	> 1 Million	04 (66.67)	02 (33.33)	0.89 (0.28-2.80)	0.836				

CI = Confidence Interval, cPR = Crude Prevalence Ratio, P-Value is Significant at 0.05 level

Water, Sanitation and Hygiene Related Factors Associated with Diarrhea among Children under 5 years in Nakaloke Town Council

Regarding Water, Sanitation and Hygiene Related Factors, Source of drinking water and availability of hand washing facility besides the toilet were found to be statistically associated with Diarrhea. Children who drank water from Protected wall springwere 2.82 times more likely to have diarrhea than children who drank water from piped source (cPR2.82, 95%CI 1.25-6.37, P=0.013). Children who drank water from unprotected wall spring were 6.50 times at risk of suffering from diarrhea than children who drank water from piped source (cPR6.50, 95%CI 3.30-12.80, P<0.001). Children from families which had no hand washing facilities besides the toilet were 2.51 times at risk of having diarrhea than children are were in families with hand washing facilities besides the toilet (cPR2.51, 95%CI 1.70-3.71, P=0.010).

Okongo

Table 9: Water, Sanitation and Hygiene Related Factors Associated with Diarrhea among Children under 5 years

Variables	Diarrhea		PR (95% CI)	P Value		
	No	Yes				
	Count, (%)	Count, (%)				
Availability of Latrine						
Yes	113 (63.84)	64 (36.16)	Refere	ence		
No	15 (65.22)	08 (34.78)	0.96 (0.53-1.74)	0.898		
Type of Toilet Facility						
Flush connected to municipal sewer	23 (74.19)	08 (25.81)	Refere	ence		
Flush connected to septic tank	10 (50.00)	10 (50.00)	1.94 (0.92-4.07)	0.081		
Ventilated improved pit latrine	06 (75.00)	02 (25.00)	0.97 (0.25-3.72)	0.963		
Pit latrine made from concrete slab	68 (62.39)	41 (37.61)	1.46 (0.76-2.78)	0.253		
Shared latrine	06 (66.67)	03 (33.33)	1.29 (0.43-3.89)	0.649		
Disposal of Household Solid Waste						
Privately prepared pit – hole	82 (67.21)	40 (32.79)	Refere	ence		
Refuse pit collected by municipality	12 (75.00)	04 (25.00)	0.76 (0.31-1.85)	0.550		
Dumped in street/open space	13 (59.09)	09 (40.91)	1.25 (0.71-2.19)	0.442		
Garbage can	12 (52.17)	11 (47.83)	1.46 (0.89-2.40)	0.137		
Collected by private establishment	09 (52.94)	08 (47.06)	1.44 (0.81-2.53)	0.211		
Household Liquid Waste Disposal						
In septic tank/latrine pit	32 (72.73)	12 (27.27)	Refere	ence		
In seepage pit	25 (65.79)	13 (34.21)	1.25 (0.65-2.42)	0.498		
Anywhere in open space	71 (60.17)	47 (39.83)	1.46 (0.86-2.49)	0.163		
Source of drinking water						
Pipe	71 (89.87)	08 (10.13)	Reference			
Protected wall spring	30 (71.43)	12 (28.57)	2.82 (1.25-6.37)	0.013		
Unprotected wall spring	27 (34.18)	52 (65.82)	6.50 (3.30-12.80)	<0.001		
Water Source Far from Home						
Yes	42 (64.62)	23 (35.38)	Refere	ence		
No	86 (63.70)	49 (36.30)	1.03 (0.69-1.53)	0.900		
Hand Washing facility beside the toilet						
Yes	77 (71.30)	31 (28.70)	Refere	ence		
No	36 (52.17)	33 (47.83)	2.51 (1.70-3.71)	0.010		
Disposal of under-five waste						
In the toilet	61 (58.65)	43 (41.35)	Refere	ence		
Left it open everywhere	36 (72.00)	14 (28.00)	0.68 (0.41-1.18)	0.127		
Covered by soil	31 (67.39)	15 (32.61)	0.79 (0.49-1.27)	0.328		

CI = Confidence Interval, cPR = Crude Prevalence Ratio, P Value is Significant at 0.05 level

Multivariate Analysis to Show Factors Independently Associated with Diarrhea among Children under 5 years in Nakaloke Town Council

То identify factors independently associated with diarrhea, factors which p-values less than 0.20 at bivariate analysis were added to the model for multivariate analysis. Through а stepwise regression with removal of least significant variables in each step, Age of the child, Birth Order of the child. Rota virus vaccination status. Maternal education, Source of drinking water and availability of handing facility near the toilet remained independently

associated with diarrhea among children under 5 years in Nakaloke Town Council. The Magnitude of risk and level of significance of the associated factors were as follows: Child's age of ≥ 45 versus 6 - 18 months (aPR0.59, 95%CI 0.37-0.93, P=0.024), Birth order of 2 versus birth order of 1 (aPR0.52, 95%CI 0.36-0.78, P=0.001), Non vaccination against Rota virus versus vaccination against Rota virus (aPR1.63, 95%CI 1.07-P=0.023), 2.47, Maternal secondary education versus illiteracy (aPR0.42, 95%CI 0.23-0.74, P=0.003). Drinking water from Unprotected wall spring (aPR4.03, 95%CI 1.90-8.58, P<0.001) and

having no hand washing facility besides the toilet (aPR2.49, 95%CI 1.51-4.11, P=0.024).

Table 10: Multivariate Analysis to Show Factors Independently Associated with Diarrhea among Children under 5 years

Variables	Diarrhea		aPR (95% CI)	P Value	
	No	Yes			
	Count, (%)	Count, (%)			
Age of the Child in months					
6 - 18	38 (55.07)	31 (44.93)	Refer	ence	
19 - 31	13 (48.15)	14 (51.85)	1.30 (0.76-2.25)	0.340	
32 - 44	21 (60.00)	14 (40.00)	1.15 (0.75-1.78)	0.517	
≥45	56 (81.16)	13 (18.84)	0.59 (0.37-0.93)	0.024	
Birth Order					
1	27 (50.00)	27 (50.00)	Refer	ence	
2	66 (73.33)	24 (26.67)	0.52 (0.36-0.78)	0.001	
3	11 (55.00)	09 (45.00)	0.95 (0.61-1.48)	0.830	
≥4	24 (66.67)	12 (33.33)	0.70 (0.45-1.09)	0.114	
Introduction of Supplementary food			- •		
<6 months	40 (74.07)	14 (25.93)	Refer	ence	
≥6 months	88 (60.27)	58 (39.73)	1.18 (0.71-1.94)	0.525	
Vaccination for Rota Virus					
Yes	63 (76.83)	19 (23.17)	Refer	ence	
No	65 (55.08)	53 (44.92)	1.63 (1.07-2.47)	0.023	
Education	10 (00 11)				
Illiterate	13 (36.11)	23 (63.89)	Refer	ence	
Primary	36 (78.26)	10 (21.74)	0.53 (0.26-1.09)	0.084	
Secondary	45 (84.91)	08 (15.09)	0.42 (0.23-0.74)	0.003	
Tertiary	34 (52.31)	31 (47.69)	0.74 (0.49-1.11)	0.144	
Type of Toilet Facility	a a (- (- a))				
Flush connected to municipal sewer	23 (74.19)	08 (25.81)	Refer	ence	
Flush connected to septic tank	10 (50.00)	10 (50.00)	1.34 (0.70-2.58)	0.387	
Ventilated improved pit latrine	06 (75.00)	02 (25.00)	0.59 (0.22-1.54)	0.280	
Pit latrine made from concrete slab	68 (62.39)	41 (37.61)	1.32 (0.82-2.11)	0.257	
Shared latrine	06 (66.67)	03 (33.33)	2.14 (0.82-5.55)	0.116	
Disposal of Household Solid Waste					
Privately prepared pit - hole	82 (67.21)	40 (32.79)	Refer	ence	
Refuse pit collected by municipality	12 (75.00)	04 (25.00)	1.07 (0.47-2.43)	0.866	
Dumped in street/open space	13 (59.09)	09 (40.91)	1.19 (0.76-1.86)	0.448	
Garbage can	12 (52.17)	11 (47.83)	1.45 (0.82-2.56)	0.205	
Collected by private establishment	09 (52.94)	08 (47.06)	1.60 (0.88-2.91)	0.124	
Household Liquid Waste Disposal	20 (70 72)	10 (07 07)	D (
In septic tank/latrine pit	32 (72.73)	12 (27.27)	Refer	ence	
In seepage pit	25 (65.79)	13 (34.21)	1.19 (0.57-2.49)	0.649	
Anywhere in open space	71 (60.17)	47 (39.83)	1.25 (0.73-2.13)	0.412	
Source of drinking water	71 (00 07)	00 (10 12)	Defer		
Pipe Drotostod well enring	71 (89.87)	08 (10.13)	Refer	ence	
Protected wall spring	30(71.43)	12 (28.57)	1.95 (0.80-4.79)	0.144	
Unprotected wall spring	27 (34.18)	52 (65.82)	4.03 (1.90-8.58)	<0.001	
Hand wasning facility beside the tollet	77 (71.20)	21(20,70)	Defer		
ies	77 (71.30)	31(28.70)	Refer		
NU Disposal of under five maste	50 (52.17)	33 (47.83)	2.49 (1.51-4.11)	0.024	
Disposal of Under-five Waste		40 (41 DE)	Defer	0.000	
In the collect	01(58.65)	45 (41.35)	Refer	ence	
Lett it open everywhere	30 (72.00)	14 (28.00)	0.83 (0.50-1.40)	0.489	
Covered by soil	31 (67.39)	15 (32.61)	0.80 (0.45-1.41)	0.438	

CI = Confidence Interval, aPR = Adjusted Prevalence Ratio, P Value is Significant at 0.05 level

DISCUSSION

The Prevalence of Diarrhea among Children under 5 years in Nakaloke Town Council

This study showed that the prevalence of diarrhea in the 2 weeks preceding the study was 36.00% (72/200) with a 95% CI of 29.29 – 42.71. This is in agreement with the result of a study done in Khartoum State which reported that

Diarrhoeal cases occurring within the 2 weeks preceding the interview were giving an overall prevalence of 35.0%[1]. The prevalence found in the present study is slightly consistent with the result of a study done in rural parts of Burundi which revealed that the overall diarrhea prevalence was 32.6%[24]. Furthermore, the result of the present

study is comparable to the results of a study done in Ethiopia which revealed that the prevalence of diarrhea was 31.00% [25]. The prevalence of diarrhea found in the present study is higher than what was found in a study done in Nigeria which showed that prevalence of diarrhea in the two weeks preceding the study was 7.47%[11]. Approximately 20% of children had diarrhea within 2 weeks in a study conducted from Malawai [2], this prevalence is lower than what was found in the present study. Also, the finding of the present study is not consistent with the results of a study conducted from Senegal reported that prevalence of diarrhea among the children under the age of five during the 2 weeks preceding the survey was 26%[26]. The disparity in prevalence rates may be due to seasonal variation. The data of the present study was collected in a rainy season. During this period water sources are easily polluted, these sources of water are mostly utilized by households for washing of hands, household activities and even drinking especially for those using streams or boreholes.Contrary to the results of the present study, the results of a study done from Ethiopia showed that the two week prevalence of diarrhea among children under-five was 16.4% (69/351)[27]. This is prevalence is almost half of the figure found in the present study. Furthermore, [6] in their study found that The overall prevalence of diarrheal disease among under-five children was 22.1% (163/743) which is lower than what was found in the present study. The discrepancy in the study findings can be attributed to the variation in the geographical settings where the studies were conducted as well as the variation in sampling techniques used to recruit the study participants.

Maternal and Childhood Related Factors Associated with Diarrhea among Children under 5 years in Nakaloke Town Council.

Results of the present study revealed that Age of the child, Birth Order of the child, Rota virus vaccination, Maternal education were independently associated with diarrhea among children under 5 years of age sampled from the study area. Age of the Child: The present study showed that age of 45 months or more is a protective for diarrhea. This is expected as infants aged more than 45 months and above have better understanding of what to eat compared to those aged 6 to 18 months who most probably have are being weaned and complementary foods are being introduced to them. Thus, the latter group is prone to consuming complementary foods; that may contain diarrhea-causing pathogens [28, 29]. Result of the present study is consistent with findings from a study done in Ethiopia which showed that age of 7-11 months (adjusted odds ratio (AOR): 4.2, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.2-15.3) significant predictor was а of diarrhea[27]. Similar to the finding of the present study, [6] found that children less than or equal to one year [AOR=1.82, 95% CI= (1.39, 4.63)] were at risk of suffering from diarrhea. The high prevalence of diarrhea at 6 to 18 months could be due to the low immunity of children, and crawling starting at this age. Birth Order of the child: This study indicated that birth order of 2 was protective form diarrhea as opposed to birth order of 1. Contrary to what was found in the present study, [27] in their study conducted from Ethiopia found that birth order of 2 was instead a risk factor for diarrhea meanwhile the present study found birth order of 2 to be protective. Rota virus vaccination: According to this study, not being vaccinated against Rota virus is a risk factor for diarrhea among children under five years. The result suggests that a major contributor to the diarrheal burden in children less than 5 years in the town is in fact rotavirus. The result of the present study is consistent with the results of a study done in Ethiopia which revealed that not being vaccinated against rotavirus (AOR: 10.3, 95%CI: 3.2-91.3) was a risk factor for diarrhea [27]. The result of the current study is in agreement with study done in FartaWoreda, Northwest Ethiopia [30]. The result of the present study is in agreement with the results of a study conducted from Rwanda which revealed that children who had not vaccinated for Rota virus where at risk of suffering from Diarrhea with odds of 8.11 [31]. The current study found that children

who had not vaccinated for Rota virus were more likely to develop diarrheal those diseases than who were vaccinated. This finding is similar to a cross-sectional study undertaken during 2012-2013 to determine the prevalence, strains and factors associated with rotavirus infection among under-5- yearold children hospitalized with acute diarrhea in Uganda which found that Rotaviruses are the most cause of diarrhea in children [32]. Rotavirus is the most common cause of severe childhood gastroenteritis worldwide [33]. Rotavirus vaccine resulted in a large decline in diarrhea mortality and concluded that vaccination is the best way to prevent severe rotavirus disease and the deadly, dehydrating diarrhea that it causes [34]. Maternal Education: This study showed that children born to mothers with secondary education were protected from having diarrhea. This suggests that, as maternal educational levels increase the less likely it is for their children to experience diarrhea. Similar to what was found in the present study, the results of a study conducted in Rwanda revealed that children whose mothers/caretakers had never attended school were at risk of having diarrhea by odds of 3.76 [31]. The ability to read understand hear and or and appropriately apply information are, perhaps, key for mothers to better cater for their children. As indicated by related literature, maternal education is a predictor of diarrhea among children [29, 35]. This may be due to the fact that education likely is to enhance household health and sanitation Education can practices. increase awareness about the transmission and prevention methods of diarrhea. It also encourages changes in behavior at the household level. This may be due to the fact that education is likely to enhance household health, good feeding and weaning practices and hygiene and sanitation practices. Education can also awareness about the increase transmission and prevention methods of diarrhea. It also encourages changes in behavior at the household level.

Water, Sanitation and Hygiene Related Factors Associated with Diarrhea among Children under 5 years in Nakaloke Town Council.

Source of Drinking water: Results of the present study indicated that which who drink form unprotected wall spring were 4.03 times at risk of having diarrhea compared to those who drink water from piped sources. The result of the present study is consistent with results of a study conducted from Ethiopia which revealed that source of drinking water was independently associated with the occurrence of child hood diarrhea with children who drink from unimproved source of water being 3.7 times at risk [36]. The finding of the current study is in agreement with the results of a study done by [37] who found that the wider confidence interval is because the number of households who have accesses to improved water source were few (23%) compared to those who don't have (77%). Similar to the results of the present study, the results of a study done from Gaza Strip showed that using desalinated water sources for drinking purposes, were inversely associated with the incidence of acute diarrhea among children under five [38]. The source of drinking water determines whether water is contaminated or not. Tap water is considered a safe source of water but in slum. this water might be а contaminated due to breakage of pipes especially where pipes are laid along sewer lines. Water vendors and kiosks may also contaminate water in the process of drawing and delivering domestic water. Containers used to carry and store water are rarely cleaned which might store germs that can cause diarrhea especially to under five years. Availability of hand washing facility near the toilet: The finding of the present study is consistent with the results of a study conducted in Malawi which showed that lack of hand washing facilities with water and soap (AOR, 1.180; 95% CI, 1.010-1.379) increased the odds of diarrhea [2]. Furthermore, the finding of the present study is in line with the results of a study conducted in Nigeria which showed that washing hands with soap or ash and water and after using the toilet [0.16

(95% CI 0.04-0.55)] protected against diarrhea [11]. The result of this study is also consistent with a study in Northwest Ethiopia which reported that cleansing hands with soap and water can reduce more effectively the

Childhood diarrhea is a significant public health concern in the study area, with prevalence surpassing most African studies. Factors predicting diarrhea occurrence include child's age, birth order, Rotavirus vaccination status, and maternal education. Water, sanitation, and hygiene are independent risk factors, with drinking water sources and hand washing facilities near toilets being independent risk factors. These factors contribute to the prevalence of diarrhea among children under 5 years

- [1]. Abdalla, M.E., Elnadif Elmanssury, A., Almardi, A.E., Abdalla, S., & Dafaalla, E. (2018). Environmental and Behavioral Factors Associated With Diarrhea Disease among Children Under Five Years Old in Mavo in Khartoum State. International Journal of Health Sciences Research & (www.ijhsr.org). 8, 11.
- [2]. Moon, J., Choi, J.W., Oh, J., & Kim, K. (2019). Risk factors of diarrhea of children under five in Malawi: based on Malawi Demographic and Health Survey 2015-2016. Journal of Global Health Science. 1, 1-13. https://doi.org/10.35500/jghs.201 9.1.e45
- [3]. Shewangizaw, B., Mekonen, M., Fako, T., Hoyiso, D., Borie, Y.A., Yeheyis, T., Kassahun, G. (2023). Knowledge and attitude on homebased management of diarrheal disease among mothers/caregivers of under-five children at a tertiary hospital in Ethiopia. Pan Afr Med J. 44, 38. https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.20 23.44.38.34431
- [4]. Aghsaeifard, Z., Heidari, G., & Alizadeh, R. (2022). Understanding the use of oral rehydration therapy: A narrative review from clinical practice to main recommendations. Health Sci Rep.

likelihood of diarrhea [39]. A possible explanation of this result is that handwashing with soap reduced the presence of bacteria than washing hands with water alone [40, 41].

CONCLUSION

in Nakaloke town council. To reduce diarrhea among children in Uganda, health organizations should implement educational programs targeting mothers and children aged 6-18 months. Mothers should closely monitor their children and be cautious about their dietary habits. The Ugandan government should also procure adequate doses of the Rota virus vaccine through the Ministry of Health. This will help reduce diarrhea episodes among children in the country

REFERENCES

5,

e827.

https://doi.org/10.1002/hsr2.827

- [5]. The Management of Acute Diarrhea in Children: Oral Rehydration, Maintenance, and Nutritional Therapy, https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/previ ew/mmwrhtml/00018677.htm
- [6]. Getachew, A., Tadie, A., G.hiwot, M., Guadu, T., Haile, D., G.cherkos, T., Gizaw, Z., & Alemayehu, M. (2018). Environmental factors of diarrhea prevalence among under five children in rural area of North zone, Ethiopia. Italian Gondar Journal Pediatrics. of 44. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13052-018-0540-7
- [7]. Thiam, S., Diène, A.N., Fuhrimann, S., Winkler, M.S., Sy, I., Ndione, J.A., Schindler, C., Vounatsou, P., Utzinger, J., Faye, O., & Cissé, G. (2017). Prevalence of diarrhoea and risk factors among children under five years old in Mbour, Senegal: a cross-sectional study. Infectious Diseases of Poverty. 6, 109. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40249-017-0323-1

 [8]. Bekele, D., Merdassa, E., Desalegn, M., Mosisa, G., & Turi, E. (2021). Determinants of Diarrhea in Under-Five Children among Health Extension Model and Non-Model

66

Families in Wama Hagelo District, West Ethiopia: Community-Based Comparative Cross-Sectional Study. J Multidiscip Healthc. 14, 2803-2815. https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S32

4846
[9]. Birhan, T.A., Bitew, B.D., Dagne, H., Amare, D.E., Azanaw, J., Genet, M., Engdaw, G.T., Tesfaye, A.H., Yirdaw, G., & Maru, T. (2023). Prevalence of diarrheal disease and associated factors among under-five children in flood-prone settlements of Northwest Ethiopia: A cross-sectional communitybased study. Front Pediatr. 11, 1056129.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.202 3.1056129

- [10]. Natnael, T., Lingerew, M., & Adane, M. (2021). Prevalence of acute diarrhea and associated factors among children under five in semiurban areas of northeastern Ethiopia. BMC Pediatr. 21, 290. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12887-021-02762-5
- [11]. Nwokoro, U.U., Ugwa, O., Onwuliri, C.D., Obi, I.F., Ngozi, M.O., & Agunwa, C. (2020). Water, sanitation and hygiene risk factors associated with diarrhoea morbidity in a rural community of Enugu, South East Nigeria. Pan African Medical Journal. 37, 1-12 (2020). https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.20

https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.20 20.37.115.17735

- [12]. Dattani, S., Spooner, F., Ritchie, H., & Roser, M. (2023). Diarrheal Diseases. Our World in Data.
- [13]. Ogbo, F.A., Agho, K., Ogeleka, P., Woolfenden, S., Page, A., & Eastwood, J. (2017). Infant feeding practices and diarrhoea in sub-Saharan African countries with high diarrhoea mortality. PLOS ONE. 12, e0171792. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.p one.0171792
- [14]. Acácio, S., Mandomando, I., Nhampossa, T., Quintó, L., Vubil, D., Sacoor, C., Kotloff, K., Farag, T., Nasrin, D., Macete, E., Levine, M.M., Alonso, P., & Bassat, Q. (2019). Risk factors for death among children 0-59 months of

age with moderate-to-severe diarrhea in Manhiça district, southern Mozambique. BMC Infectious Diseases. 19, 322. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-019-3948-9

- [15]. Shati, A.A., Khalil, S.N., Asiri, K.A., Alshehri, A.A., Deajim, Y.A., Al-Amer, M.S., Alshehri, H.J., Alshehri, A.A., & Algahtani, F.S. (2020). Diarrhea Occurrence of and Feeding Practices among Children Two Years of Age in below Southwestern Saudi Arabia. International Journal of **Environmental Research and Public** Health. 722. 17, https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph170 30722
- [16]. Ugboko, H.U., Nwinyi, O.C., Oranusi, S.U., & Oyewale, J.O. (2020). Childhood diarrhoeal diseases in developing countries. Heliyon. 6, e03690. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon. 2020.e03690
- [17]. Getachew, A., Guadu, T., Tadie, A., Gebrehiwot. Gizaw. Ζ., М.. Cherkos, D.H., Menberu, M.A., & Gebrecherkos, T. (2018). Diarrhea Prevalence and Sociodemographic Factors among Under-Five Children in Rural Areas of North Gondar Zone, Northwest Ethiopia. Int J Pediatr. 2018. 6031594. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/603 1594
- [18]. Kanyesigye, С., Marks, S.J., Nakanjako, J., Kansiime, F., & Ferrero, G. (2019). Status of Water Safety Plan Development and Implementation in Uganda. International Journal of **Environmental Research and Public** Health. 16, 4096. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph162 14096
- [19]. Hossain, S., & Mihrshahi, S. (2022). Exclusive Breastfeeding and Childhood Morbidity: A Narrative Review. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 19, 14804. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192 214804
- [20]. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): What is it really? | UNICEF West and Central Africa, https://www.unicef.org/stories/no

vel-coronavirus-outbreak-whatparents-should-know

- [21]. Ndum Okwen, G.A., Karimuribo, E.D., Ngowi, H.A., & Fombang, E.N. (2022). Exclusive Breastfeeding and Its Determinants in Yaoundé, Cameroon: A Retrospective Survival Analysis. J Pregnancy. 2022, 8396586. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/839 6586
- [22]. Alum, E., Uti, D., Agah, V., Orji, O., Ezeani, N., P.C., U., Omang, W., & Itodo, M. (2023). Physico-chemical and Bacteriological Analysis of Water used for Drinking and other Domestic Purposes in Amaozara Ozizza, Afikpo North, Ebonyi State, Nigeria. 38, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.2659/njbmb.
- [23]. Wiegand, H., & Kish, L. (1968). Survey Sampling. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, London 1965, IX + 643 S., 31 Abb., 56 Tab., Preis 83 s. Biometrische Zeitschrift. 10, 88-89. https://doi.org/10.1002/bimj.196

80100122 [24]. Diouf, K., Tabatabai, P., Rudolph, J., & Marx, M. (2014). Diarrhoea prevalence in children under five years of age in rural Burundi: An assessment of social and behavioural factors at the

> household level. Global Health Action. 7. https://doi.org/10.3402/gha.v7.24 895

- [25]. Mohammed, S., Tilahun, M., & Tamiru, D. (2013). Morbidity and associated factors of diarrheal diseases among under five children in Arba-Minch district, Southern Ethiopia, 2012. Sci J Public Health. 1, 102-106.
- [26]. Thiam, S., Diène, A.N., Fuhrimann, S., Winkler, M.S., Sy, I., Ndione, J.A., Schindler, C., Vounatsou, P., Utzinger, J., Faye, O., & Cissé, G. (2017). Prevalence of diarrhoea and risk factors among children under five years old in Mbour, Senegal: A cross-sectional study. Infectious Diseases of Poverty. 6, 1–12.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s40249-017-0323-1 [27]. Shine, S., Muhamud, S., Adnew, S., Demelash, A., & Abate, M. (2019). Prevalence and associated factors of diarrhea among under-five children in Debre Berhan Town, Ethiopia 2018: A cross sectional study. 1-6. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.131 41/v1

- [28]. Tambe, A.B., Nzefa, L.D., & Nicoline, N.A. (2015). Childhood diarrhea determinants in sub-Saharan Africa: a cross sectional study of Tiko-Cameroon. Challenges. 6, 229–243.
- [29]. Mihrete, T.S., Alemie, G.A., & Teferra, A.S. (2014). Determinants of childhood diarrhea among underfive children in Benishangul Gumuz regional state, north West Ethiopia. BMC pediatrics. 14, 1–9.
- [30]. Gedamu, G., Kumie, A., & Haftu, D. (2017). Magnitude and associated factors of diarrhea among under five children in Farta Wereda, north West Ethiopia. Qual Prim Care. 25, 199–207.
- [31]. Hbatu. M., Nsabimana, I., & Mureithi, C. (2017). Factors Contributing to Diarrheal Diseases among Children Less than Five Years in Nyarugenge District, Rwanda. Journal of Tropical Diseases. 05. https://doi.org/10.4172/2329-891x.1000238
- [32]. Bwogi, J., Malamba, S., Kigozi, B., Namuwulya, P., Tushabe, P., Kiguli, S., Byarugaba, D.K., Desselberger, Iturriza-Gomara, U., М., & Karamagi. C. (2016).The epidemiology of rotavirus disease under-five-year-old children in hospitalized with acute diarrhea in Uganda. central 2012-2013. Archives of virology. 161, 999-1003.
- [33]. Tate, J.E., Burton, A.H., Boschi-Pinto, C., Steele, A.D., Duque, J., & Parashar, U.D. (2012). 2008 estimate of worldwide rotavirusassociated mortality in children younger than 5 years before the introduction of universal rotavirus programmes: vaccination а svstematic review and metaanalysis. The Lancet infectious diseases. 12, 136-141.

- [34]. Patel, M.M., Steele, D., Gentsch, J.R., Wecker, J., Glass, R.I., & Parashar, U.D. (2011). Real-world impact of rotavirus vaccination. The Pediatric infectious disease journal. 30, S1-S5.
- [35]. Kumi-Kyereme, A., & Amo-Adjei, J. Household (2016).wealth. residential status and the diarrhoea among incidence of under-five children years in Ghana. Journal of epidemiology and global health. 6, 131-140.
- [36]. Wasihun, A.G., Dejene, T.A., Teferi, M., Marugán, J., Negash, L., Yemane, D., & McGuigan, K.G. (2018). Risk factors for diarrhoea and malnutrition among children under the age of 5 years in the Tigray Region of Northern Ethiopia. PLoS ONE. 13, 32-39. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.p one.0207743
- [37]. Hashi, A., Kumie, A., & Gasana, J. (2016). Prevalence of diarrhoea and associated factors among under-five children in Jigjiga District, Somali Region, Eastern Ethiopia. Open Journal of Preventive Medicine. 6, 233–246.
- [38]. Abuzerr, S., Nasseri, S., Yunesian, M., Hadi, M., Zinszer, K., Mahvi, A.H., Nabizadeh, R., Mustafa, A.A.,

& Mohammed, S.H. (2020). Water, and hygiene risk sanitation. factors of acute diarrhea among children under five years in the Gaza Strip. Journal of Water Sanitation and Hygiene for Development. 10, 111-123. https://doi.org/10.2166/washdev. 2019.072

- [39]. Anteneh, Z., Andargie, K., & Tarekegn, M. (2017). Prevalence and determinants of acute diarrhea among children younger than five years old in Jabithennan District, Northwest Ethiopia, 2014. BMC Public Health. 17, 99.
- [40]. Burton, M., Cobb, E., Donachie, P., Judah, G., Curtis, V., & Schmidt, W. (2011). The effect of handwashing with water or soap on bacterial contamination of hands. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 8, 97– 104
- [41]. Odo, C. E., Nwodo, O. F., Joshua, P. E., Ugwu, O. P., & Okonkwo, C. C. (2013). Acute toxicity investigation and anti-diarrhoeal effect of the chloroform-methanol extract of the seeds of Persea americana in albino rats. *journal of pharmacy research*, 6(3), 331-335.

CITE AS: Okongo Francis (2023). Incidence of Diarrhea and Contributing Factors in Nakaloke Town Council, Eastern Uganda, among Children Below 5 Years of Age. IDOSR JOURNAL OF BIOLOGY, CHEMISTRY AND PHARMACY 8(3)52-69. https://doi.org/10.59298/IDOSR/JBCP/23/11.1115